

# Readers/Writers Problem

---

# Readers/Writers

- Shared database (for example, bank balances, or airline seats)
- Two classes of users:
  - Readers
    - never modify database
  - Writers
    - read and modify database
- Using a single lock on the database would be overly restrictive.
- Want:
  - many readers at a time
  - only one writer at a time

# Readers/Writers

## ■ Constraints

- ❑ 1. Readers can access database when no writers
    - (Condition **okToRead**)
  - ❑ 2. Writers can access database when no readers or writers
    - (Condition **okToWrite**)
  - ❑ 3. Only one thread manipulates state variables at a time.
- The naïve approach is to allow only one thread to access the database at a time
  - But multiple readers can access at a time

## ■ State variables:

- ❑ # of active readers --  $AR = 0$
  - ❑ # of active writers --  $AW = 0$
  - ❑ # of waiting readers --  $WR = 0$
  - ❑ # of waiting writers --  $WW = 0$
  - ❑ Condition **okToRead**
  - ❑ Condition **okToWrite**
  - ❑ Lock lock
- Acquire lock as soon as you enter the critical section
  - Release lock before leaving the critical section
  - If you realize that you cannot proceed inside the critical code
    - ❑ Wait

## ■ Reader

- ❑ Initial status is waiting
- ❑ wait until no writers
- ❑ Change status to active reader
- ❑ access database
- ❑ No more active
- ❑ check out -- wake up waiting writer

## ■ Writer

- ❑ Initial status is waiting writer
- ❑ wait until no readers or writers
- ❑ Change status to active writer
- ❑ access database
- ❑ No more active
- ❑ check out -- wake up waiting readers or writer

```
Reader() {  
    lock.Acquire();  
    WR++;  
    while (AW > 0) { // check if safe to read  
                        // if any writers, wait  
        okToRead.Wait(&lock);}   
  
    WR--;  
    AR++;  
    lock.Release();  
    Access DB  
    lock.Acquire();  
    AR--;  
        if (AR == 0) //if no other readers still  
                        // active, wake up writer  
            okToWrite.Signal(&lock);  
    lock.Release();  
}
```

---

```
Writer() { // symmetrical
lock.Acquire();
WW++;
while ((AW + AR) > 0) // check if safe to write
    // if any readers or writers, wait
    okToWrite->Wait(&lock);
WW--;
AW++;
lock.Release();
Access DB
// check out
lock.Acquire();
AW--;
    okToRead->Broadcast(&lock);
    okToWrite->Signal(&lock);
lock.Release();
}
```

---

# Readers/Writers

## ■ Constraints

- ❑ 1. Readers can access database when no writers
  - (Condition **okToRead**)
- ❑ 2. Writers can access database when no readers or writers
  - (Condition **okToWrite**)
- ❑ 3. Only one thread manipulates state variables at a time.
- ❑ Waiting/Active Writers should be given priority over the readers



```

Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    WR++;
    while (AW > 0) { // check if safe to read
                        // if any writers, wait
        okToRead.Wait(&lock);}

    WR--;
    AR++;
    lock.Release();
    Access DB
    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0) //if no other readers still
                    // active, wake up writer
        okToWrite.Signal(&lock);
    lock.Release();
}

```

What if there's always an AR  
Waiting Writer will starve  
So give priority to Waiting Writer

---

```

Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    WR++;
    while (AW > 0 || WW > 0) {
        // check if safe to read
        // if any writers, wait
        okToRead.Wait(&lock);}

    WR--;
    AR++;
    lock.Release();
    Access DB
    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.Signal(&lock);
    lock.Release();
}

```

---

```
Writer() { // symmetrical
lock.Acquire();
WW++;
while ((AW + AR) > 0) // check if safe to write
    // if any readers or writers, wait
    okToWrite->Wait(&lock);
WW--; AW++;
lock.Release();
Access DB
// check outs
lock.Acquire();
AW--;
If(WW > 0)
    okToWrite->Signal(&lock);
else if (WR > 0)
    okToRead->Broadcast(&lock);
lock.Release();
}
```