

LEMMA 1 (VARIANCE FORM):

From Variance Complementarity to the Unit Disk

A minimal and operational derivation of the Bloch-disk geometry

Emiliano Shea

November 15, 2025

Abstract

We present a minimal, operational, and fully self-contained derivation of the unit disk $a^2 + b^2 \leq 1$ for the expectation values of two **maximally complementary** dichotomic measurements. The key postulate is a single, variance-based complementarity condition $\text{Var}(A) + \text{Var}(B) \geq 1$. This has a direct operational interpretation: no physical state can simultaneously minimize the uncertainties (statistical variance) of two maximally incompatible binary measurements. From this single assumption, we obtain the Bloch-disk geometry immediately, without **presupposing** Hilbert space, complex numbers, or any specifically quantum mathematical structure. This result forms the foundational base for Lemma 2 (disk-to-sphere inflation), which reconstructs the Bloch ball and the geometry of the qubit.

1 Operational Setup (Minimal Assumptions)

We work with a single binary system described entirely in operational terms.

Assumption 1.1 (State Space). *The set of preparations (states) forms a convex set Ω .*

Definition 1.1 (Dichotomic Measurement). *A measurement M has outcomes ± 1 . For any state $\omega \in \Omega$,*

$$m := \langle M \rangle_\omega \in [-1, 1].$$

Assumption 1.2 (Affine Response). *The map $\omega \mapsto \langle M \rangle_\omega$ is affine for every dichotomic measurement.*

Definition 1.2 (Variance). *For a dichotomic ± 1 measurement, the variance is defined by the expectation values as*

$$\text{Var}(M)_\omega = \langle M^2 \rangle_\omega - \langle M \rangle_\omega^2.$$

Since M^2 is deterministically the outcome $(\pm 1)^2 = 1$, we have $\langle M^2 \rangle_\omega = 1$. Thus, the variance is simply

$$\text{Var}(M)_\omega = 1 - m^2.$$

2 The Variance Complementarity Axiom

Assumption 2.1 (Variance Complementarity). *There exist pairs of dichotomic measurements A, B satisfying, for all states,*

$$\text{Var}(A)_\omega + \text{Var}(B)_\omega \geq 1. \tag{1}$$

*We call such pairs **maximally complementary**.*

This postulate is the operational core of our reconstruction.

Physical Motivation and Justification

Physical Content. The axiom captures the essential operational limitation observed in quantum systems: the impossibility of preparing a state that has simultaneous definite outcomes (i.e., zero variance) for two incompatible measurements.

Operational Testability. This constraint can be verified experimentally by preparing states and measuring variances. It makes falsifiable predictions: observation of $\text{Var}(A) + \text{Var}(B) < 1$ for any complementary pair would falsify the axiom.

Non-Classical Content. Classical systems exhibit fundamentally different variance behavior:

- **Classical deterministic systems:** $\text{Var}(A) = \text{Var}(B) = 0$ (certainty about all observables simultaneously).
- **Classical probabilistic systems:** Independent observables have no variance trade-off; uncertainties are uncorrelated.
- **Quantum systems (our axiom):** $\text{Var}(A) + \text{Var}(B) \geq 1$ captures *irreducible complementarity*—incompatibility that cannot be reduced by better preparation.

The existence of a finite, non-trivial bound strictly between total certainty (sum = 0) and maximal randomness (sum = 2 for dichotomic observables) is the signature of quantum complementarity.

Why the Bound is “1”. The numerical value “1” is a choice of normalization (a unit convention) that sets the natural scale for the state space. Any positive bound C could be rescaled to 1 by redefining variance units. What matters physically is:

- The *existence* of a finite bound (distinguishing quantum from classical)
- The bound being *saturated* by pure states (states on the boundary circle)

The specific value “1” then defines the natural unit of action for the static structure.

Definition of “Maximally Complementary”. We define this operationally: two measurements A and B are maximally complementary if there exists at least one state that saturates the variance bound with equality. This is not circular—it is a *classification* of measurement pairs based on their operational behavior. The physical content of the axiom is that such pairs exist.

Relation to Standard Uncertainty Relations. This variance-sum condition is operationally equivalent to the more familiar product-form uncertainty relations. For qubit observables satisfying the algebra $[A, B] = 2iC$, the relation $\Delta A \Delta B \geq |\langle C \rangle|$ becomes equivalent to our variance sum $\text{Var}(A) + \text{Var}(B) \geq 1$ when specialized to pure states where $|\langle C \rangle|$ is maximal.

3 Lemma 1: The Unit Disk

We now state and prove the core geometric lemma.

Lemma 3.1 (Variance Complementarity Implies the Bloch Disk). *Let $a = \langle A \rangle_\omega$ and $b = \langle B \rangle_\omega$ for any state ω . If the variance complementarity condition (1) holds, then*

$$a^2 + b^2 \leq 1.$$

Thus the allowed expectation-value pairs (a, b) for two complementary dichotomic measurements form exactly the unit disk in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Proof. The proof is a direct substitution of the definition of variance (Section 1) into the complementarity axiom (Section 2).

Using the definition of variance for dichotomic observables, we have:

$$\text{Var}(A) = 1 - a^2, \quad \text{Var}(B) = 1 - b^2.$$

Insert these expressions into the axiom (1):

$$(1 - a^2) + (1 - b^2) \geq 1.$$

We can now rearrange the terms algebraically:

$$2 - (a^2 + b^2) \geq 1$$

Subtracting 1 from both sides and multiplying by -1 yields:

$$1 \geq a^2 + b^2.$$

This is precisely the condition $a^2 + b^2 \leq 1$. No further assumptions are required. \square

4 Consequences and Interpretation

4.1 Exact Geometry

The result shows that the physically achievable expectation-value pairs for two maximally complementary binary measurements form

$$\mathcal{D} := \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : a^2 + b^2 \leq 1\},$$

the Bloch disk. This geometric object—a Euclidean unit disk—is obtained without referencing quantum theory.

4.2 Boundary Conditions

The derived geometry $a^2 + b^2 \leq 1$ includes two physically significant boundaries:

The Circle ($a^2 + b^2 = 1$). States on the boundary of the disk are those that *saturate* the uncertainty relation. These are the minimal uncertainty states (pure states) for this pair of observables. They correspond to states with maximum knowledge: $\text{Var}(A) + \text{Var}(B) = 1$ exactly.

The Origin ($a = b = 0$). A state at the origin ($a = 0, b = 0$) corresponds to maximum variance ($\text{Var}(A) = 1, \text{Var}(B) = 1$) for both measurements. This state represents the **maximally mixed state** for this 2D cross-section, with equal probabilities for all outcomes.

4.3 Physical Meaning

The variance bound expresses the operational limitation:

No state can make both complementary measurements simultaneously precise.

This is a direct, experimentally meaningful uncertainty relation that follows from the Action Quota axiom.

4.4 Basis for the Full Reconstruction

Lemma 1 establishes the precise two-observable cross-section of the qubit state space. Lemma 2 (presented separately) uses rotational symmetry, operational homogeneity, and consistency across all measurement pairs to inflate the disk into the sphere, producing the full Bloch ball.

5 Roadmap to Lemma 2 (Disk-to-Sphere Inflation)

With Lemma 1 in place, the route to the Bloch ball follows these steps:

1. Show that every rotated pair of complementary dichotomic measurements yields the same disk (same radius, same geometry).
2. Use isotropy: there is no distinguished measurement direction.
3. Fit all 2D disks as slices of a single 3D convex body.
4. Symmetry plus convexity forces this body to be a Euclidean ball.

This produces the full geometry of the qubit without appealing to Hilbert space formalism.

6 Conclusion

Remark 6.1 (Minimality). *Variance complementarity is the correct operational axiom for deriving the Bloch disk from first principles. It is physically meaningful, algebraically clean, and mathematically decisive.*

Remark 6.2 (Comparison with Other Approaches). *Unlike reconstructions that begin from abstract informational principles or the Hilbert space formalism itself, this approach derives the core 2D geometry of quantum theory directly from a single, physically testable constraint on measurement statistics.*

Remark 6.3 (What Was Not Assumed). *No complex numbers, no Hilbert space, no linear operators, no Born rule. Only: convex state space, affine expectation maps, and the variance bound. The rest emerges from geometry and consistency.*