# Notes: Asynchronous Methods for Deep Reinforcement Learning[1]

esgl Hu

March 14, 2018

#### 1 Review

The simple online RL algorithms with deep neural networks was fundamentally unstable.

The sequence of observed data encountered by an online RL agent is non-stationary, and online RL updates are strongly correlated.

The experience replay has several drawbacks: it uses more memory and computation per real interaction; and it requires off-policy learning algorithms that can update from data generated by an older policy.

The loss function of one-step Q-learning is

$$L_{i}(\theta_{i}) = \mathbb{E}(r + \gamma \cdot max_{a'}Q(s', a'; \theta_{i-1}) - Q(s, a; \theta_{i}))^{2}$$
(1)

where s' is the state encounted after state s. One drawback of using one-step method is that obtaining a reward r only directly affects the value of the state action pair s, a that led to the reward. The values of other state action pairs are affected only indirectly througt the updated value Q(s,a). This can make the learning process slow since many updates are required the propagate a reward to the relevant preceding states and actions. One way of propagating rewards faster is by using n-step returns. In n-step Q-learning, Q(s,a) is updated toward the n-step return defined as  $r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \cdots + \gamma^{n-1} r_{t+n-1} + \max_a \gamma^n Q(s_{t+n}, a)$ .

Policy-based model-free methods directly parameterize the policy  $\pi(a|s;\theta)$  and update the parameters  $\theta$  by performing, typically approximate, gradient ascent on  $\mathbb{E}[R_t]$ . For example, standard **REINFORCE** updates the policy parameters  $\theta$  in the direction  $\nabla_{\theta}\log\pi(a_t|s_t;\theta)R_t$ , which is an unbiased estimate of  $\nabla_{\theta}\mathbb{E}[R_t]$ . It is possible to reduce the variance of this estimate while keeping it unbiased by subtracting a learned function of the state  $b_t(s_t)$ , known as a baseline from the return. The resulting gradient is  $\underline{\nabla_{\theta}log\pi(a_t|s_t;\theta)(R_t-b_t(s_t))}$ .

A learned estimate of the value function is commonly used as the baseline  $b_t(s_t) \approx V^{\pi}(s_t)$  leading to a much lower variance estimate of the policy gradient. When an approximate value function is used as the baseline, the quantity  $R_t - b_t$  used to scale the policy gradient can be seen as an estimate of the *advantage* of the action  $a_t$  in state  $s_t$ , or  $A(a_t, s_t) = Q(a_t, s_t) - V(s_t)$ 

## 2 Asynchronous one-step Q-learning

Algorithm 1 Asynchronous one-step Q-learning - pseudocode for each actor-learner thread.

```
1: // Assume global shared \theta, \theta^-, and counter T=0.
 2: Initialize thread step counter t \leftarrow 0
 3: Initialize target network weights \theta^- \leftarrow \theta
 4: Initialize network gradients d\theta \leftarrow 0
 5: Get initial state s
 6: repeat
          Take action a with \epsilon-greedy policy based on Q(s, a; \theta)
 7:
          Receive new state s' and reward r
y = \begin{cases} r & \text{for terminal } s' \\ r + \gamma max_{a'}Q(s', a'; \theta^{-}) & \text{for non-terminal } s' \end{cases}
 8:
 9:
          Accumulate gradients wrt : d\theta \leftarrow d\theta + \frac{\partial (y - Q(s,a;\theta))^2}{\partial \theta}
10:
          s = s'
11:
          T \leftarrow T + 1 and t \leftarrow t + 1
12:
          if T \mod I_{target} == 0 then
13:
               Update the target network \theta^- \leftarrow \theta
14:
15:
          end if
          if t \mod I_{asyncUpdate} == 0 or s is terminal then
16:
               Perform asynchronous update of \theta using d\theta.
17:
               Clear gradients d\theta \leftarrow 0.
18:
          end if
19:
20: until T > T_{max}
```

# 3 Asynchronous one-step Sarsa

The asynchronous one-step Sarsa algorithm is the same as asynchronous one-step Q-learning as given in algorithm 1 except that is uses a different target value for Q(s, a). The target value used by one-step Sarsa is  $r + \gamma Q(s', a'; \theta^-)$  where a' is the action taken in state s'.

#### 4 Asynchronous n-step Q-learning

### 5 Asynchronous advantage actor-critic

#### References

[1] Volodymyr Mnih, Adrià Puigdomènech Badia, Mehdi Mirza, Alex Graves, Timothy P. Lillicrap, Tim Harley, David Silver, and Koray Kavukcuoglu. Asynchronous methods for deep reinforcement learning. CoRR, abs/1602.01783, 2016.

#### Algorithm 2 Asynchronous one-step Q-learning - pseudocode for each actor-learner thread.

```
1: // Assume global shared parameter vector \theta
 2: // Assume global shared target parameter vector \theta^-
 3: // Assume global shared counter T=0
 4: Initialize thread step count t \leftarrow 1
 5: Initialize target network parameters \theta \leftarrow \theta
 6: Initialize thread-specific parameters \theta^- \leftarrow \theta
 7: Initialize network gradients d\theta \leftarrow 0
 8: repeat
           Clear gradient d\theta \leftarrow 0
 9:
           Synchronous thread-specific parameters \theta' = \theta
10:
           t_{target} = t
11:
           Get state s_t
12:
           repeat
13:
                 Take action a_t accordin to the \epsilon-greedy policy based on Q(s_t, a_t; \theta')
14:
                 Receive reward r_t and new state s_{t+1}
15:
16:
                 t \leftarrow t + 1
                 T \leftarrow T + 1
17:
           \begin{aligned} & \textbf{until} \text{ terminal } s_t \text{ or } t - t_{target} == t_{max} \\ & R = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{for terminal } s_t \\ max_a Q(s^t, a; \theta^-) & \text{for non-terminal } s_t \end{array} \right. \\ & \textbf{for } i \in t-1, ..., t_{start} \textbf{ do} \end{aligned} 
18:
19:
20:
                 R \leftarrow r_i + \gamma R
21:
                Accumulate gradients wrt \theta': d\theta + \frac{\partial (R - Q(s_i, a_i; \theta'))^2}{\partial \theta'}
22:
           end for
23:
24:
           Performance asynchronous update of \theta using d\theta.
           if T \mod I_{target} == 0 then
25:
                 \theta^- \leftarrow \theta
26:
           end if
27:
28: until T > T_{max}
```

```
Algorithm 3 Asynchronous advantage actor-critic - pseudocode for each actor-learner thread.
```

```
1: // Assume global shared parameter vector \theta and \theta_v and global shared counter T=0
 2: // Assume thread-specific parameters \theta' and \theta'_v
 3: Initialize thread step counter t \leftarrow 1
 4: repeat
          Reset gradients: d\theta \leftarrow 0 and d\theta_v \leftarrow 0.
 5:
          Synchronous thread-specific parameters \theta'=\theta and \theta'_v=\theta_v
 6:
 7:
          t_{target} = t
          Get state s_t
 8:
 9:
          repeat
                Perform a_t according to policy \pi(a_t|s_t;\theta')
10:
                Receive reward r_t and new state s_{t+1}
11:
                t \leftarrow t + 1
12:
                T \leftarrow T + 1
13:
14:
          until terminal s_t or t - t_{target} == t_{max}
          R = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for terminal } s_t \\ V(s_t, \theta_v') & \text{for non-terminal } s_t // \text{Bootstrap from last state} \end{cases}
15:
16:
          for i \in t - 1, ..., t_{start} do
                R \leftarrow r_i + \gamma R
17:
                Accumulate gradients wrt \theta': d\theta + \Delta_{\theta'} log \pi(a_i | s_i; \theta') (R - V(s_i; \theta'_v))
Accumulate gradients wrt \theta'_v: d\theta_v + \frac{\partial (R - V(s_i; \theta'_v))^2}{\partial \theta'_v}
18:
19:
          end for
20:
          Perform asynchronous update of \theta using d\theta and of \theta_v using d\theta_v
21:
22: until T > T_{max}
```