CS3920/CS5920 Assessed Coursework Assignment 3

December 1, 2020

This assignment must be submitted by 7 December 2020, 16:00. Feedback will be provided within fifteen working days of the submission deadline.

Learning outcomes assessed

Be able to use and implement machine-learning algorithms, with the SVM, neural networks, and cross-conformal prediction algorithms as examples. Have an understanding of ways to apply the ideas and algorithms of machine learning in industry.

Instructions

The coursework assignment must be completed strictly individually. You should not use in your submission any downloaded code or existing implementations of the learning algorithms. The submission is entirely electronic. You should submit one file (a Jupyter notebook) via the course's Moodle page.

Give your Jupyter notebook a reasonable name, such as SVM.ipynb. It should contain your code for the methods you have implemented, your numerical results, and comments (as explained below).

The file that you submit cannot be overwritten by anyone else, and it cannot be read by any other student. You can, however, overwrite your submission as often as you like, by resubmitting, though only the last version submitted will be kept. Submissions after the deadline will be accepted but they will be automatically recorded as late and subject to College Regulations on late submissions. Please note that all your submissions will be graded anonymously; your name should not appear anywhere in your submission.

The deadline for submission is Monday, 7 December, 16:00. An extension can only be given by the office or academic advisor (not the lecturer).

Note: All the work you submit should be solely your own work. Coursework submissions are routinely checked for this.

Coursework

This assignment requires the ability to use the SVM method and pipelines in scikit-learn, and also either an implementation of a cross-conformal predictor for classification or the ability to use neural networks in scikit-learn. All code (in Python) and text should be submitted as a single Jupyter notebook. Please leave all output produced by the system (i.e., do not remove the contents of cells like "Out [1]:").

Datasets

This assignment uses two datasets, wine (available in scikit-learn) and the USPS dataset of handwritten digits. The latter dataset can be downloaded from the web site of Hastie et al. (2009):

• Trevor Hastie, Robert Tibshirani, and Jerome Friedman. Web site for *The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction*, Second Edition, https://web.stanford.edu/~hastie/ElemStatLearn/.

Click on the Data button on the left and go to the end (ZIP code). You will need to guess the format of the dataset; since these are handwritten digits, the labels are digits 0–9; the other entries are the pixels of their images. (Each sample is represented by a line in the file; this single line is very long and will be split into several on your computer screen.)

Tasks

Do the following for both datasets (you might prefer to start with the smaller wine dataset):

- 1. Load the data set into Python using, e.g., load_wine or genfromtxt, as appropriate. In the case of the USPS dataset, merge the original training and test sets into one dataset.
- 2. Divide the dataset into a training set and a test set. You may use the function train_test_split. Use your birthday in the format DDMM as random_state (omit leading zeros if any).
- 3. Using cross-validation and the training set only, estimate the generalization accuracy of the SVM with the default values of the parameters. You may use the function cross_val_score.
- 4. Find the test error rate of the SVM with the default values of parameters, compare it with the estimate obtained in the previous task (task 3), and write your observations in a markdown cell of your Jupyter notebook.
- 5. Create a pipeline for SVM involving data normalization and SVC, and use grid search and cross-validation to tune parameters C and gamma for the pipeline, avoiding data snooping and data leakage. You may use the scikit-learn class GridSearchCV. Experiment with different ways of doing normalization (such as StandardScaler, MinMaxScaler, RobustScaler, and Normalizer). Which ways are appropriate for either dataset? (The answer, which should be written in your Jupyter notebook, may depend on the results that you obtain for the next task.)
- 6. Fit the GridSearchCV object of task 5 to the training set and use it to predict the test labels. Write the resulting test error rate in your Jupyter notebook.

- 7. Implement a cross-conformal predictor. You may use the KFold class for splitting into folds (start from 5 or 10 folds). For computing the conformity scores for each fold, you may use one of the GridSearchCV objects that you created in task 5 in combination with the decision_function method (see Section 3 of Lab Worksheet 9 for examples). Run your cross-conformal predictor on the two datasets, training it on the training set and testing on the test set.
 - To check its validity, produce a calibration curve, plotting the percentage of errors made on the test set¹ vs the significance level $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$.
 - Compute the average false p-value on the test set.
- 8. An alternative to implementing a cross-conformal predictor is to experiment with a neural network. Perform tasks 3–6 for the scikit-learn class MLPClassifier, as described in Lab Worksheet 8, Section 1. It is up to you to decide which parameters to fit using grid search.

Some tasks might be hard to separate (such as 5 and 6), and it's OK to implement several tasks in the same code cell.

Details

The cross-conformal predictor is described in Chapter 9. For other useful information and code, see Lab Worksheet 9 (e.g., the class KFold is described in Section 2 and plotting calibration curves is discussed in Section 4).

You are not allowed to use any existing implementations of the cross-conformal and related predictors, but you are allowed to use scikit-learn functions.

Make sure to include the following in your Jupyter notebook (for both datasets) if you are implementing a cross-conformal predictor:

- Your estimate of the generalization accuracy of SVM with the default values of the parameters (task 3) and the test error rate for the SVM with the default values of the parameters (task 4).
- The test error rate of your GridSearchCV object in task 6 for at least two different ways of normalization (including Normalizer).
- The average false p-value and calibration plot for your cross-conformal predictor.

Therefore, there should be at least $2 \times (2+2+1) = 10$ numbers and two plots in your Jupyter notebook.

If you are experimenting with a neural network, you should include the following numbers (for both datasets and both classifiers):

¹ As usual, a cross-conformal predictor makes an error if its prediction set fails to cover the true label. The percentage of errors is the number of errors made on the test samples divided by the size of the test set.

- Your estimate of the generalization accuracy with the default values of the parameters (task 3) and the test error rate with the default values of the parameters (task 4).
- The test error rate of your GridSearchCV object in task 6 for at least two different ways of normalization (including Normalizer).

Therefore, there should be at least $2 \times 2 \times (2+2) = 16$ numbers.

Marking criteria

To be awarded full marks you need both to submit correct code and to obtain correct results on the given data sets. Even if your results are not correct, marks will be awarded for correct or partially correct code. Ideal completion of tasks 1–6 will give you 60%. The remaining 40% will be awarded for your implementation of a cross-conformal predictor. In you are experimenting with a neural network instead of implementing a cross-conformal predictor, you will get 85% for an ideal submission; an ideal submission will involve selecting more than one parameter for the neural network.

Extra marks

There are several ways to get extra marks (at most 10%) that will be added to your overall mark (the sum will be truncated to 100% if necessary). Extra marks will be given for:

- A systematic investigation of the optimal number of folds for the cross-conformal predictor (taking into account both validity and efficiency).
- Any other interesting observations about the methods or the datasets (discuss these in your Jupyter notebook).

Appendix Unusual features of scikit-learn

- When using the method decision_function in SVC please avoid applying it to one test sample; it may give wrong results. It is safe to apply it to your whole test set at once.
- GridSearchCV runs only on one thread by default. If you set the variable n_jobs = -1 in GridSearchCV then it allows the function to run on all threads of your CPU (n_jobs = -2 runs on all but one thread etc.). It may improve the run-time considerably. I am grateful to Ryan Menzies for bringing this to my attention.