

AAPT Programs & Conferences Tools

Hello, Eleanor Sayre!

	Select a tool:				
Paper Sort Select Meeting:Select		Program Chair Tools	Communications	Dashboard	Log Ou
College Park - Maryland (SM15) / Status: Inactive Abstract List Sort All Sort All Collapsed View PER: Diverse Investigations I Type: Con Organizer: AAPT Description: Call for Papers: Abstracts Submitted (# 11) Abstract Title: AACR: Probing Student Thinking with Computer Analyzed Constructed Response Questions Paper Type: Contributed Author: Matthew M. Steele Michigan State University 320 S Magnolia Ave Lansing, MI 48912 5178274837 (p) steele24@msu.edu Speaker Order: CH05 Constructed response questions (short-answer, open-ended items) have the potential to provide more insight on student thinking than the multiple choice questions often employed in large introductory STEM courses. However, the time and costs associated with the evaluation and analysis of large data sets of constructed response has traditionally been a barrier to their adoption. In an effort to provide instructors with greater insight into their student understanding of core STEM concepts the Automated Analysis of Constructed Response (AACR, www.msu.edu/~aacr) Research Group is working to create a system for the automated analysis of student constructed responses. In this presentation we present recent work developing constructed response items to evaluate students understanding of foundational concepts in physics and astronomy, and discuss preliminary results produced by these items. Change Session No Yes -Select here if you would like to change the session - Order (Sorters Suggested order)	Paper Sort				
PER: Diverse Investigations I Type: Con Organizer: AAPT Description: Call for Papers: Abstracts Submitted (# 11) Abstract Title: AACR: Probing Student Thinking with Computer Analyzed Constructed Response Questions Paper Type: Contributed Author: Matthew M. Steele Michigan State University 320 S Magnolia Ave Lansing, MI 48912 5178274837 (p) steele24@msu.edu Speaker Order: CHOS Constructed response questions (short-answer, open-ended items) have the potential to provide more insight on student thinking than the multiple choice questions often employed in large introductory STEM courses. However, the time and costs associated with the evaluation and analysis of large data sets of constructed response has traditionally been a barrier to their adoption. In an effort to provide instructors with greater insight into their student understanding of core STEM concepts the Automated Analysis of Constructed Response (AACR, www.msu.edu/~aacr) Research Group is working to create a system for the automated analysis of student constructed responses. In this presentation we present recent work developing constructed response items to evaluate students understanding of foundational concepts in physics and astronomy, and discuss preliminary results produced by these items. Change Session No Yes Select here if you would like to change the session	Select Meeting:S	ielect	\$ go		
PER: Diverse Investigations I Type: Con Organizer: AAPT Description: Call for Papers: Abstracts Submitted (# 11) Abstract Title: AACR: Probing Student Thinking with Computer Analyzed Constructed Response Questions Paper Type: Contributed Author: Matthew M. Steele Michigan State University 320 S Magnolia Ave Lansing, M. 48912 5178274837 (p) steele24@msu.edu Speaker Order: CH05 Constructed response questions (short-answer, open-ended items) have the potential to provide more insight on student thinking than the multiple choice questions often employed in large introductory STEM courses. However, the time and costs associated with the evaluation and analysis of large data sets of constructed response has traditionally been a barrier to their adoption. In an effort to provide instructors with greater insight into their student understanding of core STEM concepts the Automated Analysis of Constructed Response (AACR, www.msu.edu/~aacr) Research Group is working to create a system for the automated analysis of student constructed responses. In this presentation we present recent work developing constructed response items to evaluate students understanding of foundational concepts in physics and astronomy, and discuss preliminary results produced by these items. Change Session	College Park - Maryla	and (SM15) / Status: Inactive			
Type: Con Organizer: AAPT Description: Call for Papers: Abstracts Submitted (# 11) Abstract Title: AACR: Probing Student Thinking with Computer Analyzed Constructed Response Questions Paper Type: Contributed Author: Matthew M. Steele Michigan State University 320 S Magnolia Ave Lansing, MI 48912 5178274837 (p) steele24@msu.edu Speaker Order: CH05 Constructed response questions (short-answer, open-ended items) have the potential to provide more insight on student thinking than the multiple choice questions often employed in large introductory STEM courses. However, the time and costs associated with the evaluation and analysis of large data sets of constructed response has traditionally been a barrier to their adoption. In an effort to provide instructors with greater insight into their student understanding of core STEM concepts the Automated Analysis of Constructed Response (AACR, www.msu.edu/~aacr) Research Group is working to create a system for the automated analysis of student constructed responses. In this presentation we present recent work developing constructed response items to evaluate students understanding of foundational concepts in physics and astronomy, and discuss preliminary results produced by these items. Change Session No Yes Select here if you would like to change the session → Order (Sorters Suggested order)	Abstract List Sort All	Sort All Collapsed View			
Description: Call for Papers: Abstracts Submitted (# 11) Abstract Title: AACR: Probing Student Thinking with Computer Analyzed Constructed Response Questions Paper Type: Contributed Author: Matthew M. Steele Michigan State University 320 S Magnolia Ave Lansing, MI 48912 5178274837 (p) steele24@msu.edu Speaker Order: CH05 Constructed response questions (short-answer, open-ended items) have the potential to provide more insight on student thinking than the multiple choice questions often employed in large introductory STEM courses. However, the time and costs associated with the evaluation and analysis of large data sets of constructed response has traditionally been a barrier to their adoption. In an effort to provide instructors with greater insight into their student understanding of core STEM concepts the Automated Analysis of Constructed Response (AACR, www.msu.edu/~aacr) Research Group is working to create a system for the automated analysis of student constructed responses. In this presentation we present recent work developing constructed response items to evaluate students understanding of foundational concepts in physics and astronomy, and discuss preliminary results produced by these items. Change Session No Yes -Select here if you would like to change the session - Order (Sorters Suggested order)	PER: Diverse Inves	stigations I			
Call for Papers: Abstracts Submitted (# 11) Abstract Title: AACR: Probing Student Thinking with Computer Analyzed Constructed Response Questions Paper Type: Contributed Author: Matthew M. Steele Michigan State University 320 S Magnolia Ave Lansing, MI 48912 5178274837 (p) steele24@msu.edu Speaker Order: CH05 Constructed response questions (short-answer, open-ended items) have the potential to provide more insight on student thinking than the multiple choice questions often employed in large introductory STEM courses. However, the time and costs associated with the evaluation and analysis of large data sets of constructed response has traditionally been a barrier to their adoption. In an effort to provide instructors with greater insight into their student understanding of core STEM concepts the Automated Analysis of Constructed Response (AACR, www.msu.edu/~aacr) Research Group is working to create a system for the automated analysis of student constructed responses. In this presentation we present recent work developing constructed response items to evaluate students understanding of foundational concepts in physics and astronomy, and discuss preliminary results produced by these items. Change Session No Yes -Select here if you would like to change the session Order (Sorters Suggested order)	Type: Con Organi	izer: AAPT			
Abstract Title: AACR: Probing Student Thinking with Computer Analyzed Constructed Response Questions Paper Type: Contributed Author: Matthew M. Steele Michigan State University 320 S Magnolia Ave Lansing, MI 48912 5178274837 (p) steele24@msu.edu Speaker Order: CH05 Constructed response questions (short-answer, open-ended items) have the potential to provide more insight on student thinking than the multiple choice questions often employed in large introductory STEM courses. However, the time and costs associated with the evaluation and analysis of large data sets of constructed response has traditionally been a barrier to their adoption. In an effort to provide instructors with greater insight into their student understanding of core STEM concepts the Automated Analysis of Constructed Response (AACR, www.msu.edu/~aacr) Research Group is working to create a system for the automated analysis of student constructed responses. In this presentation we present recent work developing constructed response items to evaluate students understanding of foundational concepts in physics and astronomy, and discuss preliminary results produced by these items. Change Session No Yes -Select here if you would like to change the session Order (Sorters Suggested order)	Description:				
Abstract Title: AACR: Probing Student Thinking with Computer Analyzed Constructed Response Questions Paper Type: Contributed Author: Matthew M. Steele Michigan State University 320 S Magnolia Ave Lansing, MI 48912 5178274837 (p) steele24@msu.edu Speaker Order: CH05 Constructed response questions (short-answer, open-ended items) have the potential to provide more insight on student thinking than the multiple choice questions often employed in large introductory STEM courses. However, the time and costs associated with the evaluation and analysis of large data sets of constructed response has traditionally been a barrier to their adoption. In an effort to provide instructors with greater insight into their student understanding of core STEM concepts the Automated Analysis of Constructed Response (AACR, www.msu.edu/~aacr) Research Group is working to create a system for the automated analysis of student constructed responses. In this presentation we present recent work developing constructed response items to evaluate students understanding of foundational concepts in physics and astronomy, and discuss preliminary results produced by these items. Change Session No Yes Select here if you would like to change the session Order (Sorters Suggested order)	Call for Papers:				
Paper Type: Contributed Author: Matthew M. Steele Michigan State University 320 S Magnolia Ave Lansing, MI 48912 5178274837 (p) steele24@msu.edu Speaker Order: CH05 Constructed response questions (short-answer, open-ended items) have the potential to provide more insight on student thinking than the multiple choice questions often employed in large introductory STEM courses. However, the time and costs associated with the evaluation and analysis of large data sets of constructed response has traditionally been a barrier to their adoption. In an effort to provide instructors with greater insight into their student understanding of core STEM concepts the Automated Analysis of Constructed Response (AACR, www.msu.edu/~aacr) Research Group is working to create a system for the automated analysis of student constructed responses. In this presentation we present recent work developing constructed response items to evaluate students understanding of foundational concepts in physics and astronomy, and discuss preliminary results produced by these items. Change Session No Yes -Select here if you would like to change the session Order (Sorters Suggested order)	Abstracts Submitted	(# 11)			
No Yes Select here if you would like to change the session Order (Sorters Suggested order)	320 S Magnolia Ave Lansing, MI 48912 5178274837 (p) steele24@msu.edu Speaker Order: CH Constructed respons student thinking that the time and costs a traditionally been a b student understandir www.msu.edu/~aacr constructed response evaluate students understandir	se questions (short-answer, open-enter of the multiple choice questions of the ssociated with the evaluation and barrier to their adoption. In an efform of core STEM concepts the Autor) Research Group is working to cres. In this presentation we presentation derstanding of foundational concess.	en employed in large introdu analysis of large data sets of ort to provide instructors with omated Analysis of Constructor eate a system for the automat t recent work developing con	ctory STEM courses. constructed respons greater insight into ed Response (AACR, ated analysis of studestructed response ite	However, e has their ent ems to
Order (Sorters Suggested order)					
	Select here if you would	d like to change the session			
Comment:	Order (Sorters Suggest	ed order)			
Comment:					
	Comment:				

Submit
stract Title: Examining the Effects of Testwiseness Using the Force Concept Inventory per Type: Contributed
thor: Seth T. DeVore
est Virginia University
Grandview Ave
rgantown, WV 26506-0002 29734691 (p)
levore@mail.wvu.edu
eaker Order: CH01
eir score on a test regardless of the test's subject matter. To improve our understanding of the potential effect e of several well documented elements of testwiseness, we analyze student performance on questions present the Force Concept Inventory (FCI) that contain distractors, the selection of which can be related to the use of stwiseness strategies. We further examine the effects of both the positive and potential negative effects of stwiseness on student scores by developing two modified versions of the FCI designed to include additional ements related to testwiseness. Details of the development of the modified versions of the FCI and the effect es measured in all versions of the FCI will be discussed.
Change Session
No O Yes
Select here if you would like to change the session
Order (Sorters Suggested order)
Comment:
Submit
stract Title: Expert/Novice Differences in Viewing Physics Diagrams Using the "Flicker" Technique per Type: Contributed

Paper Type: Contributed **Author:** Jason W. Morphew

University of Illinois

255 Loomis Laboratory, 1110 West Green Street

Urbana, IL 61801 (316)633-3037 (p) jmorphe2@illinois.edu **Speaker Order:** CH07

We present an experiment in which subjects with differing levels of physics experience were timed in their ability to detect small changes in nearly identical pairs of diagrams that are representative of typical introductory

physics situations. It was hypothesized that higher physics expertise would guide attention and result in faster detection times for those changes that affected the physics, whereas no expertise advantage in detection times would result for changes that did not affect the physics. Our findings partially confirmed the hypothesis. We present results on how the response time for noticing physics-relevant changes in the diagram pairs is faster than for physics-irrelevant changes for those with more extensive physics experience and slower for those with less extensive physics experience. We discuss the cognitive implications of our findings.

Change Session
No Yes
Select here if you would like to change the session
Order (Sorters Suggested order)
Comment:
Submit
Abstract Title: How Accurate Are Students in Gauging Changes in their Understanding?*
Paper Type: Contributed
Author: Andrew Boudreaux
Western Washington University 516 High St
Bellingham, WA 98225-9164
360 650-3818 (p)
andrew.boudreaux@wwu.edu
Speaker Order: CH06
Research over several decades has shown that active self-monitoring is characteristic of expert learners. More recent studies have examined student metacognition in introductory physics contexts. As part of a multinstitutional collaborative effort, we have been investigating reflective metacognition – student ability to describe in hindsight what they have learned about a specific physics concept, and how they have learned it. We are interested in how student descriptions of their own learning compare with how instructors might evaluate their earning. Our methodology involves matched written assessment questions given at the start and end of a earning episode. After the post-test, students reflect on how their thinking has changed. Comparison of self-reported and researcher-characterized changes allows the accuracy of student reflections to be examined. The study design was described in a presentation at the Winter 2015 AAPT meeting in San Diego; the current talk shares preliminary results.
Footnotes: *This work partially supported by NSF DUE-1245999, DUE-1245993, DUE-1245313 and DUE-1245699.
Change Session No Yes Select here if you would like to change the session
Order (Sorters Suggested order)
Comment:

Submit
Abstract Title: Influence of Language of Administration Upon Physics Concepts Measuring Instruments Paper Type: Contributed
Author: Thomas - Olsen Alfaisal University PO Box 50927 Riyadh, Rihadh 11533 Kingdom of Saudi Arabia +966 11 215 8945 (p)
tolsen@alfaisal.edu Speaker Order: CH02 The Force Concept Inventory (FCI) has become a world standard as an instrument to measure students'
conceptual understanding of Mechanics. In particular, the Normalized Gain has proven to be a robust measure of the effect of pedagogy upon student learning. While the original FCI was developed in English, translations have been made. In this study seeks to determine the effect, if any, of administering the FCI in different languages to different groups of students, taken from the same student population. As an English language university in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, Alfaisal University is an excellent laboratory for such a study. The FCI has been administered to all introductory physics students at Alfaisal, at the beginning and the end of the first physics course spring 2015 semester. The students were randomly assigned English and Arabic administrations. Results for the first semester of this study will be presented along with preliminary analysis.
Change Session No Yes
Select here if you would like to change the session
Order (Sorters Suggested order) Comment:
Submit
Abstract Title: Integrating Scientific Practices into Introductory Physics Assessments Paper Type: Contributed

Author: James T. Laverty
Michigan State University
620 Farm Lane, Room 115
East Lansing, MI 48824-1046

419-944-5802 (p) laverty1@msu.edu **Speaker Order:** CH04

The Physics and Astronomy Department at Michigan State University recently began to redesign its introductory

physics courses. At the center of this transformation effort is an attempt to include scientific practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas in the assessments and instruction of the courses. As part of a research effort, we have been developing the Three-Dimensional Learning Assessment Protocol (3D-LAP) to characterize how assessments used in introductory courses change over time. This instrument provides criteria by which scientific practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas can be identified within assessment items. Additionally, this instrument can be used to help write new assessment items or improve existing ones. This presentation will focus on the 3D-LAP and using it to track changes in assessments over time as well as to build assessment items that incorporate all three dimensions.

Select here if you would like to change the session	+
Order (Sorters Suggested order)	
Comment:	
Submit	
Abstract Title: Probing Students' Experiences in the First Year Physics Laboratory	
Paper Type: Contributed	
Author: Maria Munene Tlowana University of Cape Town	
Physics Department	
Rondebosch, 7701 South Africa	
01127812714964 (p)	
01127216503342 (f)	
mmtlowana@gmail.com	
Speaker Order: CH11	
As part of a broader study aimed at understanding the first-year laboratory experience from various per	rspectives,
we report on a pilot study in which we probed students' perceptions of the first-year lab course at the er	
first term. For this purpose we developed a written instrument comprising five questions regarding the f	
areas of interest: expectations, enjoyment, learning, relation to course content, and assessment. Each of	question
on the instrument is framed as a debate in which different points of view were posited. The respondents	s were
requested to choose the view with which they most closely agreed (forced choice response), and more	
mportantly, were directed to explain their choice in detail (free response writing). We detail the analysis	
report on some of the preliminary findings focusing on the aspects of enjoyment and the learning experi	ience
Change Session	
○ No ○ Yes	
Select here if you would like to change the session	+
Order (Sorters Suggested order)	

_	
Submit	
Abstract Title: Quantifying School Students' Reasoning Abilities*	
Paper Type: Contributed Author: Gordon J. Aubrecht	
Ohio State University at Marion	
465 Mt. Vernon Ave.	
Marion, OH 43302-5695	
7403690992 (p)	
ubrecht.1@osu.edu Speaker Order: CH08	
Aiddle school teachers in our program give students pre- and post-co	mmon formative assessments (CFAs) and
inalyze them. We created a rubric to assess student communication,	
on the CFAs. We will present results of our analysis of samples of stud	
The state of the s	
Footnotes: *This work supported in part by grants from the Ohio Dep $2008-2009$), C1667-MSP-10-410 ($2009-2010$), EDU01-000006141	
2012), GRT00029161 (2012-2013), ODE-MSP-10673 (2013-2014), ar	
	,
Conflicts: Please do not schedule me during the poster times for my	posters or times that might conflict with
International Ed, Teacher Prep, or SI Units meeting times.	
Change Session	
○ No ○ Yes	
Select here if you would like to change the session	
Order (Sorters Suggested order)	
Comment:	
Submit	
Abstract Title: Rasch Analysis of Student Responses to the CLASS	
Paper Type: Contributed	
Author: Xi Tang	
exas State University	
1975 Aquarena Springs Dr.	
San Marcos, TX 78667-0747 5122066210 (p)	
x_t4@txstate.edu	
Speaker Order: CH03	

The Colorado Learning Attitudes about Science Survey (CLASS) has become a standard instrument for assessing

http://www.aapt.org/test/forms/aaptmeeting/pactools/paper_sortSingle.cfm?ATsid=1560

changes in student attitudes. The standard data analysis protocol compares student responses to those of experts, and assigns a percentage ranking to each respondent. This analysis assumes students fall on a continuum from novice to expert. Another analysis model, the Rasch Model, is also based on this assumption. The Rasch Model also provides information about survey items simultaneously with information about respondents. For this reason, the Rasch Model provides an alternate, and perhaps more robust, method of analyzing CLASS data. To compare the Rasch Model to the traditional analysis methods, we have applied the Rasch Model to data that had been previously analyzed using the protocol developed at the University of Colorado. We will present the results of the Rasch Analysis, and discuss the differences between it and the standard analysis.

Change Session
○ No ○ Yes
Select here if you would like to change the session
Order (Sorters Suggested order)
Comment:
Submit
Abstract Title: Teaching Weight and Gravitation as Cultural Content Knowledge Paper Type: Contributed
Author: Igal Galili
The Hebrew University of Jerusalem
Science Teaching Center, Givat Ram Campus erusalem, 91904 Israel
972 54 7193119 (p)
07226585097 (f)
gal.galili@mail.huji.ac.il
Speaker Order: CH10
There is a split in teaching the concepts of weight and gravitation in physics education (Galili, 2001). One group of physics teaches weight as the gravitational force within the developed Newtonian framework (e.g. Young and Freedman, 2012). The second group adopts the modern framework based on the operational definition of weight e.g. Knight, 2013). Normally the authors in each group ignore the other view. We suggest teaching weight within the cultural perspective (Galili, 2012) which displays the two options and argues for the modern one – the operationally defined weight which does not coincide with the gravitational force. We have performed a comprehensive study on this subject (Stein, 2012) which included teaching experiments in which we applied a constructivist dialogical teaching of the topic of Weight-Gravitation. Our findings showed the ability of students to distinguish between weight and gravitation and meaningfully understand these concepts (Stein & Galili, 2014).
Footnotes: none
Conflicts: none
Change Session
O No ○ Yes
Select here if you would like to change the session
Order (Sorters Suggested order)

Comment:
Submit
Abstract Title: Why Do Students Want to Distinguish Between Net Force and Total Force?
Paper Type: Contributed
Author: Philip B. Southey
University of Cape Town
Physics Department, University of Cape Town Cape Town, Western Cape 7700 South Africa
+27732495757 (p)
philsouthey@gmail.com
Speaker Order: CH09
In previous research we have shown that novice physics students distinguish between the concept of a net vector quantity and the concept of a total vector quantity. Introductory physics textbooks variably use the terms "net", "total" or "resultant" when referring to a vector sum, with some textbooks using these terms interchangeably. In particular, we have shown that students distinguish between the concepts of net force and total force, and the concepts of net momentum and total momentum. Phase two of this research has been to analyse the reasons students give for making these distinctions. Using an approach suggested by Grounded Theory, free responses from 400 freshmen have been analyzed and broad reasoning trends have been identified. These trends are contrasted with foundational representational schemas posited by the cognitive sciences, such as "changing position versus changing state", and "interior viewpoint versus exterior viewpoint".
Change Session No O Yes
Select here if you would like to change the session
Order (Sorters Suggested order)
Comment:
Submit

Copyright © 2011 AAPT.org **Date Last Updated:** February 4, 2011