# Twisting cochains and twisted complexes

Simplicial methods in complex-analytic geometry

Tim Hosgood 24/07/19

Université d'Aix-Marseille https://thosgood.github.io

☑ atjohnhos

[m] athosgood:matrix.org

#### Plan

- History
- Twisting cochains (OTT)
  - The bicomplex
  - The total complex
    - Maurer-Cartan
    - Strictification
- Twisted complexes (BK)
  - Pretriangulated, triangulated, and stable
  - Generalisation of twisting cochains
- Other fun things
  - The A-infinity Yoneda embedding
  - The bar construction

# History

# First steps

- Edgar H Brown. "Twisted tensor products, I". In: Annals of Mathematics 69.1 (1959), pp. 223–246.
- John C Moore. "Differential homological algebra". In: Actes du Congres International des Mathématiciens 1 (1970), pp. 335–339.

#### **Coherent sheaves**

- Domingo Toledo and Yue Lin L Tong. "A parametrix for  $\delta$  and Riemann-Roch in Čech theory". In: *Topology* 15.4 (1976), pp. 273–301.
- Domingo Toledo and Yue Lin L Tong. "Duality and Intersection Theory in Complex Manifolds. I". In: Mathematische Annalen 237 (1978), pp. 41–77.
- Nigel R O'Brian, Domingo Toledo, and Yue Lin L Tong. "The Trace Map and Characteristic Classes for Coherent Sheaves". In: American Journal of Mathematics 103.2 (1981), pp. 225–252.

# Triangulation and stability

- A I Bondal and M M Kapranov. "Enhanced Triangulated Categories". In: *Math. USSR Sbornik* 70.1 (1991), pp. 1–15.
- Giovanni Faonte. *Simplicial nerve of an A-infinity category*. 2015. arXiv: 1312.2127v2 [math.AT].

#### Nomenclature

#### Lemma

Let  $x \in \{\text{twisting}, \text{twisted}\}\$ and  $y \in \{\text{cochain}, \text{complex}\}.$ 

Then there exists somebody who uses "xy" to denote what you call " $x^c y^c$ ".

### Corollary

Sometimes it can be hard to figure out what people mean.

I like "twisting cochain" for the topological Čech definition, which is a special case of a "twisted complex", defined in the dg-categorical setting.

But that's very possibly just me.

Twisting cochains (OTT)

# Nice spaces

### Definition (Stein spaces)

A complex-analytic<sup>1</sup> manifold Y is said to be Stein if it is

- 1. holomorphically convex; and
- 2. holomorphically separable.

#### Motto

Stein things are nice.

Throughout, X is a complex-analytic manifold with a nice<sup>2</sup> cover  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha} \in I}$ .

<sup>2</sup>Locally finite, Stein, and trivialising (for the bundles in question).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>analytic =  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  is holomorphic functions, Y has the  $\mathbb{C}^n$ -induced topology; algebraic =  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  is algebraic functions, Y has the Zariski topology.

# Endomorphisms of bounded-graded modules

Let  $V = \{V_{\alpha}^{\bullet}\}$  be a collection of bounded-graded  $\mathcal{O}_{U_{\alpha}}$ -modules:

$$V^ullet_lpha = igoplus_{q \in \mathbb{N}} V^q_lpha$$
 such that  $V^q_lpha$  is zero for all but finitely many  $q$ .

Think of a bounded chain complex of vector bundles, but without the information of a differential.

### Definition (Endomorphisms)

The collection of degree-q endomorphisms  $\operatorname{End}^q(V)$  of V is, over each  $U_{\alpha_0...\alpha_p}$ , given by

$$\operatorname{End}^q(V)|U_{\alpha_0...\alpha_p} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}(V^i_{\alpha_p}|U_{\alpha_0...\alpha_p}, V^{i+q}_{\alpha_0}|U_{\alpha_0...\alpha_p}).$$

# Source and target

### Warning

The maps are from the  $\alpha_p$  part to the  $\alpha_0$  part.

We discuss this later.

# The deleted Čech complex

### Definition (Deleted Čech complex)

Define the chain complex  $(\mathscr{C}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U},\operatorname{End}^{\circ}(V)),\hat{\delta})$  by

$$\hat{\mathscr{C}}^p\big(\mathcal{U},\mathrm{End}^q(V)\big)=\bigoplus_{(\alpha_0,\ldots,\alpha_p)}\mathrm{End}^q(V)|U_{\alpha_0\ldots\alpha_p}$$

(where  $\operatorname{End}^q(V)|U_{\alpha_0...\alpha_p}=0$  if  $U_{\alpha_0...\alpha_p}=\varnothing$ ) with the **deleted** Čech differential

$$\hat{\delta} \colon \hat{\mathcal{C}}^p \big( \mathcal{U}, \operatorname{End}^q(V) \big) \to \hat{\mathcal{C}}^{p+1} \big( \mathcal{U}, \operatorname{End}^q(V) \big)$$
$$(\hat{\delta}c)_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{p+1}} = \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^i c_{\alpha_0 \dots \widehat{\alpha_i} \dots \alpha_{p+1}}.$$

#### A notational note

We use  $\mathscr{C}$  and  $\delta$  for the *deleted* Čech objects and  $\mathscr{C}$  and  $\delta$  for the 'full' Čech objects.

#### Further structure

- If V has a differential then this gives us a bicomplex.
- There is a natural multiplication structure given by composition:

$$(c^{p,q}\cdot \tilde{c}^{\tilde{p},\tilde{q}})_{\alpha_0...\alpha_{p+\tilde{p}}} = (-1)^{q\tilde{p}}c^{p,q}_{\alpha_0...\alpha_p} \tilde{c}^{\tilde{p},\tilde{q}}_{\alpha_p...\alpha_{p+\tilde{p}}}.$$

 We could define the same complex for an arbitrary bounded graded vector bundle, i.e.

$$\hat{\mathscr{C}}^{p}(\mathcal{U}, V^{q}) = \bigoplus_{(\alpha_{0}, ..., \alpha_{p})} V^{q}_{\alpha_{0}}$$

but where the deleted Čech differential only omits the *first* index (but includes the (p + 1)th).

#### A brief interlude on bundles

A holomorphic vector bundle E on X is described exactly by its transition maps  $g_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ , which describe the change in trivialisation from over  $U_{\beta}$  to over  $U_{\alpha}$ .

These transition maps satisfy two conditions:

- 1.  $g_{\alpha\beta}g_{\beta\gamma}=g_{\alpha\beta}$  (the cocycle condition); and
- 2.  $g_{\alpha\alpha} = id$  (the *invertibility* condition).

Note that these are maps from  $E|U_{\alpha_p}$  to  $E|U_{\alpha_0}$  in the specific case where p=1.

# Rewriting the cocycle condition

Thinking of  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  as an element of  $\hat{\mathscr{C}}^{1}(\mathcal{U}, E)$ , we see that

$$(\hat{\delta}g)_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = -g_{\alpha\gamma}$$
$$(g \cdot g)_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = g_{\alpha\beta}g_{\beta\gamma}.$$

This means that we can rewrite the cocycle condition as

$$\hat{\delta}g + g \cdot g = 0,$$

which looks like the Maurer-Cartan equation (an observation to which we will later return).

# Twisting cochains

### Definition (Twisting cochains)

A (holomorphic) twisting cochain over V is a formal sum

$$\mathbf{a} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{a}^{k,1-k}$$

where  $a^{k,1-k} \in \hat{\mathscr{C}}^k(\mathcal{U},\operatorname{End}^{1-k}(V))$  such that

- 1.  $\hat{\delta}a + a \cdot a = 0$ ; and
- 2.  $a_{\alpha\alpha}^{1,0} = id$ .

The invertibility condition "should" really be weakened by asking only that  $a_{\alpha\alpha}^{1,0}$  be homotopic to the identity.

# Twisting cochains (cont.)

### Warning

The multiplication is **not** simply component-wise: it is given by taking all possible combinations, i.e.

$$(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})^{p,s} = \bigoplus_{\substack{q+q'=p\\t+t'=s}} \mathbf{a}^{q,t} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{q',t'}.$$

- It might be the case that all but finitely many of the  $a^{k,1-k}$  are zero, but **never**  $a^{1,0}$ , since it has to be the identity on  $\alpha\alpha$ .
- If V has a differential then a is an element of total degree 1.
- We haven't said when twisting cochains exist, but under pretty mild assumptions they always do (by an inductive construction).

16/38

# Unpacking the definition

- $(k = 0) \rightsquigarrow a_{\alpha}^{0,1} \cdot a_{\alpha}^{0,1} = 0$ , which tells us that  $a_{\alpha}^{0,1}$  is a differential on  $V_{\alpha}^{\bullet}$ .
- (k=1)  $\rightarrow$   $a_{\alpha}^{0,1} \cdot a_{\alpha\beta}^{1,0} = a_{\alpha\beta}^{1,0} \cdot a_{\beta}^{0,1}$ , which tells us that we have a chain map of chain complexes

$$\mathbf{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{1,0} \colon \left( V_{\beta}^{\bullet} | U_{\alpha\beta}, \mathbf{a}_{\beta}^{0,1} \right) \to \left( V_{\alpha}^{\bullet} | U_{\alpha\beta}, \mathbf{a}_{\alpha}^{0,1} \right)$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{($k=2$)} \leadsto & -\mathrm{a}_{\alpha\gamma}^{1,0} + \mathrm{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{1,0} \cdot \mathrm{a}_{\beta\gamma}^{1,0} = \mathrm{a}_{\alpha}^{0,1} \cdot \mathrm{a}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{2,-1} + \mathrm{a}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{2,-1} \cdot \mathrm{a}_{\gamma}^{0,1} \text{, which} \\ & \text{says that } \mathrm{a}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{2,-1} \text{ witnesses a $chain homotopy} \\ & \text{between } \mathrm{a}_{\alpha\gamma}^{1,0} \text{ and } \mathrm{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{1,0} \cdot \mathrm{a}_{\beta\gamma}^{1,0} \text{. On } \alpha\beta\alpha \text{ and } \beta\alpha\beta \text{ this} \\ & \text{tells us that } \mathrm{a}_{\alpha\beta}^{1,0} \text{ and } \mathrm{a}_{\beta\alpha}^{1,0} \text{ are $chain homotopic inverses, i.e. $quasi-isomorphism.} \end{array}$ 

# Unpacking the definition (cont.)

 $(k \ge 3) \leadsto$  some sort of 'higher homotopic gluings', whatever this might mean.

This is one of the things that we want to formalise!

#### Extra-curricular

By taking (internal) homology we obtain something strict: a complex of *coherent sheaves* H•(a). This is because quasi-isomorphisms become strict isomorphisms in homology.

We can use this fact to construct twisting cochains that resolve coherent sheaves by taking *local* resolutions by vector bundles.

### The total differential

#### Lemma

For any  $a \in \operatorname{Tot}^1 \hat{\mathscr{C}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U}, \operatorname{End}^{\circ}(V))$ , the map

$$D_{a}: \operatorname{Tot}^{r} \widehat{\mathscr{C}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U}, V^{\circ}) \to \operatorname{Tot}^{r+1} \widehat{\mathscr{C}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U}, V^{\circ})$$
$$c \mapsto \widehat{\delta}c + c \cdot a$$

defines a differential (i.e. squares to zero) if and only if  ${\bf a}$  is a twisting cochain.

#### Proof.

(Tedious) definition chasing.

### The total differential (cont.)

We can actually define twisting cochains in a different way using this lemma (but we won't do so today).

But this approach lets us think of a twisting cochain as a first-order perturbation of the deleted Čech differential.

### **Examples**

### Example

Look at the most trivial example: let V be an ungraded vector bundle, and  $a=a^{0,1}+a^{1,0}$ , where  $a_{\alpha}^{0,1}=\mathrm{id}_{V_{\alpha}}$ , and the  $a^{1,0}$  are the transition maps. Then

$$(D_{a}c)_{\alpha_{0}...\alpha_{p+1}} = a_{\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}}^{1,0}c_{\alpha_{1}...\alpha_{p+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i}c_{\alpha_{0}...\widehat{\alpha_{i}}...\alpha_{p+1}}.$$

We can't use the full Čech differential on  $\mathscr{C}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U}, V^{\circ})$  because everything has to lie over  $U_{\alpha_0}$ , but this total differential solves that problem  $-\mathbf{a}_{\alpha_0\alpha_1}^{1,0}$  is a (quasi-)isomorphism.

A spectral-sequence argument shows that, in fact,  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{a}}$  here really is 'the same as' the full Čech differential.

# Examples (cont.)

### Example

Now look at a slightly-less trivial example: let  $V^{\bullet}$  consist of complexes  $(V_{\alpha}^{\bullet}, d_{\alpha})$  of vector bundles, and  $a = a^{0,1} + a^{1,0}$ , where  $a_{\alpha}^{0,1} = d_{\alpha}$ , and the  $a^{1,0}$  are the transition maps. Then

$$(D_{a}c)_{\alpha_{0}...\alpha_{p+1}} = (-1)^{p} a_{\alpha_{0}}^{0,1} c_{\alpha_{0}...\alpha_{p}} + a_{\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}}^{1,0} c_{\alpha_{1}...\alpha_{p+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i} c_{\alpha_{0}...\widehat{\alpha}_{i}...\alpha_{p+1}}.$$

Identifying the second and third terms with the full Čech differential, as before, gives the usual total differential of the Čech bicomplex:

$$D_{a} = \delta \pm d_{V}.$$

# Why this emphasis on the first index?

- Transition maps naturally go from  $\alpha_p$  to  $\alpha_0$ .
- We want to be able to compare local things, and we need to pull everything back to lie over the same open set in order to do so.

# The Maurer-Cartan equation in other fields

Subject	Equation	Interpretation
Differential geometry	$F_{\nabla} = \mathrm{d}A + A \cdot A$	curvature of a Koszul connection <sup>3</sup>
Gauge theory	$\Omega = \mathrm{d} A + \tfrac{1}{2} [A \wedge A]$	curvature of a principal connection
Deformation theory	$\partial a + \frac{1}{2}[a,a]$	deformations of f.d. associative <i>k</i> -algebras with unit <sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Here be Christoffel symbols.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>There is also the beautiful fact (that we won't explain at all) that  $\mathrm{MC}(A\otimes\mathfrak{g})\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{dgAlg}}(\mathrm{CE}(\mathfrak{g}),A).$ 

#### **Flatness**

#### Motto

Solutions to (i.e. zeros of) the Maurer-Cartan equation are always (in some sense) *flat objects*.

# Can we strictify?

No.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>But sort of, yes.

Twisted complexes (BK)

# Stability

#### Motto

Pretriangulated dg-categories are those whose homotopy category is triangulated, where triangulated denotes the structure left over from taking the homotopy category of a stable  $(\infty, 1)$ -category.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Loop spaces and suspensions form an equivalence.

# Stability (cont.)

#### **Problem**

There is no reason for an arbitrary dg-category to be pretriangulated, which means that homotopy theorists might be unhappy. This is bad.

#### Solution

Twisted complexes à la Bondal and Kapranov.

# dg-categories

#### Definition

A *dg-category* is a category enriched over chain complexes. That is, the hom-sets are hom-*complexes*.

#### Definition

Let  $\mathcal A$  be a dg-category. Then a twisted complex  $\mathfrak C$  over  $\mathcal A$  is a collection

$$\mathfrak{C} = \{E_i \in \mathcal{A}, q_{ij} \colon E_i \to E_j \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$$

such that

- all but finitely many of the  $E_i$  are zero;
- the  $q_{ij}$  are of degree i j + 1; and
- $\mathrm{d}q_{ij} + \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} q_{sj} q_{is} = 0.$

#### The main result

#### **Theorem**

Given a dg-category, the smallest dg-category containing it in which we can define shifts and functorial cones is exactly its category of twisted complexes.

Further, if the original dg-category is pretriangulated then this embedding is a quasi-equivalence (which lets us pull back the shift and the cones, which descend exactly to a triangulated structure on the homotopy category).

We can also use the simplicial nerve to construct stable  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

# Twisting cochains as twisted complexes

#### Warning

It is **not** the case that, by picking the 'right' dg-category  $\mathcal{A}$ , we can recover the definition of holomorphic twisting cochains from that of twisted complexes.

Rather, twisting cochains are a *specific* case of twisted complexes.

# Constructing a twisted complex from a twisting cochain

- Let  $\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{dgMod}_{\mathcal{B}}$  where  $\mathcal{B} = \hat{\mathscr{C}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\chi})$  with differential  $\hat{\delta}$ .
- Let  $E_0 = \hat{\mathscr{C}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U}, V)$  (with **trivial** differential) and  $E_i = 0$  for all  $i \neq 0$ .
- We need a degree-1 *B*-linear endomorphism  $a = q_{00}$  of  $E_0$  such that  $\hat{\delta}a + aa = 0$ , but we can show that the dg-algebra  $\operatorname{End}_B(E_0)$  is exactly  $\mathscr{E}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{U},\operatorname{End}^{\circ}(V))$ .
- Decomposing a into  $a^{k,1-k}$  we can see that the equation that must be satisfied is exactly what we want.

#### Motto

Twisting cochains are twisted complexes that are concentrated in degree zero and 'projective/free'.

# Other fun things

#### The reference

Bernhard Keller. *Introduction to A-infinity algebras and modules*. 2001. arXiv: 9910179v2 [math.RA].

# **Preliminary definitions**

#### Definition

- An  $A_{\infty}$ -algebra is 'like a loop space' it is a k-algebra with a graded derivation, but where associativity of multiplication holds only up to homotopy, which hold only up to homotopy, which...;
- $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}\mathcal{A}$  is the category of  $A_{\infty}$ -modules over an  $A_{\infty}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ ;
- $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}\mathcal{A}$  is the homotopy category of  $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}\mathcal{A}$ ; and tria $\mathcal{A}$  the  $(\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ -version of the) triangulated subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}$  generated by the free rank-1  $\mathcal{A}$  module.

In the non- $A_{\infty}$  case, up to isomorphism, the objects of  $\mathrm{tria}\mathcal{A}$  are bounded complexes of finitely-generated free  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules, and the morphisms "are" homotopy classes of morphisms of complexes.

### Factorisation of the Yoneda functor

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal A$  be an  $A_\infty$ -category (with strict identities). Then the Yoneda functor  $\sharp$  factors through the  $A_\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{tw}\mathcal A$  of twisting cochains

$$\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\begin{subarray}{c} \begin{subarray}{c} \begin{su$$

Further,  $\sharp_1$  is (strictly) fully faithful, and  $\sharp_2$  induces an equivalence

$$H^0 tw A \xrightarrow{\sim} tria A$$
.

This lets us formalise what the  $A_{\infty}$ -version of twA really is.

# The set-up

- dg-coalgebra  $(C, d_C)$  with comultiplication  $\Delta$ ;
- dg-algebra (A,  $d_A$ ) with multiplication  $\mu$ .

Then a twisting cochain is a morphism  $\tau \colon C \to A[1]$  such that

$$d_A \circ \tau + \tau \circ d_C + \mu \circ (\tau \otimes \tau) \circ \Delta = 0.$$

Note that this is Maurer-Cartan, since the last term is the product  $\tau \star \tau$  in the convolution algebra.

# The cobar-bar adjunction

The bar-cobar adjunction is  $(\Omega \dashv B)$  between cocomplete dg-coalgebras dgCog<sub>cc</sub> and dg-algebras dgAlg.

Given  $f \in \mathrm{dgCog}_{\mathrm{cc}}(C, \mathrm{BA})$  we can define  $\tau_f = f \circ \rho$ , where  $\rho \colon \mathrm{BA} \to A[1]$  is the natural projection. Then  $\tau_f \colon C \to A[1]$ .

Maurer-Cartan then reduces to asking that f is a chain map (which is true, by definition).

We can do something similar to then turn  $\tau_f$  into a chain map (again, by Maurer-Cartan)  $f: \Omega C \to A$ .

# Koszul duality and twisted tensor products — full circle

Given some twisting cochain  $\tau \colon C \to A[1]$  we can define the twisted tensor products  $-\otimes_{\tau} A$  and  $-\otimes_{\tau} C$  on the level of (co)derived categories of (co)modules.

These two functors form an equivalence if and only if

$$A \otimes_{\tau} C \otimes_{\tau} A \to A$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

If this is the case then

$$H_{\bullet}C = \operatorname{Tor}_{\bullet}^{A}(k, k)$$
  
 $H^{\bullet}A = \operatorname{Ext}_{C}^{\bullet}(k, k).$