

## SUPERVISOR MEETING 6

<b>Date</b>	10 March 2015
<b>Time</b>	4:00 pm – 4:30pm
<b>Venue</b>	SIS MR 4.6
<b>Attendees</b>	Prof Debin Gladys Khong Zhi Xuan Jocelyn Ng Khoo Hui Ping (Grace) Ngow Wei Yi Tan Shi Qi
<b>Absentees</b>	nil
<b>Agenda</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Schedule updates</li> <li>2. Architecture diagram</li> <li>3. Demo</li> <li>4. Mid-Terms feedback</li> <li>5. Commitment to use</li> <li>6. Source Code</li> <li>7. Project Description</li> </ol>

## AGENDA

No.	Task	Follow up
1	<b>Schedule Updates</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No iteration being delayed, everything as per normal. But rescheduled a few tasks.</li> <li>• Currently iteration 11. In iteration 10 we moved the auto refresh to iteration 11. Reason being, we redesigned our database and needed to refactor the codes for the centralised server thing.</li> </ul>	
2	<b>Architecture Diagram</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prof Debin: Can the architecture handle more than 1 ward? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Yes, it can handle more than 1 ward</li> </ul> </li> <li>• All wards can connect to the same URL, information is segregated</li> </ul>	
3	<b>Demo</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concurrency</li> <li>• Retrieval of values for pdf recognition</li> <li>• Exporting pdf values</li> </ul>	NA
4	<b>Mid-Terms feedback</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prof Debin: Ensure that all midterm feedback from the reviewers are taken care of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Architecture diagram – done</li> <li>◦ Functionality comparison – team will interview nurses</li> <li>◦ Automated testing – team will be doing it</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Gladys in charge of automated testing. Shi Qi and Grace in charge of auto refresh in current iteration.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Auto refresh – team will code in the current iteration</li> </ul>	
5	<b>Commitment to use</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prof Debin: What is going to happen? Will all lecturers be using it? Is there an agreement to show all are agree to use.</li> <li>• Paper document of signature as evidence that they agree to use it in their April semester.</li> </ul>	Shi Qi to draft out an agreement and team to review it.
6	<b>Source Code</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Check with Fiona to confirm if the team needs permission from SMU</li> </ul>	Shi Qi to email Fiona
7	<b>Project Description</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• BE more definite and promising thing, sound more certain in the description. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ E.g. HSEMR exposes Ngee Ann Polytechnic...</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Do we mention live deployment inside? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ No can't push any further</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Be stronger in terms of the benefit provided to the student.</li> </ul>	Team to review the project description and email Prof Debin

The meeting was adjourned at 4:30 pm. These minutes will be circulated and adopted if there are no amendments reported in the next three days.

Prepared by,

Grace

Vetted by,

Shi Qi