# **Regularized Linear Regression**

April 1st, 2019

Regularization •000

# Regularization

 Complex learning algorithms can become unstable; i.e., highly dependent on the training data

- Complex learning algorithms can become unstable; i.e., highly dependent on the training data
- Instability is a manifestation of a tendency of overfitting

- Complex learning algorithms can become unstable; i.e., highly dependent on the training data
- Instability is a manifestation of a tendency of overfitting
- Regularization is a general method to avoid such overfitting by applying additional constraints to the weight vector

- Complex learning algorithms can become unstable; i.e., highly dependent on the training data
- Instability is a manifestation of a tendency of overfitting
- Regularization is a general method to avoid such overfitting by applying additional constraints to the weight vector
- A common strategy is to make sure that the weight are, on average, small in magnitude, which is known as shrinkage

 A regularization function measures the complexity of the hypotheses

- A regularization function measures the complexity of the hypotheses
- It can be also seen as a **stabilizer** of the learning algorithm

- A regularization function measures the complexity of the hypotheses
- It can be also seen as a stabilizer of the learning algorithm
- An algorithm is considered stable if a slight change of its input does not change its output much.

- A regularization function measures the complexity of the hypotheses
- It can be also seen as a stabilizer of the learning algorithm
- An algorithm is considered stable if a slight change of its input does not change its output much.
- Let A be a learning algorithm,  $S = (z_i, ..., z_m)$  be a training set of m examples and A(S) denote the output of A

- A regularization function measures the complexity of the hypotheses
- It can be also seen as a stabilizer of the learning algorithm
- An algorithm is considered stable if a slight change of its input does not change its output much.
- Let A be a learning algorithm,  $S = (z_i, ..., z_m)$  be a training set of m examples and A(S) denote the output of A
- We can say that algorithm A is suffering from overfitting if the difference between the true risk of its output  $L_d(A(S))$ , and the empirical risk of its output  $L_s(A(S))$  is large.

#### A regularization function measures the complexity of the hypotheses

- It can be also seen as a stabilizer of the learning algorithm
- An algorithm is considered stable if a slight change of its input does not change its output much.
- Let A be a learning algorithm,  $S = (z_i, ..., z_m)$  be a training set of m examples and A(S) denote the output of A
- We can say that algorithm A is suffering from overfitting if the difference between the true risk of its output  $L_d(A(S))$ , and the empirical risk of its output  $L_s(A(S))$  is large.
- Thus, our interest is in the expectation

$$\mathbb{E}_{s}[L_{\mathfrak{D}}(A(S)) - L_{s}(A(S))]$$

• In this case, stability can be defines as: let z' be an additional example and  $S^{(i)}$  be the training set obtained by replacing the  $i^{th}$  example of S,

$$S^{(i)} = (z_i, \ldots, z_{i-1}, z', z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_m)$$

- In this case, stability can be defined as: let z' be an additional example and  $S^{(i)}$  be the training set obtained by replacing the  $i^{th}$  example of S,  $S^{(i)} = (z_i, \ldots, z_{i-1}, z', z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_m)$
- Thus, stability measures the effect of the small change of the input on the output of A by comparing the loss of the hypotheses A(S) on  $z_i$  to the loss of the hypotheses  $A(S^{(i)})$  on  $z_i$ .

 $A(S^{(i)})$  on  $z_i$ .

- In this case, stability can be defines as: let z' be an additional example and  $S^{(i)}$  be the training set obtained by replacing the  $i^{th}$  example of S,  $S^{(i)} = (z_i, \ldots, z_{i-1}, z', z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_m)$
- Thus, stability measures the effect of the small change of the input on the output of A by comparing the loss of the hypotheses A(S) on  $z_i$  to the loss of the hypotheses
- Consequently, a good learning algorithm will have  $\ell(A(S^{(i)}), z_i) \ell(A(S), z_i) \geq 0$ , since in the first term the learning algorithm does not observe the example  $z_i$  while in the second the term  $z_i$  is indeed observed. If the difference is very large, the learning algorithm might been overfitting

# Ridge Regression

It is based on sum of squared residuals penalty

$$\hat{eta}_{ extit{ridge}} = \mathop{\mathsf{arg\,min}}\limits_{eta} \left( y - X eta 
ight)^{\mathsf{T}} \! \left( y - X eta 
ight) + \lambda ||eta||^2$$

## **Ridge Regression**

It is based on sum of squared residuals penalty

$$\hat{eta}_{ extit{ridge}} = \mathop{\mathrm{arg\;min}}_{eta} \left( y - X eta 
ight)^{\mathsf{T}} \left( y - X eta 
ight) + \lambda ||eta||^2$$

• where  $||\beta||^2=\sum\limits_{i=1}^p\beta_i^2$  is the squared norm of the vector  $\beta$ , or equivalently the dot product  $\beta^T\beta$ 

## • It is based on sum of squared residuals penalty

ased on sum of squared residuals penalty

$$\hat{eta}_{ extit{ridge}} = \mathop{\mathrm{arg\;min}}_{eta} \left( y - X eta 
ight)^{\mathsf{T}} \! \left( y - X eta 
ight) + \lambda ||eta||^2$$

- where  $||\beta||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_i^2$  is the squared norm of the vector  $\beta$ , or equivalently the dot product  $\beta^T \beta$
- ullet  $\lambda$  is a scalar determining the amount of the regularization

It is based on sum of squared residuals penalty

$$\hat{eta}_{ extit{ridge}} = \mathop{\mathsf{arg\,min}}\limits_{eta} \left( y - X eta 
ight)^{ extit{T}} \! \left( y - X eta 
ight) + \lambda ||eta||^2$$

- where  $||\beta||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_i^2$  is the squared norm of the vector  $\beta$ , or equivalently the dot product  $\beta^T \beta$
- ullet  $\lambda$  is a scalar determining the amount of the regularization
- Its closed-form can be written as:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T y$$

#### **Ridge Regression**

• It is based on sum of squared residuals penalty

$$\hat{eta}_{ extit{ridge}} = \mathop{\mathrm{arg\;min}}_{eta} \left( y - X eta 
ight)^{\mathsf{T}} \! \left( y - X eta 
ight) + \lambda ||eta||^2$$

Lasso

- where  $||\beta||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_i^2$  is the squared norm of the vector  $\beta$ , or equivalently the dot product  $\beta^T \beta$
- ullet  $\lambda$  is a scalar determining the amount of the regularization
- Its closed-form can be written as:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T y$$

 Ridge regression shrinks the coefficients towards 0, but does not lead to a sparse model Lasso

#### Lasso

$$\hat{\beta}_{\textit{lasso}} = \mathop{\arg\min}_{\beta} ||y - \beta||_2^2 + \lambda ||\beta||_1$$

- It stands for Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator
- It replaces the ridge regularization term  $\sum\limits_{i=1}^p \beta_i^2$  with the sum of the absolute weights  $\sum\limits_{i=1}^p |\beta_i|$
- Thus, lasso uses  $L_1$  regularization, whereas ridge regression uses the  $L_2$  norm
- Lasso regression favors sparse solutions

#### Lasso

- It is quite sensitive to the regularization parameter  $\lambda$ , which is usually set on hold-out data or in cross-validation
- Therefore, there is no closed form solution and numerical optimization technique must be applied.

#### In summary...

- Ridge regression
  - correlated variables get similar weights
  - identical variables get identical weights
  - It is not sparse
- Lasso
  - correlated variables are randomly picked out
  - It is sparse

#### References

 Hal Daume III. A Course in Machine Learning. 2nd. Self-published, 2017. URL:

```
http://ciml.info/dl/v0_99/ciml-v0_99-all.pdf
```

- **10** Regularization: sessions 7.2 and 7.3
- Trevor Hastie, Robert Tibshirani, and Jerome Friedman. The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction. 2nd. Springer, 2016. URL:

```
https://web.stanford.edu/~hastie/Papers/ESLII.pdf
```

- Regularization: session 10.12
- Ridge regression: session 3.4.1
- **10** Lasso: session 3.4.2