
WIP: Work in Progress Title



LUDWIG-MAXIMILIANS-UNIVERSITY MUNICH
FACULTY OF PHYSICS

DISSERTATION

Eric Schanet

December 2020

WIP: Work in Progress Title



LUDWIG-MAXIMILIANS-UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN
FAKULTÄT FÜR PHYSIK

DISSERTATION

Eric Schanet

December 2020

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Dorothee Schaile

Abstract

My abstract

Zusammenfassung

Meine Zusammenfassung

Contents

1	Theory	5
1.1	The Standard Model of Particle Physics	5
1.1.1	Particle content of the SM	6
1.1.2	The SM as a gauge theory	7
1.1.3	Renormalisation and divergencies	12
1.2	Supersymmetry	12
2	The LHC and ATLAS	13
3	Data and Monte Carlo Simulation	15
3.1	Data	15
4	Statistical data analysis	17
5	Analysis	19
6	Summary	21
	Bibliography	23
	Symbols	25
	Appendix A	27
A.1	N-1 plots for cut-scan results	27
	Appendix B	29
B.1	Scatter plots comparing truth and reco yields in the SRs	29

Notes

1

Natural units and Minkowski metric	3	2
Couplings and masses are measured from experiment	6	3
Neutrino masses not in SM!	6	4
need ref	6	5
Need ref	6	6
Might want to explain this later once I introduced the gauge groups?	7	7
need ref	7	8
Explicitly derive the Euler-Lagrange equations? Cf. Peskins Ch.2.2.	8	9
Check correctness of formulation	8	10
cite YM	8	11

Introduction

1

Here is my introduction

Natural
units and
Minkowski
metric

Chapter 1

Theory

This chapter starts with an outline of the basic principles and concepts of the Standard Model of Particle Physics (SM), the theoretical framework describing nature on the level of elementary particles. This is followed by an introduction to supersymmetry, a promising class of theories aiming to solve some of the shortcomings of the SM.

By no means intended to be a full description, this chapter merely tries to highlight the important relations and consequences of the SM and supersymmetry. The mathematical description of this chapter largely follows [1] for the SM and [2] for supersymmetry.

1.1 The Standard Model of Particle Physics

By the end of the 1920s, quantum mechanics and general relativity had been relatively well established and the consensus among physicists was that matter was made of nuclear atoms consisting of electrons and protons. During the 1930s, a multitude of new experimental discoveries and theoretical puzzles excited physicists in three main fields of research: nuclear physics, cosmic rays and relativistic quantum mechanics. The following years and decades saw particle physics emerge as a result of these currents ultimately flowing together.

Since these early times of particle physics research, physicists have made extraordinary progress in describing nature at the subatomic scale. Today, a century later, the resulting theoretical framework, the Standard Model of Particle Physics, is the most fundamental theory of nature to date. It provides an extremely precise description of the interactions of elementary particles and—using the Large Electron Positron collider (LEP)—has been tested and verified to an unprecedented level of accuracy up to the electroweak (EWK) scale. Given the unprecedented success of SM, it is not surprising that its history is paved with numerous awards for both experimental and theoretical work. In 1964, the Nobel prize was awarded to Feynman, Schwinger and Tomonaga for their fundamental work in quantum electrodynamics (QED). This quantum field theory allows to precisely

Table 1.1: Names, electric charges and masses (rounded to three significant digits if known to that precision) of all observed fermions in the SM [4].

	generation	particle	electric charge [e]	mass
leptons	1	electron (e)	-1	511 keV
		electron neutrino (ν_e)	0	< 2 eV
	2	muon (μ)	-1	106 MeV
		muon neutrino (ν_μ)	0	< 0.19 MeV
	3	tau (τ)	-1	1.78 GeV
		tau neutrino (ν_τ)	0	< 18.2 MeV
quarks	1	up (u)	$\frac{2}{3}$	2.3 MeV
		down (d)	$-\frac{1}{3}$	4.8 MeV
	2	charm (c)	$\frac{2}{3}$	1.28 GeV
		strange (s)	$-\frac{1}{3}$	95 MeV
	3	top (t)	$\frac{2}{3}$	173 GeV
		bottom (b)	$-\frac{1}{3}$	4.18 GeV

calculate fundamental processes as e.g. the anomalous magnetic moment of the electron to a relative experimental uncertainty of 2.3×10^{-10} [3]. In 1979, Glashow, Weinberg and Salam were awarded with the Nobel prize for their work towards electroweak unification. The most prominent recent progress is undoubtedly the discovery of the Higgs boson, not only resulting in the Nobel prize being awarded to Englert and Higgs, but also completing the SM, roughly 50 years after the existence of the Higgs boson had been theorised.

Couplings and masses are measured from experiment

1.1.1 Particle content of the SM

The SM successfully describes ordinary matter as well as their interactions, namely the electromagnetic, weak and strong interactions. Gravity is the only fundamental force not described within the SM. The particles in the SM are classified into two main categories, depending on their spin. Particles with half-integer spin follow Fermi-Dirac statistics and are called fermions. As they are subject to the Pauli exclusion principle, they make up ordinary matter. Particles with integer spin follow Bose-Einstein statistics and mediate the fundamental interactions between fermions.

Neutrino masses not in SM!

Fermions are further divided into leptons and quarks, which each come in three generations with increasing masses[†]. The three electrically charged leptons are each associated with a corresponding neutral neutrino (more on this *association* in chapter). While the SM assumes massless neutrinos, the observation of neutrino oscillations [5] implies the existence of at least two massive neutrinos. By extending the SM to allow non-vanishing neutrino masses, neutrino oscillations can be introduced through lepton generation mixing, described by the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) matrix [6]. Apart from an

Need ref

[†] Neutrinos might not exist in a normal mass hierarchy but could also have an inverted mass hierarchy.

Table 1.2: Names, electric charges and masses (rounded to three significant digits if known to that precision) of all observed bosons in the SM [4].

particle	spin	electric charge [e]	mass
photon (γ)	1	0	0
gluon (g)	1	0	0
W^\pm	1	± 1	80.4 GeV
Z^0	1	0	91.2 GeV
Higgs boson (H)	0	0	125 GeV

electric charge, the six quarks also carry a colour charge. There are three types of colour charge: *red*, *green* and *blue* as well as their respective anti-colours. The mixing in the quark sector through the weak interaction can be described by the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix [7]. Finally, each fermion comes with its own anti-particle with same mass and spin, but inverted charge-like quantum numbers[†]. All fermions in the SM are listed in table 1.1.

The fundamental forces described by the SM are propagated by bosons with spin $1\hbar$. The photon γ couples to electrically charged particles and mediates the electromagnetic interaction. As the photon is massless, the electromagnetic force has infinite range. The strong force is mediated by gluons carrying one unit of colour and one unit of anti-colour. Due to colour-confinement, colour charged particles like quarks and gluons cannot exist as free particles and instead will always form colour-neutral bound states. Although nine gluon states would theoretically be possible, only eight of them are realised in nature: the colour-singlet state $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|r\bar{r}\rangle + |g\bar{g}\rangle + |b\bar{b}\rangle)$ would be colour-neutral result in long-range strong interactions, which have not been observed. Finally, the weak force is mediated by a total of three bosons, two charged W -bosons W^+ and W^- , and a neutral Z -boson. The mediators of the weak force are massive, resulting in a finitely ranged interaction. The W^\pm and Z bosons gain their masses through the Higgs mechanism (discussed in chapter), resulting in a massive spin-0 boson, called the Higgs boson. All bosons known to the SM are listed in table 1.2.

1.1.2 The SM as a gauge theory

Formally, the SM is a collection of a special type of quantum field theories, called gauge theories. Quantum field theory (QFT) is the application of quantum mechanics to dynamical systems of fields, just as quantum mechanics is the quantisation of dynamical systems of particles. QFT provides a uniform description of quantum mechanical particles and classical fields, while including special relativity.

[†] The exact nature of anti-neutrinos is still an open question and ties into whether or not the neutrino mass matrix contains non-vanishing Majorana mass terms.

need ref

Might want to explain this later once I introduced the gauge groups?

In classical mechanics, the fundamental quantity is the action S , which is the time integral of the Lagrangian L , a functional characterising the state of a system of particles in terms of generalised coordinates q_1, \dots, q_n . In field theory, the Lagrangian can be written as spatial integral of a Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}(\phi_i, \partial_\mu \phi_i)$, that is a function of one or more fields ϕ_i and their spacetime derivatives $\partial_\mu \phi_i$. For the action, this yields

$$S = \int L dt = \int \mathcal{L}(\phi_i, \partial_\mu \phi_i) d^4x. \quad (1.1)$$

In the following, the Lagrangian density \mathcal{L} will simply be referred to as the *Lagrangian*. Using the principle of least action $\delta S = 0$, the equation of motions for each field are given by the Euler-Lagrange-equation,

$$\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi_i)} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_i} = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

As opposed to the Hamiltonian formalism, the Lagrange formulation of field theory is especially well suited in this context, as it exhibits explicit Lorentz-invariance. This is a direct consequence of the principle of least action, since boosted extrema in the action will still be extrema for Lorentz-invariant Lagrangians.

Symmetries are of central importance in the SM. As Emmy Noether has famously shown in 1918 [8] for classical mechanics, every continuous symmetry of the action has a corresponding conservation law. In the context of classical field theory, each generator of a continuous internal or spacetime symmetry transformation leads to a conserved current, and thus to a conserved charge. In QFTs, quantum versions of Noether's theorem, called Ward–Takahashi identities [9, 10] for Abelian theories and Slavnov–Taylor identities [11–13] for non-Abelian theories relate the conservation of quantum currents and charge-like quantum numbers to continuous global symmetries of the Lagrangian.

From a theoretical point of view, the SM can be described by a non-Abelian Yang-Mills type gauge theory based on the symmetry group

$$SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y,$$

where $U(n)$ ($SU(n)$) describes (special) unitary groups, i.e. the Lie groups of $n \times n$ unitary matrices (with determinant 1, if special). $SU(3)_C$ generates quantum chromodynamics (QCD), i.e. the interaction of particles with colour charge through exchange of gluons, and $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ generates the electroweak interaction. Here, the subscript Y represents the weak hypercharge, while the L indicates that $SU(2)_L$ only couples to left-handed particles (right-handed antiparticles).

need ref

Explicitly
derive
the Euler-
Lagrange
equa-
tions? Cf.
Peskins
Ch.2.2.

Check
correct-
ness of
formula-
tion

Gauge principle

The gauge principle is fundamental to the SM and dictates that the existence of gauge fields is directly related to symmetries under local gauge transformations. QED, being the simplest gauge theory, can be taken to illustrate this important principle. The free Dirac Lagrangian for a single, non-interacting fermion with mass m is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}} = \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi, \quad (1.3)$$

where ψ is a four-component complex spinor field, $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$, and γ^μ with $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are the Dirac matrices with the usual anticommutation relations generating a matrix representation of the Dirac algebra

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} \equiv \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2\eta^{\mu\nu} \mathbb{1}_4. \quad (1.4)$$

It is worth noting that the free Dirac Lagrangian is invariant under a global $U(1)$ transformation

$$\psi \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \psi, \quad (1.5)$$

where the phase θ is spacetime independent and real. In order to produce the physics of electromagnetism, the free Dirac Lagrangian however has to be invariant under *local* $U(1)$ phase transformations, which is not the case, as the transformed Lagrangian picks up an additional term from the spacetime derivative of the phase $\partial_\mu \theta(x)$.

In order for the Dirac Lagrangian to become invariant under a local gauge transformation, a new vector field $A_\mu(x)$ has to be introduced and the partial derivative has to be replaced with the covariant derivative[†]

$$\partial_\mu \rightarrow D_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu, \quad (1.6)$$

where e is the coupling of the fermion field to the gauge field A_μ and can be identified with the elementary charge. This leads to a Lagrangian that is invariant under the transformations

$$\psi \rightarrow e^{i\theta(x)} \psi, \quad A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu - \frac{1}{e} \partial_\mu \theta(x). \quad (1.7)$$

The modified Lagrangian now includes a term for interactions between the gauge field and the fermion field

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} \\ &= \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi - (e\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) A_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

and is indeed invariant under a local phase transformation. Yet, it still cannot be complete as it is missing a free kinetic term for the gauge field A_μ itself. For a vector field, the free

[†] The prescription of achieving local gauge invariance by replacing ∂_μ with D_μ is called *minimal coupling*.

term is described by the Proca Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Proca}} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_A^2 A^\nu A_\nu, \quad (1.9)$$

where $F^{\mu\nu} \equiv (\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu)$ is the field strength tensor that is invariant under the transformation in eq. (1.7). Since $A^\nu A_\nu$ is not invariant under the same transformation, the only way to keep the full Lagrangian invariant under a local phase transformation is by requiring $m_A = 0$, i.e. the introduced gauge field A_μ has to be massless, giving the Maxwell Lagrangian (ultimately generating the Maxwell equations)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Maxwell}} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}. \quad (1.10)$$

This finally yields the full Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}} &= \mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{Maxwell}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} \\ &= \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu) \psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi - \frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - (e\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi) A_\mu \\ &= \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m) \psi - \frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

which can be identified to be the full Lagrangian of QED. The introduced gauge field A_μ is therefore nothing else but the electromagnetic potential with its associated massless particle, the photon. Thus, by applying the gauge principle on the free Dirac Lagrangian, i.e. forcing a global phase invariance to hold locally, a new massless gauge field including interaction terms with the existing fields in the Lagrangian has to be introduced. In the case of the free Dirac Lagrangian, local gauge invariance produces all of quantum electrodynamics.

As the phase transformation in eq. (1.7) is part of the unitary group $U(1)$, this symmetry is called $U(1)$ *gauge symmetry*.

Quantum chromodynamics

As Yang and Mills have shown in 1954 [14], requiring a global phase invariance to hold locally is perfectly possible in the case of any continuous symmetry group. Considering a general non-Abelian symmetry group G , represented by a set of $n \times n$ unitary matrices $U(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N)$, parametrised by N real parameters $\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N$, then a gauge-invariant Lagrangian can be constructed with a similar prescription [15] as previously in the case of $U(1)$.

A total of n fermion fields with mass m are needed, arranged in an n -dimensional multiplet $\Psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)^T$. The free Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{free}} = \bar{\Psi} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \Psi, \quad (1.12)$$

is invariant under a global phase transformation

$$\Psi(x) \rightarrow U(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N) \Psi(x), \quad (1.13)$$

Each element in the set of transformations U can be written in terms of the group generators T^a

$$U(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N) = e^{i\alpha^a T^a}, \quad (1.14)$$

where the group indices $a = 1, \dots, N$ are to be summed over. The group generators T^a satisfy the commutation relations

$$[T^a, T^b] = if^{abc} T^c, \quad (1.15)$$

with f^{abc} the so-called structure constants quantifying the lack of commutativity between the generators. By convention, the basis for the generators T^a is typically chosen such that f^{abc} is completely anti-symmetric.

In order to make the Lagrangian invariant under local phase transformations, i.e. under transformations with a set of spacetime-dependent real parameters $\alpha^a(x)$ a vector field \mathbf{W}_μ together with a coupling constant g have to be introduced through the covariant derivative

$$\partial_\mu \rightarrow D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ig \mathbf{W}_\mu. \quad (1.16)$$

As D_μ acts on the n -dimensional multiplet Ψ , the introduced gauge field \mathbf{W}_μ has to be an $n \times n$ matrix and can thus be expanded in terms of the generators

$$\mathbf{W}_\mu(x) = T^a W_\mu^a(x), \quad (1.17)$$

explicitly illustrating, that a total of N gauge fields W_μ^a are introduced through the covariant derivative. For infinitesimal $\alpha^a(x)$, the gauge fields gauge transform according to

$$W_\mu^a \rightarrow W_\mu^a + \frac{1}{g} \partial_\mu \alpha^a + f^{abc} W_\mu^b \alpha^c \quad (1.18)$$

where g is the coupling of the fermion fields to the gauge fields. This introduces an interaction term of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = g \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \mathbf{A}_\mu \psi(x) \quad (1.19)$$

With the infinitesimal transformation laws for ψ and A_μ^a

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow (1 + i\alpha^a(x) t^a) \psi(x), A_\mu^a \rightarrow A_\mu^a + \frac{1}{g} \partial_\mu \alpha^a + f^{abc} A_\mu^b \alpha^c, \quad (1.20)$$

the Lagrangian becomes invariant under local phase transformations and the kinetic term for the introduced gauge fields is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu,a}, \quad (1.21)$$

, with the field-strength tensor

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + gf^{abc}A_\mu^b A_\nu^c. \quad (1.22)$$

The nonlinear term appearing in the field-strength tensor leads to cubic and quartic couplings between the gauge bosons.

1.1.3 Renormalisation and divergencies

1.2 Supersymmetry

Chapter 2

1

The LHC and ATLAS

2

Chapter 3

1

Data and Monte Carlo Simulation

2

3.1 Data

3

Chapter 4

1

Statistical data analysis

2

Chapter 5

1

Analysis

2

Chapter 6

1

Summary

2

Here be dragons/

3

Bibliography

- [1] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to quantum field theory*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, USA, 1995.
<http://www.slac.stanford.edu/~mpeskin/QFT.html>.
- [2] S. P. Martin, “A Supersymmetry primer,” [arXiv:hep-ph/9709356 \[hep-ph\]](#). [Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys.18,1(1998)].
- [3] P. J. Mohr, D. B. Newell, and B. N. Taylor, “CODATA Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physical Constants: 2014,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88** no. 3, (2016) 035009, [arXiv:1507.07956 \[physics.atom-ph\]](#).
- [4] C. Patrignani and P. D. Group, “Review of particle physics,” *Chinese Physics C* **40** no. 10, (2016) 100001. <http://stacks.iop.org/1674-1137/40/i=10/a=100001>.
- [5] **Super-Kamiokande** Collaboration, Y. Fukuda *et al.*, “Evidence for oscillation of atmospheric neutrinos,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** (1998) 1562–1567, [arXiv:hep-ex/9807003 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [6] Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa, and S. Sakata, “Remarks on the unified model of elementary particles,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **28** (1962) 870–880. [,34(1962)].
- [7] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, “CP Violation in the Renormalizable Theory of Weak Interaction,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **49** (1973) 652–657.
- [8] E. Noether and M. A. Tavel, “Invariant variation problems,” [arXiv:physics/0503066](#).
- [9] J. C. Ward, “An identity in quantum electrodynamics,” *Phys. Rev.* **78** (Apr, 1950) 182–182. <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.78.182>.
- [10] Y. Takahashi, “On the generalized ward identity,” *Il Nuovo Cimento (1955-1965)* **6** no. 2, (Aug, 1957) 371–375. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02832514>.
- [11] G. 'tHooft, “Renormalization of massless yang-mills fields,” *Nuclear Physics B* **33** no. 1, (1971) 173 – 199.
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0550321371903956>.
- [12] J. Taylor, “Ward identities and charge renormalization of the yang-mills field,” *Nuclear Physics B* **33** no. 2, (1971) 436 – 444.
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0550321371902975>.

- 1 [13] A. A. Slavnov, “Ward identities in gauge theories,” *Theoretical and Mathematical*
2 *Physics* **10** no. 2, (Feb, 1972) 99–104. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01090719>.
- 3 [14] C. N. Yang and R. L. Mills, “Conservation of isotopic spin and isotopic gauge
4 invariance,” *Phys. Rev.* **96** (Oct, 1954) 191–195.
5 <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.96.191>.
- 6 [15] I. C. Brock and T. Schorner-Sadenius, *Physics at the terascale*. Wiley, Weinheim,
7 2011. <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1354959>.

Symbols

1

Acronyms / Abbreviations

2

CKM Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa, page 7

3

LEP Large Electron Positron Collider, page 5

4

PMNS Pontecorvo–Maki–Nakagawa–Sakata, page 6

5

QCD Quantum Chromodynamics, page 7

6

QED Quantum Electrodynamics, page 5

7

QFT Quantum Field Theory, page 7

8

SM Standard Model of Particle Physics, page 5

9

Appendix A

1

A.1 N-1 plots for cut-scan results

2

Appendix B

1

B.1 Scatter plots comparing truth and reco yields in the SRs

2

3

Acknowledgements

1

An dieser Stelle möchte ich mich herzlich bei allen bedanken, die mich bei der Anfertigung dieser Arbeit unterstützt haben. Insbesondere danke ich herzlich

2

3

- Prof. Dr. Dorothee Schaile für die Möglichkeit, diese Arbeit an ihrem Lehrstuhl durchzuführen sowie das Korrekturlesen der Arbeit.
- Dr. Jeanette Lorenz für die ausgezeichnete Betreuung, die vielen Anregungen, sowie die ehrliche und konstruktive Kritik beim Korrekturlesen dieser Arbeit. Sie hat es mir ermöglicht aktiv im 1-Lepton Analyseteam an der Suche nach Supersymmetrie mitwirken zu können.
- Dr. Nikolai Hartmann für die unzähligen Diskussionen über den Sinn und Unsinn der Datenanalyse mit und ohne ATLAS Software. Vielen Dank für die stets geduldige und ausführliche Beantwortung meiner Fragen, sowie das Bereitstellen unzähliger Zeilen Code, ohne die vieles schwieriger gewesen wäre.
- Allen Mitgliedern des Lehrstuhls Schaile für die angenehme und freundliche Arbeitsatmosphäre.
- Allen Freunden, die stets da waren, wenn ich sie gebraucht habe.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

I also want to thank the entire 1-lepton analysis team, especially the coordinators Jeanette Lorenz, Da Xu and Alberto Cervelli. Thank you for the always supportive and enjoyable work environment. Many thanks also to Valentina Tudorache for patiently answering all my questions as well as all the entertaining conversations.

17

18

19

20

I would further like to thank Dr. Brian Petersen without whom the presented pMSSM studies would not have been possible.

21

22

En léiwen Merci och dem Yannick Erpelding fir d’Korrekturliesen—och wann en net alles verstanen huet—an dem Nick Beffort, fir all déi domm Reddit Posts, d’Korrekturliesen, an dei onzähleg Stonnen zesummen mat enger Spezi an der Hand.

23

24

25

Zu gudder Läscht, wëll ech op dëser Platz menger ganzer Famill merci soen, virun allem mengen léiwen Elteren an menger wonnerbarer Schwëster. Merci dass dir mëch bei allem waat ech maachen ënnerstëtzt an dass ech ëmmer op iech zielen kann, och wann ech weit fort sin. Ouni iech wier daat heiten net méiglech gewiescht. Besonneschen Merci och dem Nathalie Münster, dofir dass et mech seit Joren ëmmer ënnerstëtzt an ëmmer fir mech do as, och wann ech heiansdo depriméiert oder duercherneen sin.

26

27

28

29

30

31

Selbstständigkeitserklärung

1

Hiermit erkläre ich, die vorliegende Arbeit mit dem Titel

2

WIP: Work in Progress Title

3

WIP: Work in Progress Title

4

selbständig verfasst zu haben und keine anderen als die in der Arbeit angegebenen
Quellen und Hilfsmittel benutzt zu haben.

5

6

Eric Schanet

7

München, den 01. Mai 2021

8