

---

---

# Hadronic Dark Matter Searches at CMS at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$

*Searches for invisibly decaying Higgs bosons and semi-visible jets*

---

By

ESHWEN BHAL



School of Physics  
UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL

A dissertation submitted to the University of Bristol in  
accordance with the requirements for award of the degree  
of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY in the Faculty of Science.

APRIL 2020

Word count: number in words



## ABSTRACT

H ere goes the abstract



## DEDICATION AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is dedicated to my grandfather, Dato' Mahindar Singh Bhal, who was able to begin this journey with me but sadly unable to finish it. There are far too many people and too little space to individually thank everyone who has accompanied me during this PhD, but I'll try my best.

Firstly, to my supervisor, Dr. Henning Flächer. Your advice and guidance over the course of this degree has been instrumental to achieving it, as well as encouraging my growth as a researcher.

Secondly, to all my colleagues at the University of Bristol. Very little would have been achieved without your help. From scientific discussions in the pub to pub discussions in the physics building, they have all been fruitful either directly or by helping me detach from work. Special thanks are in order to Simone and Sam Maddrell-Mander in my cohort, who have put up with my complaining during the stressful times.

To my friends from Monmouth that include my best friends in the world, Mike, James, Sneddon, (Mini) Sam, and Matt Bristow. You've been on this adventure with me since our school days and had my back the entire time. We've been through the highest, lowest, and most hilarious times together. I can definitively say I would not be the person I am today without you.

To my friends from LTA and those based at CERN, especially Matt Heath, Dwayne and Vukasin. You became my second family while I was in Geneva. I'll miss the skiing, trips into the city, games, and general banter.

To my undergraduate cohort from Exeter. Though our meet ups are rare, I always look forward to our group holidays and hope they continue far into the future.

Lorenza Iacobuzio, you get a special mention. While we weren't especially close until recently, you've been my sage, partner in food, and exceptional friend when I've needed it the most.

Finally, and most importantly, my family deserve my thanks. You have been there for me since the very beginning supporting me through school, undergrad, PhD, and every other endeavour. To my grandparents, uncles, aunties, and cousins, my siblings Joe, Lydia, Sitara, and Arjen, my stepmum Nadia, and my cat Pixie, I am sincerely grateful to have you in my lives. But above all else, to my mum Meeta and dad Kiron, I could not have done any of this without you and as such, you have my deepest and most heartfelt love and gratitude.



## AUTHOR'S DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this dissertation was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the University's *Regulations and Code of Practice for Research Degree Programmes* and that it has not been submitted for any other academic award. Except where indicated by specific reference in the text, the work is the candidate's own work. Work done in collaboration with, or with the assistance of, others, is indicated as such. Any views expressed in the dissertation are those of the author.

SIGNED: ..... DATE: .....



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Evidence for dark matter . . . . .	1
1.1.1 Alternative theories to dark matter . . . . .	3
1.2 Overview of dark matter searches . . . . .	3
1.2.1 Dark matter searches at the LHC . . . . .	5
<b>2 Theory</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 The standard model of particle physics . . . . .	8
2.2 Limitations of the standard model . . . . .	8
2.3 Theoretical motivations for, and descriptions of, dark matter . . . . .	8
2.4 Important observables in collider physics . . . . .	8
2.4.1 Transverse momentum ( $p_T$ ) . . . . .	8
2.4.2 $H_T$ . . . . .	9
2.4.3 Missing transverse momentum ( $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ ) . . . . .	9
2.5 Measuring the branching ratio for the invisible decays of the Higgs boson .	10
2.6 Searches for semi-visible jets . . . . .	10
<b>3 The LHC and the CMS experiment</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1 The Large Hadron Collider . . . . .	13
3.1.1 A proton's journey . . . . .	14
3.1.2 Evolution of the LHC . . . . .	15
3.2 The CMS experiment . . . . .	15
3.2.1 The CMS detector . . . . .	15

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

3.2.2	Recording data for analysis . . . . .	15
3.2.3	Jet energy corrections in the Level-1 Trigger . . . . .	15
<b>4</b>	<b>Combined search for the invisible decay of the Higgs boson in hadronic channels</b>	<b>21</b>
4.1	Analysis overview . . . . .	22
4.1.1	Hadronic production modes of the Higgs boson . . . . .	22
4.2	Data and simulation . . . . .	23
4.3	Triggers . . . . .	23
4.4	Categorisation of the non-VBF production modes . . . . .	23
4.5	Background estimation . . . . .	23
4.5.1	Control regions . . . . .	23
4.5.2	Background estimation methods . . . . .	23
<b>5</b>	<b>Search for dark matter through the production of semi-visible jets</b>	<b>25</b>
5.1	Analysis overview . . . . .	26
5.2	Data and simulation . . . . .	26
5.2.1	Generating signal samples in PYTHIA . . . . .	26
5.2.2	Generating signal samples in MADGRAPH . . . . .	26
5.2.3	Triggers . . . . .	26
5.3	Background estimation . . . . .	26
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>29</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>31</b>
	<b>Glossary</b>	<b>35</b>
	<b>Acronyms</b>	<b>37</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	Page
1.1 A visual representation of the three main types of dark matter detection: direct, indirect, and production . . . . .	4
1.2 The expected masses and interaction cross sections of some dark matter candidates. The centre of mass energy of the LHC is best suited to targeting Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs) . . . . .	6
2.1 The typical direction of the missing transverse energy relative to the semi-visible jets as a function of the invisible fraction $r_{\text{inv}}$ . . . . .	10
2.2 Example Feynman diagrams for the two main production modes of semi-visible jets. A $Z'$ boson mediates the $s$ -channel process while a bifundamental $\Phi$ mediates the $t$ -channel process . . . . .	10
2.3 The constituents of a semi-visible jet as a function of its invisible fraction . . . . .	11
3.1 A cutaway diagram of the CMS detector with all of the principal components labelled. This detector configuration was used for the 2017–18 data taking years	16
3.2 A transverse slice through the CMS detector with the main subsystems and components visible . . . . .	17
3.3 The integrated luminosity of $pp$ collision data delivered to CMS during 2015 and Run-2 of the LHC . . . . .	17
3.4 The average number of pileup interactions at CMS during 2015 and Run-2 of the LHC . . . . .	18
3.5 Examples of correction curves used to calibrate the jet energies in two $ \eta $ bins .	18
3.6 The energies of matched pairs of jets in the entire barrel and end cap, before and after jet energy corrections have been applied . . . . .	19
4.1 The Feynman diagrams for the four main hadronic production modes of the Higgs boson . . . . .	22



## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	Page
3.1 The integrated luminosity delivered by the LHC during Run-2 which were recorded and certified by CMS . . . . .	15



## INTRODUCTION

The universe, in all its vastness, structure, natural laws and chaos, is comprised of only three principal components: visible matter, the ingredients of stars, planets and life, is the only one we interact with on a regular basis; dark energy, a force or manifestation of something even more mysterious, responsible for the accelerating expansion of the universe, is almost entirely unknown; and dark matter, a substance invisible in all sense of the word, that binds galaxies together and influences large scale structure in the cosmos, is the focus of this thesis.

### 1.1 Evidence for dark matter

The earliest evidence for a large, non-luminous component of the galaxy stretches back to the 1920s when Jacobus Kapteyn attempted to explain the motion of stars in the Milky Way [28]. Since then, a wealth of independent astrophysical observations have reinforced the existence of this [mass] not just in our own, but in countless other galaxies and cosmological bodies. The Coma Cluster is a famous example: 90 % of its mass is thought to be [supplied] by dark matter, confirmed by its large mass-to-light ratio of  $400 M_{\odot}/L_{\odot}$  [43]. [Other evidence] is that the rotation curves of most galaxies are roughly flat [34], contrary to the expected Keplerian curve ( $v \propto 1/\sqrt{r}$ ) expected from solely visible matter. On a galactic scale, dark matter is sprinkled in a mostly spherical halo that spans beyond the observable disc. The inclusive dark matter mass increases linearly [20] to compensate for the decline expressed by visible matter [8, 22]. Gravitational lensing is another observational tool subject to be

influenced by dark matter. Images of galaxies and other objects captured by this method appear distorted from a large gravitational field between the source and observer warping its local spacetime [26]. Arcs, ellipses and Einstein rings of smeared galaxies are often seen when dark matter is present.

While there are no widely-accepted estimations, it is believed that 85–95 % of the Milky Way’s is comprised of dark matter [5, 6, 27]. [Though] these [estimations] include non-visible identifiable matter such as dim stars, black holes and neutron stars, the term “dark matter” typically reserved for the non-luminous, *non-baryonic* matter that pervades the cosmos. From the latest results of the Planck mission, the energy density of the observable universe is composed of 26.5 % dark matter [2]. This result follows the Lambda cold dark matter ( $\Lambda$ CDM) model to describe the constituents and evolution of the universe, which is often referred to as the cosmological analog of the standard model of particle physics (SM). From the calculations, postulations, and observations presented above, the following properties of dark matter can be deduced:

- It is electrically neutral since it does not interact with electromagnetic radiation.
- It is cold (non-relativistic). Its velocity within galaxies is similar to the inhabiting stars [7, 25], since the combination of visible and dark matter drives the measured rotation curves. One small caveat is that galactic dark matter would be cold since a velocity above the gravitational escape velocity of the galaxy would eject high speed particles.
- It is stable, at least on the timescale of the current age of the universe. Dark matter production is postulated to have occurred only in the early universe via a thermal freeze out mechanism [REF theory section]. Hence, the remaining fraction has been present for a considerable time. Since most galaxies are dominated by dark matter and the gravitational influence from only the visible matter is too small to maintain itself, they could not have developed without it. This supports the idea of “bottom-up” structure formation in the universe; smaller galaxies form around gravitational potential wells provided by coalescing dark matter, then merge to form larger structures [42].
- Its interaction with matter and itself is very weak, or even non-existent. The Bullet Cluster – consisting of two colliding galaxies, is the best example of this inference. From measurements of predominantly x-ray emission and gravitational lensing, it was found that while there is substantial dark matter present, interaction with itself and the visible matter surrounding it was minimal at most [12]. A kinematic explanation for the spherical distribution and low velocity of dark matter in galaxies can be explained

by its collisionless nature. During the formation of a galaxy or stellar system, visible matter frequently collides, dissipating angular momentum and collapsing into a disc.

### 1.1.1 Alternative theories to dark matter

Though little is known about dark matter itself since all evidence stems from its gravitational influence, there are alternative theories that may explain the observations presented above. However, the scientific community can exclude many of these. Mismeasurements of the amount of baryonic matter such as neutrinos, neutrons, and interstellar gas are among the simpler propositions.

The neutrino flux from stars, as well as the cosmic neutrino background [41], are precise and well-tested [REF]. Even considering the upper limits on neutrino masses [32], they cannot make a significant contribution to the dark matter content in the universe. This is even discounting their highly relativistic nature, where myriad experimental evidence suggests dark matter is cold.

One can also use the Cosmic Microwave Background to calculate the average photon and neutrino densities, and Big Bang Nucleosynthesis calculations to determine the baryonic matter density (see Ref. 21 for results with the latest Planck mission data). These can be compared to other measurements, e.g., mass-to-light ratios averaged across the universe, to reveal a discrepancy [18].

Neutrons cannot contribute to dark matter because isolated neutrons are unstable, decaying in a matter of minutes [33]. Decay into charged protons and electrons, they interact strongly with light and therefore contribute to the luminous matter content.

Modified Newtonian dynamics (MOND) is a hypothesis that aims to explain phenomena typically associated with dark matter instead by modifications to Newton's laws of motion. There exist many theories and interpretations derived from this principle, though any one strand that tries to explain an observation usually fails to satisfy other phenomena or apply to length scales that general relativity may predict well. For example, observations of the Bullet Cluster [12] have discredited many popular MOND models.

## 1.2 Overview of dark matter searches

While observational evidence has so far lain with astrophysics, a theoretical description and discovery of dark matter may fall into the realm of particle physics with the numerous, novel

experimental searches underway. The detection of dark matter can be classified into three distinct methods with unique signatures (with a visual summary in Fig. 1.1):

- **Direct:** dark matter may interact with visible matter on small scales, scattering SM particles [36]. The recoil these SM particles experience could be detected by highly-sensitive, low background experiments such as LUX-ZEPLIN (LZ) [3] that specialises in the search for WIMP dark matter at a large range of masses.
- **Indirect:** if dark matter interacts with itself, it may annihilate to produce showers of high energy photons or pions. Background estimation is difficult since the signatures can be highly model-dependent. The photons may be of a continuum – from hadronisation and radiation of the decay products – or contain features, such as internal radiation from the propagator in the interaction or from loop-level processes [17]. Large ranges of the annihilation cross section and dark matter mass can be probed with telescopes already searching for these [signatures].
- **Production:** dark matter may have been abundantly produced in the hot, early universe. High energy particle accelerators such as the LHC can reproduce these conditions, with the WIMP miracle (see Chpt. 2.3) reinforcing the [fact dark matter may exist in these accessible mass ranges]. Many beyond the standard model (BSM) theories accommodate dark matter candidates with a diverse spectrum of final states that can be investigated by analysing LHC data.




Figure 1.1: A visual representation of the three main types of dark matter detection: direct (dark matter recoiling from standard model particles); indirect (annihilation of dark matter); and production (dark matter created in high energy physics collisions).

### 1.2.1 Dark matter searches at the LHC

Since its detection at the CMS experiment from the production mechanism is the focus of this thesis, it is important to establish the current state of dark matter searches at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), the world’s most powerful particle accelerator that provides data for CMS. Both machines are described in detail in Chpt. 3, and as such only a summary will be provided here. The LHC principally collides protons at centre of mass energies up to  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. These [absurdly] high energies allow the conditions in the very early universe to be simulated in which heavy, unstable particles were created in abundance. As such, many theories can be investigated that postulate heavy particles that do not exist in the universe today. Some of these, such as supersymmetry (SUSY) [31], sterile neutrinos [19], and Kaluza-Klein states [23] contain dark matter candidates that can be specifically searched for, or indirectly discovered if a theory is experimentally proven. Despite the success of the standard model in explaining the natural world, it does not substantiate the existence of dark matter; hence why BSM theories are popular. Fig. 1.2 illustrates the masses and interaction cross sections of many dark matter candidates. WIMPs (highlighted by the purple rectangle) are the subject of many searches at the LHC since the expected mass ranges and cross sections are accessible there.

Two avenues are usually considered when attempting to discover dark matter: explicit searches for the signatures of dark matter production, and anomalies in precision measurements. The former is quite common, with many theories and models tested at the LHC’s general purpose detectors, ATLAS and CMS. Searches at CMS have been performed for promptly-decaying and “long-lived” supersymmetry in hadronic final states (in which I made contributions) [30, 39]. Searches for specific supersymmetric particles in a variety of decay modes have been conducted by both experiments [10]. In many of these cases, the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) is considered to be a dark matter candidate. *R*-parity conservation is predicted (or even enforced) in many SUSY models [31], which prevents the decay of LSP and any lighter, standard model particles that have been observed to be stable. While supersymmetry is the most popular BSM theory, due in part to its numerous interpretations and [avenues] for discovery, many others have also been explored at the LHC. From microscopic black holes [29], to [OTHERS], there are many [avenues] that have the potential to uproot the standard model. The analyses above are usually characterised by large “missing” transverse momentum, a quantity that represents the momenta of particles invisible to the detector, which include dark matter (see Chpt. 2.4.3 for more detail). In Chap. 5, I discuss in detail a search for dark matter that utilises this variable.

Precision measurements of standard model [quantities] is the other method often con-




Figure 1.2: The expected masses and interaction cross sections of some dark matter candidates. The centre of mass energy of the LHC is best suited to targeting WIMPs. Figure acquired from Ref. 17.

sulted in the hopes of attributing anomalies to new physics. For example, attempts to explain anomalies in the  $b \rightarrow s$  transition include dark matter candidates [11, 40]. In Chap. 4, I investigate how the measurement of the Higgs boson to invisible state branching ratio can accommodate dark matter.

There is significant motivation to study dark matter from a wider, as well as a more personal, viewpoint. It is important to understand how the universe operates, and dark matter opens up the potential for new physics that improves our understanding of nature. My personal interests include the blend of particle physics and astrophysics, and the opportunity to discover and add to humanity's collective wisdom. With  $137 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  from CMS during Run-2 at  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ , there is great potential to constrain some of the properties of dark matter. This thesis showcases the motivation for, and results of, searches for invisibly decaying Higgs bosons and semi-visible jets with the full Run-2 dataset collected by the CMS experiment.

## THEORY

This is the theory chapter.

- Give an overview of the fundamental forces and particles.

- Discuss the Standard Model in detail, emphasising certain aspects as they relate to dark matter and the Higgs field (and boson).
- Briefly recap dark matter, referencing descriptions in introduction. But go into more theoretical descriptions and motivations and how they relate to potentially discovering it at the LHC (WIMP miracle).
- Discuss the theory behind combined Higgs to inv.: only SM process in which Higgs decays invisibly is  $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\nu$  with branching ratio of 0.1 % [24], whilst the current observed experimental limit is 19 % from CMS [38] and 26 % from ATLAS [1]. If new, invisible particles couple to Higgs, branching ratio will be enhanced. Constraining  $\mathcal{B}$  can also exclude some dark matter models.
- Discuss the theory behind the semi-visible jets analysis (main sources from Refs. 13, 14): strongly interacting dark sector in Hidden Valley scenario with a portal to the visible sector. Mentioning dark quarks  $\chi$ , dark confinement scale  $\Lambda_{\text{dark}}$ , dark hadronisation and decay, running coupling  $\alpha_{\text{dark}}$ , etc.
- Explain some of the phenomenological/experimental event characteristics that overlap with both analyses, i.e., what a jet is, and maybe energy sums like  $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ ,  $H_T$ ,  $H_T^{\text{miss}}$ , etc.

## 2.1 The standard model of particle physics

## 2.2 Limitations of the standard model

Despite the standard model providing precise predictions of three of the four fundamental forces and the particles that they interact with, there are many experimental observations that it cannot currently explain. Neutrino masses, dark matter, dark energy, and gravity all escape its description.

## 2.3 Theoretical motivations for, and descriptions of, dark matter

The universe may have birthed dark matter via one of many possible mechanisms. The most popular is described as a “thermal freeze-out” process. In the hot, early universe when the thermal background allowed spontaneous pair production of particle dark matter, it was produced in abundance. During this period, they may also have frequently annihilated since the cosmos was still small. Inevitably, the universe expanded and cooled; the temperature became too low to allow significant production [4]. Matter was further separated and the dark matter annihilation rate decreased, leaving a behind the “thermal relic” that is observed today. These remaining particles were attracted via gravity, forming filaments throughout the universe. The potential wells they induced allowed the progenitors of galaxies to form within.

## 2.4 Important observables in collider physics

### 2.4.1 Transverse momentum ( $p_T$ )

In the LHC (or any other collider), the longitudinal momentum of the initial state particles is typically unknown. However, the momentum transverse to the beam is zero before the collision, and therefore must be zero afterward after due to momentum conservation. This is why the transverse momentum of a particle or physics object ( $\vec{p}_T$  for the vector quantity,  $p_T$  for its magnitude) is a useful variable in an analysis.

### 2.4.2 $H_T$

The scalar sum of the transverse momentum of hadronic constituents in an event, i.e., the jets, is symbolised as  $H_T$ . It is often used in analyses focused on hadronic objects, such as natural supersymmetry in which a large jet multiplicity is expected. Formally,

$$(2.1) \quad H_T \equiv \sum_{\text{jets}} p_T$$

Typically, a lower limit on  $p_T$  is used when calculating the  $H_T$ , so jets below this threshold do not factor into the sum. This is to avoid low momentum jets attributed to pileup events (see Chpt. [REF PU IN DETECTOR CHAP.]), and those from the primary vertex that can often be mismeasured.

### 2.4.3 Missing transverse momentum ( $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ )

The missing transverse momentum  $p_T^{\text{miss}}$  is defined as the negative vector sum of the  $p_T$  of all identified particles in an event. It is a term often used interchangeably with missing transverse energy (MET,  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ ). Undetected particles from neutrinos or dark matter, or mismeasured kinematic properties of identified particles, will introduce an imbalance in the vector sum of the  $p_T$ . Hence, the  $p_T^{\text{miss}}$  will be non-zero. Formally,

$$(2.2) \quad p_T^{\text{miss}} \equiv - \sum_i^{N_{\text{particles}}} \vec{p}_{T,i}$$

The hadronic-only counterpart to this variable,  $H_T^{\text{miss}}$ , is the negative vector sum of the jet transverse momenta in an event:

$$(2.3) \quad H_T^{\text{miss}} \equiv - \sum_j^{N_{\text{jets}}} \vec{p}_{T,j}$$

As with  $H_T$ , the  $H_T^{\text{miss}}$  is often calculated with a lower limit on the jet  $p_T$ .

## 2.5 Measuring the branching ratio for the invisible decays of the Higgs boson

## 2.6 Searches for semi-visible jets




Figure 2.1: The typical direction of the missing transverse energy  $\cancel{E}_T$  (or  $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ ) relative to the semi-visible jets as a function of their invisible fraction  $r_{\text{inv}}$  [14].




Figure 2.2: Example Feynman diagrams for the two main production modes of semi-visible jets [14]. A  $Z'$  boson mediates the *s*-channel process while a bifundamental  $\Phi$  mediates the *t*-channel process.




Figure 2.3: The constituents of a semi-visible jet as a function of its invisible fraction  $r_{\text{inv}}$  [14].



## THE LHC AND THE CMS EXPERIMENT

This is the detector chapter.

- Explain CERN and the LHC in more detail.

- Give an overview of the CMS experiment and detector (including all subsystems, object identification, algorithms for event/object reconstruction like Particle Flow and anti- $k_T$  algorithm, and algorithms for tagging objects like  $b$ -jets). Explain geometry as well ( $\phi$ ,  $\eta$  – with a description (angle between particle/object and beam axis) and equation, also noting the boundaries between barrel, end cap and HF).

- As a subsection in this chapter, discuss the Level-1 Trigger in depth. Emphasise the jet and energy sum triggers as I've worked on them, and Calorimeter Layer-2 for the same reason. Tie into SVJ and Hinv since they're hadronic searches.

### 3.1 The Large Hadron Collider

Deep underground beneath the Franco-Swiss border lies the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), a synchrotron particle accelerator 27 km in circumference. As the largest machine in the world, the LHC stands as a testament to the importance of fundamental science and the dedication to which it is pursued. Predominantly a proton collider, lead and xenon ions have also been injected for novel and unique studies. Four principle experiments are situated at their own interaction points where the two beams of particles are brought into contact: CMS (Compact Muon Solenoid), a general purpose detector with interests in precision

measurements, searches for new physics, and many other avenues; ATLAS (A Toroidal LHC ApparatuS), another general purpose detector with similar aims to CMS; LHCb, designed to study the decay of  $B$  hadrons; and ALICE (A Large Ion Collider Experiment), primarily studying heavy ion collisions and the quark-gluon plasma.

### 3.1.1 A proton’s journey

A proton begins its journey as a hydrogen atom in a little, red bottle. Around  $10^{14}$  protons are supplied to each beam in the LHC, with billions of refills available in this single container. Once the hydrogen atoms leave the source, they are stripped of their electrons, and [sent] to the linear accelerator LINAC2. This is the start of a long voyage through the accelerator complex.

Given a modest boost to 50 MeV (megaelectron volts), the protons are sequentially fed from LINAC2 into the Proton Synchrotron Booster (PSB) that accelerates them further to 1.4 GeV. Another upsurge is provided once the protons travel to the Proton Synchrotron (PS), this time to 26 GeV. Then the final energy increase received before entering the LHC comes from the Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS), leaving the protons at 450 GeV. Once injected into the LHC, they are finally accelerated to their peak energy of up to 6.5 TeV from a sequence of radio frequency cavities over the course of twenty minutes. The oscillation frequency of these cavities is precisely tuned and timed to give protons the appropriate kicks and accelerate them to the desired energy. Since there is a distribution of proton energies in the beam, those that enter a cavity slightly out of time with a different energy than expected consequently become sorted into “bunches”. The remainder of the LHC ring is used to steer the beam with the aid of over 1,200 liquid helium-cooled superconducting dipole magnets. It is also focused by almost 400 equivalently-cooled quadrupole magnets to increase the rate of proton collisions.

One beam consists of a “train” of up to 2,808 bunches spaced 25 ns apart, each with 115 billion protons. Using these bunches provides an advantage to the experiments at each of the interaction points. Discrete collisions take place between bunches in the opposing beams at 40 MHz as opposed to an almost continuous stream of protons. This allows for estimates of multiple interactions per bunch crossing (known as *pileup*) that can be filtered out, and would otherwise introduce miscalculations of sums like  $p_T^{\text{miss}}$  and  $H_T$ . Each proton-proton collision is colloquially known as an “event”, with data recorded by each experiment separated into events.

### 3.1.2 Evolution of the LHC

The LHC began operating in 2010 at a centre of mass energy of  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$  (teraelectron volts), 3.5 TeV per beam. A modest increase to 8 TeV was achieved by the end of Run-1 in 2013. After upgrades were performed, the LHC resumed operation in 2015, further pushing the frontiers of high energy physics with a centre of mass energy of  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ . While valuable data was taken, it was not until 2016 when Run-2 of the LHC began. This period ended in 2018 with  $158.64 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  of  $pp$  collisions delivered,  $146.45 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  of which were recorded by CMS who certified  $137.19 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  suitable for analysis [15, 16]. A breakdown by year is presented in Tab. 3.1.

Integrated luminosity	2016	2017	2018	Full Run-2
Delivered by LHC ( $\text{fb}^{-1}$ )	40.99	49.79	67.86	158.64
Recorded by CMS ( $\text{fb}^{-1}$ )	37.80	44.98	63.67	146.45
Certified by CMS ( $\text{fb}^{-1}$ )	35.92	41.53	59.74	137.19

Table 3.1: The integrated luminosity delivered by the LHC during Run-2 which were recorded and certified by CMS. Numbers obtained from Refs. 15, 16.

## 3.2 The CMS experiment

### 3.2.1 The CMS detector

### 3.2.2 Recording data for analysis

### 3.2.3 Jet energy corrections in the Level-1 Trigger

Jet energy corrections (JEC) are necessary to compensate for various losses when recording jet properties in the trigger. These losses depend on the transverse momentum  $p_T$  and pseudorapidity  $\eta$ . The calibrations ensure that the performance of the trigger is uniform across the detector. Firstly, some ideal (or reference) jets are needed to compare against given L1 jets. Since Monte Carlo datasets are used for the calibrations, the reference jets we use are the generator-level jets (or GenJets). These are stable, simulated particles clustered with the anti- $k_T$  algorithm algorithm [9] to form the jet. The state of these jets are post-hadronisation, before detector interaction. L1 jets need to be matched against the GenJets. From there, various studies can be performed such measuring the response of the detector  $\langle p_T^{\text{L1}} / p_T^{\text{ref.}} \rangle$ , and its position and energy resolutions.




Figure 3.1: A cutaway diagram of the CMS detector with all of the principal components labelled. This detector configuration was used for the 2017–18 data taking years, where the coverage of the pixel detectors in the silicon tracker were upgraded from  $1 \text{ m}^2$  (66 million channels) to  $1.9 \text{ m}^2$  (124 million channels). Image taken from Ref. 35.

Once Calorimeter Layer-1 experts have derived scale factors for the physics objects, they are applied in Layer-2 where the calibrations are conducted. For jets, ntuples are created from the specified dataset and the L1 jets are matched to the GenJets using the variable  $\Delta R$ :

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta\eta^2 + \Delta\phi^2}$$

where  $\phi$  is the azimuthal angle of the jet. The algorithm used to match the jets does so by inspecting each L1 jet in descending  $p_T$  and searching for a reference jet with  $\Delta R < 0.25$ . If there is more than one match, the reference jet with the smallest  $\Delta R$  is taken. Then the next L1 jet (and so on) follows the same procedure, with the previous reference jet removed from the matching collection. Calibrations are then derived. The reciprocal of the response vs.  $p_T^{\text{L1}}$  is plotted for each  $|\eta|$  bin and correction curves are fitted to them (see Fig. 3.5). Once tuned such that the fit captures the low- $p_T$  spike and high- $p_T$  plateau, closure tests are conducted as the final step. The ntuples are remade with JECs and then matched with the reference jets to check that the calibrations have been properly applied. Plots such as Fig. 3.6 are then passed




Figure 3.2: A transverse slice through the CMS detector with the main subsystems and components visible (figure obtained from Ref. 37). Several particles produced at the primary vertex and their interactions with the detector are also depicted.




Figure 3.3: The integrated luminosity of  $pp$  collision data collected by CMS during 2015 and Run-2 of the LHC. Figure obtained from Ref. 15.




Figure 3.4: The average number of pileup interactions at CMS during 2015 and Run-2 of the LHC. Figure obtained from Ref. 15.

to the Trigger Studies Group to check over and continue the chain of trigger corrections and object calibrations.




Figure 3.5: Examples of correction curves used to calibrate the jet energies in two  $|\eta|$  bins. The response is plotted against the  $p_T$  of the Level-1 jet and a complex function produces a fit. These plots are from the jet energy corrections performed on 2018 QCD Monte Carlo.




Figure 3.6: The energies of matched pairs of jets in the entire barrel and end cap, before and after jet energy corrections have been applied. After calibrations, the distribution is much more symmetrical. An equivalent plot using jets from LHC data is expected to look similar after applying these calibrations.



## COMBINED SEARCH FOR THE INVISIBLE DECAY OF THE HIGGS BOSON IN HADRONIC CHANNELS

This is the analysis chapter on  $H \rightarrow \text{inv.}$ .

Discuss how the theoretical aspects from the Theory chapter translate into an experimental search.

- Discuss the necessity of including all production modes of Higgs (invisible final state, so characterise events based on initial/additional particles). Also mention how sensitive each production mode is at contributing to the branching ratio limit. Emphasise the non-VBF modes ( $ggF$ ,  $t\bar{t}H$ ,  $VH - W^+H$ ,  $W^-H$ ,  $ZH$ ) in this chapter as that's what I've been working on and another student will be covering VBF.
- Talk about what makes this analysis unique: doing a combination over all production modes from the start instead of separate analyses combined at the end. Means we can share samples, systematics, background methods and workflows, build in orthogonality between the different modes and cover as much phase space as possible (with new final states such as boosted  $Z$  bosons with unresolved subjects). This makes the analysis much more cohesive and consistent.
- Include object definitions, overall analysis strategy, triggers, signal production (with each non-VBF mode in detail), event selection, background estimation and results/limit (including comparisons to previous results).
- Emphasise my contributions: control region construction and studies, background estimation, and other studies I will have conducted by the time I write up.

- Current material: no public plots as of yet. Hope to finish analysis by the time I begin writing up. We are preparing a CMS internal analysis note, documenting all aspects of the analysis. I will add all relevant information there which I can subsequently use when writing this chapter.

## 4.1 Analysis overview

### 4.1.1 Hadronic production modes of the Higgs boson




Figure 4.1: The Feynman diagrams for the four main hadronic production modes of the Higgs boson.

## 4.2 Data and simulation

### 4.3 Triggers

### 4.4 Categorisation of the non-VBF production modes

### 4.5 Background estimation

#### 4.5.1 Control regions

#### 4.5.2 Background estimation methods



## SEARCH FOR DARK MATTER THROUGH THE PRODUCTION OF SEMI-VISIBLE JETS

This is the analysis chapter on semi-visible jets.

- Discuss how the theoretical aspects from the Theory chapter translate into an experimental search.
- Include object definitions, triggers, overall analysis strategy, signal production, event selection, background estimation and results/limit (including comparisons to similar searches – monojet/dijet exotic searches).
- Emphasise my contributions:  $s$ - and  $t$ -channel signal model production and understanding. Angular variable study for QCD background rejection (if used).
- Current material: no public plots as of yet. Hope to finish  $s$ -channel analysis soon (see previous section for caveats regarding inclusion), no timeline on  $t$ -channel analysis.

## 5.1 Analysis overview

## 5.2 Data and simulation

### 5.2.1 Generating signal samples in PYTHIA

### 5.2.2 Generating signal samples in MADGRAPH

### 5.2.3 Triggers

## 5.3 Background estimation

CHAPTER



## CONCLUSIONS

This is the conclusion.

- Include a summary of thesis and work done over the course of my PhD with emphasis on the most important results/contributions.

- Mention the direction the semi-visible jet and Higgs to invisible analyses can take (sharing ideas/strategies I have, potential improvements with more LHC data and future prospects from potential future experiments).



**B**egins an appendix

A P P E N D I X



## APPENDIX A



## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] M. AABOUD ET AL., *Combination of searches for invisible Higgs boson decays with the ATLAS experiment*, Submitted to: Phys. Rev. Lett., (2019).
- [2] N. AGHANIM ET AL., *Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters*, (2018).
- [3] D. S. AKERIB ET AL., *The LUX-ZEPLIN (LZ) Experiment*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth., A953 (2020), p. 163047.
- [4] I. BALDES AND K. PETRAKI, *Asymmetric thermal-relic dark matter: Sommerfeld-enhanced freeze-out, annihilation signals and unitarity bounds*, (2017).
- [5] G. BATTAGLIA, A. HELMI, H. MORRISON, P. HARDING, E. W. OLSZEWSKI, M. MATEO, K. C. FREEMAN, J. NORRIS, AND S. A. SHECTMAN, *The radial velocity dispersion profile of the Galactic halo: constraining the density profile of the dark halo of the Milky Way*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 364 (2005), pp. 433–442.
- [6] ——, *Erratum: The radial velocity dispersion profile of the Galactic halo: constraining the density profile of the dark halo of the Milky Way*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 370 (2006), pp. 1055–1056.
- [7] P. BHATTACHARJEE, S. CHAUDHURY, S. KUNDU, AND S. MAJUMDAR, *Sizing-up the WIMPs of Milky Way : Deriving the velocity distribution of Galactic Dark Matter particles from the rotation curve data*, Phys. Rev., D87 (2013), p. 083525.
- [8] A. H. BROEILS, *The mass distribution of the dwarf spiral NGC 1560*, Astronomy & Astrophysics, 256 (1992), pp. 19–32.
- [9] M. CACCIARI, G. P. SALAM, AND G. SOYEZ, *The Anti- $k(t)$  jet clustering algorithm*, JHEP, 04 (2008), p. 063.
- [10] A. CANEPA, *Searches for supersymmetry at the large hadron collider*, Reviews in Physics, 4 (2019), p. 100033.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

- [11] D. G. CERDEÑO, A. CHEEK, P. MARTÍN-RAMIRO, AND J. M. MORENO, *B anomalies and dark matter: a complex connection*, The European Physical Journal C, 79 (2019), p. 517.
- [12] D. CLOWE, A. GONZALEZ, AND M. MARKEVITCH, *Weak-lensing mass reconstruction of the interacting cluster 1e 0657-558: Direct evidence for the existence of dark matter*, The Astrophysical Journal, 604 (2004), p. 596.
- [13] T. COHEN, M. LISANTI, AND H. K. LOU, *Semi-visible Jets: Dark Matter Undercover at the LHC*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 115 (2015), p. 171804.
- [14] T. COHEN, M. LISANTI, H. K. LOU, AND S. MISHRA-SHARMA, *LHC Searches for Dark Sector Showers*, JHEP, 11 (2017), p. 196.
- [15] C. COLLABORATION, *Cms luminosity public results*.  
<https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/LumiPublicResults>. Accessed 2020-02-22.
- [16] ——, *Luminosity physics object group (lumi pog)*.  
<https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/viewauth/CMS/TWikiLUM>. Accessed 2020-03-16. Note that access may be restricted.
- [17] J. CONRAD AND O. REIMER, *Indirect dark matter searches in gamma and cosmic rays*, Nature Phys., 13 (2017), pp. 224–231.
- [18] B. COX AND J. FORSHAW, *Universal: A Journey Through the Cosmos*, Penguin Books Limited, 2016.
- [19] M. DREWES, *The phenomenology of right handed neutrinos*, International Journal of Modern Physics E, 22 (2013), p. 1330019.
- [20] J. EINASTO, *Dark Matter*, ArXiv e-prints, (2009).
- [21] B. D. FIELDS, K. A. OLIVE, T.-H. YEH, AND C. YOUNG, *Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis After Planck*, JCAP, 2003 (2020), p. 010.
- [22] K. C. FREEMAN, *On the Disks of Spiral and S0 Galaxies*, The Astrophysical Journal, 160 (1970), p. 811.
- [23] T. HAN, J. D. LYKKEN, AND R.-J. ZHANG, *On Kaluza-Klein states from large extra dimensions*, Phys. Rev., D59 (1999), p. 105006.

- [24] S. HEINEMEYER ET AL., *Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 3. Higgs Properties: Report of the LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group*, CERN Yellow Reports: Monographs, 07 2013.
- [25] J. HERZOG-ARBEITMAN, M. LISANTI, P. MADAU, AND L. NECIB, *Empirical Determination of Dark Matter Velocities using Metal-Poor Stars*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 120 (2018), p. 041102.
- [26] D. HUTERER, *Weak lensing, dark matter and dark energy*, General Relativity and Gravitation, 42 (2010), pp. 2177–2195.
- [27] P. R. KAFLE, S. SHARMA, G. F. LEWIS, AND J. BLAND-HAWTHORN, *On the Shoulders of Giants: Properties of the Stellar Halo and the Milky Way Mass Distribution*, Astrophys. J., 794 (2014), p. 59.
- [28] J. C. KAPTEYN, *First Attempt at a Theory of the Arrangement and Motion of the Sidereal System*, The Astrophysical Journal, 55 (1922), p. 302.
- [29] V. KHACHATRYAN ET AL., *Search for Microscopic Black Hole Signatures at the Large Hadron Collider*, Phys. Lett., B697 (2011), pp. 434–453.
- [30] ——, *A search for new phenomena in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$  in final states with missing transverse momentum and at least one jet using the  $\alpha_T$  variable*, Eur. Phys. J., C77 (2017), p. 294.
- [31] S. P. MARTIN, *A Supersymmetry primer*, Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys, 18 (1998), pp. 1–98.
- [32] S. MERTENS, *Direct neutrino mass experiments*, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 718 (2016), p. 022013.
- [33] K. NAKAMURA AND P. D. GROUP, *Review of particle physics*, Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics, 37 (2010), p. 075021.
- [34] M. PERSIC, P. SALUCCI, AND F. STEL, *The universal rotation curve of spiral galaxies - I. The dark matter connection*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 281 (1996), pp. 27–47.
- [35] T. SAKUMA, *Cutaway diagrams of CMS detector*, (2019).
- [36] M. SCHUMANN, *Direct Detection of WIMP Dark Matter: Concepts and Status*, J. Phys., G46 (2019), p. 103003.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

- [37] A. M. SIRUNYAN ET AL., *Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the cms detector*, JINST, 12 (2017), p. P10003.
- [38] A. M. SIRUNYAN ET AL., *Search for invisible decays of a Higgs boson produced through vector boson fusion in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV*, (2018).
- [39] A. M. SIRUNYAN ET AL., *Search for natural and split supersymmetry in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  tev in final states with jets and missing transverse momentum*, Journal of High Energy Physics, 2018 (2018), p. 25.
- [40] A. VICENTE, *Anomalies in  $b \rightarrow s$  transitions and dark matter*, Advances in High Energy Physics, 2018 (2018).
- [41] S. WEINBERG, *Cosmology*, Cosmology, OUP Oxford, 2008.
- [42] S. D. M. WHITE AND M. J. REES, *Core condensation in heavy halos: a two-stage theory for galaxy formation and clustering*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 183 (1978), p. 341.
- [43] C. YOZIN AND K. BEKKI, *The quenching and survival of ultra-diffuse galaxies in the Coma cluster*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 452 (2015), pp. 937–943.

## GLOSSARY

***b*-jet** A jet identified by a given algorithm or classifier as originating from a *b* quark.

**anti- $k_T$  algorithm** .

**jet** A collimated shower of hadronic particles. High momentum quarks and gluons fragment due to colour confinement; the resulting particles deposit energy in the detector very close to each other and is reconstructed as a single physics object called a jet.

**missing transverse energy** The negative vector sum of the transverse momentum of all particles in a collider event. It is sometimes abbreviate to “MET”, and also referred to in literature as “missing transverse momentum” ( $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ ).

**pileup** The term ascribed to additional proton-proton collisions during a bunch crossing. Pileup interactions typically produce a large number of low-momentum particles.

**semi-visible jet** A shower of standard model and dark hadrons from the decay of a leptophobic  $Z'$  or  $\Phi$  mediator that couples the hidden sector to the standard model.



## ACRONYMS

**ALICE** A Large Ion Collider Experiment.

**ATLAS** A Toroidal LHC ApparatuS.

**BSM** beyond the standard model.

**CERN** Organisation Européenne pour la Recherche Nucléaire/European Organisation for Nuclear Research.

**CMS** Compact Muon Solenoid.

**JEC** jet energy corrections.

**LHC** Large Hadron Collider.

**LSP** lightest supersymmetric particle.

**LZ** LUX-ZEPLIN.

**MeV** megaelectron volt.

**MOND** modified Newtonian dynamics.

**PS** Proton Synchrotron.

**PSB** Proton Synchrotron Booster.

**QCD** Quantum Chromodynamics.

**SM** standard model.

**SPS** Super Proton Synchrotron.

## ACRONYMS

---

**SUSY** supersymmetry.

**TeV** teraelectron volt.

**VBF** vector boson fusion.

**WIMP** Weakly Interacting Massive Particle.