#### BIOS 7323 - Exam 2 Review

### Chapters 1-4, 7

- Relationships between pdf, survival function, hazard function, cumulative hazard function
- Recognize commonly used distributions (Exponential, Weibull, Gamma)
- Non-parametric estimates of basic quantities, esp. Kaplan-Meier survival estimates
- Hypothesis tests, esp. log-rank test

#### Chapter 8 - Semi-parametric Proportional Hazards (PH) models

- Know form of PH model:  $h(t|Z) = h_0(t)C(\beta'Z)$ , usually  $C(t) = \exp(t)$
- Recognize and be able to calculate the partial likelihood for distinct event time data

$$\mathcal{PL} = \prod_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\exp(\beta' Z_{(i)})}{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} \exp(\beta' Z_{(j)})}, D \text{ are uniq. death times}$$

$$R(t_i) = \{j : 1 \le j \le n, T_j \ge t_i\} \text{ ind. in study just prior to } t_i$$

Note: PH model uses ranks and censoring, not actual times

• Know the three methods for dealing with ties (Breslow, Efron, and Cox) and how they differ with ties  $d_i \ge 1$  at each  $t_i$ , i = 1, ..., D,  $D(t_i)$ =set of ind. who die at  $t_i$ 

Breslow - Use naive PL, assume no ties. good w/ few ties

$$\mathcal{PL}_1(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{D} \frac{\exp(\beta' Z_{(i)})}{[\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} \exp(\beta' Z_{(j)})]^{d_i}}$$

Efron - Based on discrete hazard model. Closer to correct PL than Breslow. Breslow & Efron similar with small # ties.

$$\mathcal{PL}_2(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^D \frac{\exp(\beta' S_i)}{\prod_{j=1}^{d_i} [\sum_{k \in R(t_i)} \exp(\beta' Z_k) - \frac{j-1}{d_i} \sum_{k \in D(t_i)} \exp(\beta' Z_k)]}$$

Cox - Exact, but complicated & computationally intensive.  $Q_i$  is set of all subsets of the  $d_i$  individuals who could be selected from risk set.  $q = \{q_1, \dots, q_{d_i}\}$  and  $S_q^* = \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} Z_{qj}$ 

$$\mathcal{PL}_3(\beta) = \frac{\exp(\beta' S_i)}{\sum_{q \in Q_i} \exp(\beta' S_q^*)}$$

- Three tests for PH regression model parameters (Wald, Partial LR or Score Test). Score test with one binary covariate is the same as log-rank.
- PH Regression model building

Possible Criteria: Wald test, LR test, score test, AIC

AIC= $-2 \log \mathcal{L} + kp$ , k is penalty, p is number of parameters

• Estimation of Survivor function

$$\begin{split} W(t_i, \hat{\beta}) &= \sum_{j \in R(t_i)} e^{\hat{\beta}' Z_j} \\ \hat{H}_0(t) &= \sum_{t_i \leq t} \frac{d_i}{W(t_i, \hat{\beta})} \text{ (Breslow cum. hazard est.)} \\ \hat{S}_0(t) &= \exp(-\hat{H}_0(t)) \text{ (Baseline survival function)} \\ \hat{S}(t|Z=Z_0) &= [\hat{S}_0(t)]^{\exp(\hat{\beta}' Z_0)} \end{split}$$

### Chapter 9 - Refinements of Semi-parametric PH models

- Know form of PH model with time-dependent covariates:  $h(x|Z(t), t \le x) = h_0(x) \exp(\beta' Z(x))$  and how to interpret
- Recognize and interpret R coxph models using tt() or counting process (start, end] intervals
- Approaches to deal with non-proportional hazards 1. piecewise PH model w/ TD vars 2. stratified models
- Know form of stratified PH model:  $h_j(x|Z(t), t \leq x) = h_{0j}(x) \exp(\beta' Z(x))$

```
LR Test for assumption of common \beta across j strata:
```

$$\chi_{(s-1)n}^2 = 2[\sum_{j=1}^s LL_j(\hat{\beta}_j) - \sum_{j=1}^s LL_j(\hat{\beta})]$$

 $\chi^2_{(s-1)p} = 2[\sum_{j=1}^s LL_j(\hat{\beta}_j) - \sum_{j=1}^s LL_j(\hat{\beta})]$  1st term from ind. models for each strata, 2nd term from stratified model

• PH regression with left-truncation - condition hazard on X > L, modify risk set  $R(t) = \{j : L_j < t \le T_j\}$ . In R use Surv(entry, failtime, status) syntax

#### Chapter 11 - Regression Diagnostics

- Overall Fit
  - 1. Cox-Snell residuals  $r_i = \hat{H}_0(T_i) \exp(\hat{\beta}' Z_i)$
  - 2. Plot  $\hat{H}_r(r_i)$  (cum. hazard based on  $\{r_i, \delta_i\}$ ) vs.  $r_i$ . line through origin w/ slope 1 if good fit

```
in R, cs_res<-delta-resid(fit,type="martingale")</pre>
```

- Functional form of covariates
  - 1. Get martingale residuals (diff. between obs and exp deaths in  $(0,t_i)$ )  $\hat{M}_j = \delta_j \hat{H}_0(T_j) \exp(\hat{\beta}' Z_j)$  (for RC and time ind. var) from model where form of  $Z_1$  is not known
  - 2. Scatterplot of  $M_i$  vs.  $Z_1$  for jth obs. & apply smoother
  - 3. smoothed curve suggests form for  $f(Z_1)$
  - in R, mg\_res<-resid(fit,type="martingale")</pre>
- PH assumption

Approach 1 - Use time dependent covariate. 1. Multiply fixed covar by function of time g(t) to create TD covar 2. fit PH model with fixed and TD covar; significant TD indicates PH violation

Approach 2 - Cumulative Hazard plots. Discretize  $Z_1$  into K groups and fit models stratified on  $Z_1$ ,  $\log\{H_{q0}(t)\}\ \text{for } g=1,\ldots,K$ 

 $\log\{\tilde{H}_{g0}(t)\}$  vs. t should be parallel

 $\log\{\hat{H}_{a0}(t)\} - \log\{\hat{H}_{10}(t)\}\$  vs. t for  $g=2,\ldots,K$  should be roughly constant

 $\hat{H}_{a0}(t)$  vs.  $\hat{H}_{10}(t)$  for  $g=2,\ldots,K$  should be straight lines through origin (Andersen plot)

Approach 3 - Arjas plot for categorical covar  $Z_1$ 

Approach 4 - Score residuals plot define process  $U_k(t)$  for each covar. Plot of  $U_k(t)$  vs. t should fluctuate around 0 if PH holds. (within  $\pm 1.358$  - prob from Brownian bridge)

```
in R, sch_res<-resid(fit,type="schoenfeld")
stdsc_res<-cumsum(sch_res)*sqrt(fit$var)
```

Outliers

Deviance residuals less skewed than martingale residuals. Plot risk score vs. deviance resid. Large vals of deviance resid are outliers

```
in R, dev_res<-resid(fit1,type="deviance")
```

• Influential points

 $\hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}_{(j)}$  vs. j where  $\hat{\beta}_{(j)}$  is model w/o j. approximate using score residuals  $I(\hat{\beta})^{-1}(S_{j1}, \dots, S_{jp})'$  in R, diff\_betas<-resid(fit1,type="dfbetas")

# Chapter 12 - Parametric Regression models

• Accelerated Failure time representation

$$S(x|Z) = S_0[\exp(\theta'Z)x]$$
, where  $\exp(\theta'Z)$  is accel. factor

$$X_{0.5}^{(Z)} = \frac{X_{0.5}^{(0)}}{\exp(\theta' Z)}$$

• Linear log time representation

 $Y = \log X = \mu + \gamma' Z + \sigma W$ , where W is known dist.

If  $S_0(x)$  is survival function of  $\exp(\mu + \sigma W)$  then linear log time model  $\Leftrightarrow$  AFT model with  $\theta = -\gamma$ .

Weibull: W is standard extreme value distribution. Has linear log time, AFT, and PH representations

$$h(x|Z) = \alpha \lambda x^{\alpha - 1} \exp(\beta' Z)$$

Convert between linear log time and hazard parameters:

$$\alpha = 1/\sigma$$
  $\lambda = \exp(-\mu/\sigma)$   $\beta_j = -\gamma_j/\sigma, j = 1, \dots, p$ 

in R, have  $\log(\hat{\sigma})$  convert from  $Cov(\hat{\mu}, \log(\hat{\sigma}))$  to  $Cov(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma})$ :

$$Cov(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}) = Cov(\hat{\mu}, \log(\hat{\sigma}))\hat{\sigma} \quad Var(\hat{\sigma}) = Var(\log \hat{\sigma})\hat{\sigma}^2$$

Log-logistic: W is standard logistic distribution. Has linear log time, AFT, and prop. odds representations

$$S(x|Z) = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda e^{\beta' Z} x^{\alpha}}$$

$$\frac{S(x|Z)}{1-S(x|Z)} = \exp(-\beta'Z) \frac{S(x|Z=0)}{1-S(x|Z=0)}$$

Same parameter conversion from linear log time as Weibull

## Sample Size and Study Design

- Know steps to calculate sample size:
- Crude estimate based on survival at fixed point:

$$N_{arm} = \frac{\left(z_{1-\alpha/2}\sqrt{2\bar{P}(1-\bar{P})} + z_{1-\beta}\sqrt{P_e(1-P_e) + P_c(1-P_c)}\right)^2}{(P_c - P_e)^2}$$

 $P_c$ : prob of event in control arm by time t

 $P_e$ : prob of event in "experimental" arm by time t

$$\bar{P} = (P_e + P_c)/2$$

• Sample size based on log-rank test:

HR: 
$$\theta = e^{\beta} = \frac{\lambda_1(t)}{\lambda_0(t)}$$

Number of events, d, needed for power  $1 - \beta$  with two-sided  $\alpha$  level test is  $d = \frac{4(z_{1-\alpha/2} + z_{1-\beta})^2}{[\log(\theta)]^2}$ 

Estimate  $\theta$  from desired R-year survival in group 1,  $S_1(R)$  and group 0,  $S_0(R)$  (under exponential distribution)

$$\frac{\log(S_1(R))}{\log(S_0(R))} = \frac{-\lambda_1 R}{-\lambda_0 R} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0} = \theta$$

Estimate  $\theta$  from desired improvement in median survival from  $M_0$  months to  $M_1$  months (under exponential distribution)

distribution) 
$$\lambda_i = \frac{-\log(0.5)}{M_i}, i = 0, 1$$

How many patients? for follow-up time F,  $d = (N/2)(1 - e^{-\lambda_0 F}) + (N/2)(1 - e^{-\lambda_1 F})$ 

• More realistic accrual (not all entries on same day) for accrual period, A.

to get 
$$P_c$$
 and  $P_e$  solve  $P_i = 1 - \frac{\exp(-\lambda_i F)(1 - \exp(-\lambda_i A))}{\lambda_i A}$  or  $P_i \approx 1 - \exp[-\lambda_i (A/2 + F)]$  where  $i = c, e$  then  $N = \frac{2d}{P_c + P_e}$   $N = \frac{8(z_{1-\alpha/2} + z_{1-\beta})^2}{[\log(\theta)]^2(P_c + P_e)}$ 

Vary A and F to find study design that has large enough sample and is feasible given expected accrual

 $\bullet$  Freedman approx. (conservative)

$$N = \frac{2(z_{1-\alpha/2} + z_{1-\beta})^2}{P_e + P_c} \left(\frac{\theta + 1}{\theta - 1}\right)^2$$