

# Dynamic Self-Topology: The Architecture of Agency

Series V Preface: The Chaperoned Vacuum

Emiliano Shea

December 24, 2025

## Abstract

Thermodynamics dictates dissolution into equilibrium (“the Blur”), yet agents persist, act, and anticipate. How is this stability possible in a universe governed by entropy? We propose that the non-local Action Kernel  $K(\square) = e^{-\square/\Lambda^2}$  functions via a **Chaperone Effect**, energetically suppressing singular histories and favoring closed, self-referential loops. We demonstrate that Agency emerges when a system’s action channel closes into a self-referential loop, internalizing the vacuum’s smoothness constraint. We predict a control parameter for the transition from reactive matter to anticipatory agency:

$$\chi \equiv \frac{\tau_{\text{mem}}}{\tau_{\text{env}}}.$$

DST predicts loop-stabilized anticipation only when  $\chi > 1$ , a threshold that can be probed in tunable reaction–diffusion platforms where an effective memory length is set by transport and coupling rates.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction: The Problem of Persistence</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Review: The Action Substrate . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>The Core Mechanism: The Chaperoned Vacuum</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Series Architecture: The Arc of the Self</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Definitions &amp; Axioms</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion: The Final Synthesis</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix: Series Overview</b>	<b>5</b>

# 1 Introduction: The Problem of Persistence

Thermodynamics dictates dissolution into equilibrium (the “Blur”), yet agents persist and anticipate [1, 2]. How is this stability possible? The goal of this final series is to derive the mechanism of this persistence. We ask: How does a system in a finite-capacity universe construct a stable “Self”?

## 1.1 Review: The Action Substrate

Before defining the Self, we must recall the physics of the medium derived in *Series IV: Action Field Theory*. We established that the vacuum is not empty; it is a material with a finite capacity for change, the **Action Quota** ( $\rho_0$ ). This constraint modifies the kinetic operator of the field with an entire, non-local kernel. We use the canonical Action Field Theory expression:

$$S[\phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \phi(x) e^{-\square/\Lambda^2} (\square - m^2) \phi(x) - \int d^4x V_{\text{int}}(\phi). \quad (1)$$

Here,  $\square = \partial_\mu \partial^\mu$  is the d’Alembertian operator, and  $\Lambda$  is the fundamental Action Scale. The kernel modifies the quadratic form so that high-momentum structure is exponentially disfavored in the Euclidean measure, yielding UV-softened propagators and finite loop integrals [3].

## 2 The Core Mechanism: The Chaperoned Vacuum

The central insight of **Dynamic Self-Topology (DST)** is that the Action Kernel acts as a universal stability filter. We term this the **Chaperone Effect**.

In biology, chaperone proteins bind to nascent polypeptides to prevent aggregation (“misfolding”) and reduce the conformational search space. Similarly, the Action Kernel restricts the space of physical histories. By assigning a prohibitive metabolic cost to “rough” trajectories (singularities, discontinuities), the vacuum naturally selects for “smooth,” self-consistent topologies. We formulate this in the Euclidean path integral to clarify the probabilistic weight. We Wick-rotate  $t \rightarrow -i\tau$  and define the *positive* Euclidean operator  $\square_E \equiv -(\partial_\tau^2 + \nabla^2)$ , so that  $-\square \rightarrow \square_E$  and  $\square_E \rightarrow p_E^2$  in momentum space.

$$S_E[\phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x_E \phi e^{+\square_E/\Lambda^2} (\square_E + m^2) \phi + \dots \quad (2)$$

<sup>1</sup> Fourier modes are then weighted by a quadratic cost

$$S_E \sim \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^4p_E}{(2\pi)^4} (p_E^2 + m^2) e^{+p_E^2/\Lambda^2} |\phi(p_E)|^2. \quad (3)$$

Because the action cost  $S_E$  grows exponentially with momentum, high-momentum modes ( $p_E^2 \gg \Lambda^2$ ) incur exponentially large action cost  $S_E \propto e^{+p_E^2/\Lambda^2}$ , hence are exponentially suppressed in the path integral weight  $e^{-S_E}$ . The kernel effectively projects the infinite-dimensional field configuration space onto a finite-dimensional subspace of smooth modes with  $p_E^2 \lesssim \Lambda^2$ .

**The Principle of Memory Advantage.** For a system to maintain a coherent boundary (a Markov Blanket) against environmental noise, its internal predictive model must be more persistent than the fluctuations it seeks to filter. This leads to a critical requirement: the system’s memory decorrelation time  $\tau_{\text{mem}}$  must exceed the environmental correlation time  $\tau_{\text{env}}$ . This defines the fundamental dimensionless ratio for agency:

$$\chi \equiv \frac{\tau_{\text{mem}}}{\tau_{\text{env}}}, \quad \chi > 1. \quad (4)$$

This **Principle of Memory Advantage** ensures the system “remembers” longer than the environment “forgets.” In the wet–dry context,  $\tau_{\text{env}} \sim \tau_{\text{cycle}}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Where  $+\dots$  represents interaction terms  $V_{\text{int}}$  after Wick rotation.

**Bridging Scales: From Field to Loop.** The kernel introduces a microscopic nonlocal response time of order

$$\tau_\Lambda \sim \Lambda^{-1} \quad (\text{in natural units, } \hbar = c = 1). \quad (5)$$

For  $\Lambda \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$ ,  $\tau_\Lambda \sim 10^{-27} \text{ s}$ , vastly shorter than macroscopic cycle times  $\tau$ . DST’s claim is that although  $\tau_\Lambda$  is microscopic, in a *coherent* closed action channel (with  $\chi > 1$ ), this microscopic smoothing bias accumulates coherently over many ( $\sim e^{\chi^2}$ ) cycles, yielding macroscopic selection for smooth, phase-locked trajectories (Papers III-IV).

#### Paper I Deliverable

In Paper I we formalize the *Chaperone Effect* as an inequality on trajectory roughness and prove that the stability of a closed loop under perturbations scales as  $\Gamma_{\text{decay}} \propto e^{-\alpha\chi^2}$ , where  $\Gamma$  is the phase-slip rate and  $\alpha = J/(k_B T_{\text{env}})$  is the dimensionless stiffness-to-noise ratio.

### 3 Series Architecture: The Arc of the Self

We will trace the lifecycle of the Self through five distinct stages. We begin with the physical mechanism of guidance in the vacuum (Paper I), proceed to the geometric structure of stable loops and the definition of the Self (Paper II), analyze the temporal dynamics of anticipation (Paper III), explore the origins of these loops in prebiotic conditions (Paper IV), and finally examine their dissolution into entropy (Paper V).

#### [Figure 1: Schematic of Dynamic Self-Topology]

[To be rendered: Panel A: A wavy, open line starting ordered and decaying into a fuzzy cloud labeled “Blur”. Panel B: A closed loop (like a distorted circle or torus knot) with a colored ribbon representing “internal memory”. An arrow orbits the loop. Panel C: A clean, horizontal flowchart: Boxes labeled I-V connected left-to-right. A dashed “reset” arrow from box V (“Blur”) points back to environmental conditions (feeding potential re-genesis).]

Figure 1: The Dynamic Self-Topology framework. Panel A: Open trajectory decays to Blur (maximum entropy). Panel B: Closed loop ( $W = 1$ ) with internal memory channel. Panel C: The five-paper arc from genesis through dissolution.

A detailed summary of each paper is provided in Appendix A.

## 4 Definitions & Axioms

### DST Core Axioms

**Axiom 1** (Chaperone Weighting). *Field configurations with significant high-momentum content ( $p_E^2 \gg \Lambda^2$ ) incur exponentially large action cost  $S_E \propto e^{+p_E^2/\Lambda^2}$ , hence are exponentially suppressed in the path integral weight  $e^{-S_E}$ .*

**Axiom 2** (Memory Advantage Threshold). *A persistent self-closed action channel can stabilize only when*

$$\chi \equiv \frac{\tau_{\text{mem}}}{\tau_{\text{env}}}, \quad \chi > 1.$$

**Definition 1** (The Hinge). *The phase-locked point in a system's cycle where predictive information about the drive peaks and stiffness is minimized.*

**Definition 2** (Topological Misfolding). *A phase transition where the system's boundary becomes permeable to environmental noise ( $W = 1 \rightarrow W = 0$ ), leading to thermalization.*

## 5 Conclusion: The Final Synthesis

This series completes the arc of Action Realism. We began by asking why there is something rather than nothing. We answered: because the Dreamer must pay the Pilot to exist.

Now, we ask: Why is that existence organized? The answer is the **Chaperone**. The finite bandwidth of the universe forces dissipative systems to form closed loops to minimize metabolic cost. Agency is not a violation of thermodynamics, but its topological solution. This series not only provides a theoretical framework but also yields concrete, testable predictions: spectral roll-offs (Paper III), critical cycle counts for abiogenesis (Paper IV), and critical slowing signatures near death (Paper V). The  $\chi > 1$  threshold can be probed in tunable reaction-diffusion platforms.

## A Appendix: Series Overview

### Paper I: The Chaperoned Vacuum (The Mechanism)

*"The Physics of Guidance."*

We establish the thermodynamic basis of DST. We prove that in a quota-bounded vacuum, the probability of a trajectory is weighted by its topological smoothness. We formally define the Chaperone Effect as the suppression of singular histories by the non-local kernel.

### Paper II: The Architecture of the Loop (The Self)

*"The Geometry of the Knot."*

We define the Self as a **Topological Soliton**. We derive the **Action Channel**, a feedback loop where the system's output becomes its input. We show that a stable boundary (a Markov Blanket) emerges only when the system's memory coherence time exceeds the environmental correlation time ( $\chi > 1$ ) [4].

### Paper III: The Anticipatory Hinge (Time)

*"The Physics of Prediction."*

We analyze the temporal structure of the loop. Because the Action Kernel is non-local, it smears inputs over a finite time window. We show that a closed DST loop exploits this smearing to "taste" the tail of the incoming action flow. We derive the **Hinge**, a cycle-locked moment of minimum stiffness where the system's phase leads the driving force (anticipatory synchronization) [5].

### Paper IV: The Genesis Engine (Origins)

*"The Pump of Life."*

How does the loop form initially? We propose the **Wet-Dry Cycle** as the "Genesis Pump". We show analytically that rhythmic environmental forcing drives matter into a cycle-locked resonance (the "Hinge" state formalized in Paper III) via Dissipation-Driven Adaptation [6, 7]. Life is the forced solution to the problem of existence in a driven, finite-capacity environment.

### Paper V: Pathology and the Blur (Entropy)

*"The Geometry of Death."*

We model death and pathology as **Topological Misfolding**. We show that when environmental stress (Action Pressure  $\mathcal{P}$ ) exceeds the system's topological capacity ( $\chi \approx 1/\mathcal{P}$  drops below 1), the chaperone mechanism fails. The loop snaps ( $W \rightarrow 0$ ), the predictive boundary dissolves, and the system returns to the maximum-entropy state of the Blur [8].

## References

- [1] Karl Friston. The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11(2):127–138, 2010.
- [2] Robert Rosen. *Anticipatory Systems: Philosophical, Mathematical, and Methodological Foundations*. Springer, New York, 2012.
- [3] Tirthabir Biswas, Erik Gerwick, Tomi Koivisto, and Anupam Mazumdar. Towards lhc physics with nonlocal standard model. *Physical Review Letters*, 108(3):031101, 2012.
- [4] Michael Kirchhoff, Thomas Parr, Ensor Palacios, Karl Friston, and Julian Kiverstein. The markov blankets of life: autonomy, active inference and the free energy principle. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 15(138):20170792, 2018.

- [5] Henning U Voss. Anticipatory synchronization. *Physical Review E*, 61(5):5115, 2000.
- [6] Jeremy L England. Statistical physics of self-replication. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 139(12), 2013.
- [7] Bruce Damer and David Deamer. The hot spring hypothesis for an origin of life. *Astrobiology*, 20(4):429–452, 2020.
- [8] Rolf Landauer. Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 5(3):183–191, 1961.