Assignment Information 9/4/23, 3:02 PM



# CS 410 C++ to Assembly with Loops Guidelines and Rubric

#### Overview

In this activity, you will again convert a simple application from C++ into assembly code. This time there are loops within the C++ code. The coding for this assignment will be performed in Codio. You will then download the file from Codio for submission, along with the completed C++ to Assembly With Loops Activity Template Word Document. The following resources will help you complete the tasks in this assignment:

- Section 7: How to Generate Assembly from C++ Code in the Guide to Software Reverse Engineering
- Section 8: Downloading and Uploading Files in the Codio Guide

### Prompt

Specifically, you must address the following rubric criteria:

- 1. Explain the functionality of the C++ code.
  - Use the C++ to Assembly With Loops Activity Template to complete this step.
  - The C++ file is located within the Software Reverse Engineering Playground in the Module Two file folder in Codio. It is also in the following table:

- 2. Convert the C++ file into assembly code.
  - $\circ \quad \text{The C++ file can be found in the Software Reverse Engineering Playground in the Module Two file folder in Codio.}\\$
- 3. Align each line of C++ code with the corresponding blocks of assembly code.
  - Use the C++ to Assembly With Loops Activity Template to complete this step.
- 4. Explain how the blocks of assembly code perform the same tasks as the C++ code.
  - Use the C++ to Assembly With Loops Activity Template to complete this step.
  - Consider which blocks of assembly code are skeleton code versus actual parts from the C++ program.

# What to Submit

## C++ to Assembly With Loops Activity Template

This should be a Word document. Use this template to explain the functionality of the lines of C++ code, align the lines of C++ code with the corresponding lines of assembly code, and explain how the assembly code performs the same tasks as the C++ code.

### Assembly File (S file)

This file is needed to ensure that the C++ code was successfully converted into assembly code.

Assignment Information 9/4/23, 3:02 PM

# C++ to Assembly With Loops Rubric

| Criteria                           | Exemplary   | Proficient  | Needs Improvement   | Not Evident   | Value |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|-------|
| C++ Functionality<br>Explanation   | Exceeds proficiency in an exceptionally clear, insightful, sophisticated, or creative manner (100%) | Explains the functionality of the C++ code with minimal errors and adequate detail (85%)  | Shows progress toward proficiency, but with errors or omissions; areas for improvement may include explaining the functionality of the C++ code with minimal errors and richer detail (55%)                             | Does not attempt criterion (0%)   | 27    |
| C++ to Assembly<br>Conversion      | N/A   | Converts C++ file into assembly code (100%)   | Shows progress toward proficiency, but with errors or omissions; areas for improvement may include converting the C++ file into assembly code (55%)   | Does not attempt criterion (0%)   | 15    |
| Translation Alignment              | Exceeds proficiency in an exceptionally clear, insightful, sophisticated, or creative manner (100%) | Aligns each line of C++ code<br>with the corresponding blocks<br>of assembly code with minimal<br>errors (85%)  | Shows progress toward proficiency, but with errors or omissions; areas for improvement may include aligning each line of C++ code with the corresponding blocks of assembly code with fewer errors (55%)                | Does not attempt criterion (0%)   | 22    |
| Assembly Functionality Explanation | Exceeds proficiency in an exceptionally clear, insightful, sophisticated, or creative manner (100%) | Explains how the assembly code performs the same tasks as the C++ code with minimal errors and adequate detail (85%)  | Shows progress toward proficiency, but with errors or omissions; areas for improvement may include explaining how the assembly code performs the same tasks as the C++ code with minimal errors and richer detail (55%) | Does not attempt criterion (0%)   | 27    |
| Articulation of Response           | Exceeds proficiency in an exceptionally clear, insightful, sophisticated, or creative manner (100%) | Clearly conveys meaning with<br>correct grammar, sentence<br>structure, and spelling,<br>demonstrating an<br>understanding of audience and<br>purpose (85%) | Shows progress toward proficiency, but with errors in grammar, sentence structure, and spelling, negatively impacting readability (55%)   | Submission has critical errors in grammar, sentence structure, and spelling, preventing understanding of ideas (0%) | 9     |
|                                    |   |   |   | Total:  | 100%  |