

THE1

Esref Ozturk
CENG
METU
Ankara, Turkey
esrefozturk93@gmail.com

Abstract—This document is a model and instructions for \LaTeX . This and the `IEEEtran.cls` file define the components of your paper [title, text, heads, etc.]. ***CRITICAL: Do Not Use Symbols, Special Characters, Footnotes, or Math in Paper Title or Abstract.**

Index Terms—component, formatting, style, styling, insert

E. When to Stop Updates

When to stop updates can be determined using cross validation. Iteration count can be parameterized for cross validation.

F. Choice of Training and Validation Sets

I. INTRODUCTION

This document is a model and instructions for \LaTeX . Please observe the conference page limits.

II. DISCUSSION

A. Effect of the Bias

Accuracies with and without biases can be seen on the following table:

eta	Accuracy(without bias)	Accuracy(with bias)
3e-4	0.4	0.48
1e-3	0.57	0.58
1e-1	0.65	0.79

As it can be seen, bias increases the accuracy.

B. Feature Scaling Technique

Min-Max scaling technique is used for scaling each of the 8 features to 0-1 range. Using min-max scaling, all different range features are scaled to the same range. Luckily there was no feature that has the same max and min so min-max scaling were used.

C. Effects of the Different Learning Rates

Following table shows accuracy scores for different iteration counts:

eta	1000	10000	100000
3e-4	0.37	0.48	0.61
1e-3	0.37	0.58	0.73
1e-1	0.73	0.79	0.79

As iteration count increases, the gap between learning rates are decreasing. Small learning rates are causing the accuracy to increase very slowly. If we want to use small learning rates, we should increase the iteration count.

D. Suggestion for a Better Learning Rate

Using cross validation better learning rate can be selected. Also learning rate should be considered together with iteration count since for bigger iteration counts, smaller learning rates are enough.