[1] 0.0645

```
#ELIOT
#EXERCISE ONE
numbers = 1:52
montmort = replicate(10000,{
  #shuffle the deck
  deck = sample(numbers,52,replace=FALSE)
  #check for matches
  matches = deck==numbers
  #count number of matches
  #return true if there's at least one match
  sum(matches)>0
3)
#find the probability
print(mean(montmort))
> print(mean(montmort)) The simulated probability of winning is
[1] 0.6272
#EXERCISE ONE
#PART B
geese = 1:30
simmons = replicate(10000,{
  #sample 20 geese, "tag" them
  first = sample(geese, 20, replace=FALSE)
  #sample 10 geese
  second = sample(geese, 10, replace=FALSE)
  #count number of geese in second sample who were tagged in first
  #return true if more than 8 geese in second sample were tagged
  sum(second%in%first)>8
3)
#find the probability
                            The simulated probability that at least 9 goese in the new sample were previously tayled is .0645
print(mean(simmons))
> print(mean(simmons))
```

L = Anthony is lying  $\overline{L}$  = Anthony is telling the truth

F = Anthony Snils the polygraph  $\overline{F}$  = Anthony passes the polygraph  $P(\overline{F}|\overline{L})$  = .8  $P(\overline{F}|L)$  = .8

• P(FIL)? P(FIL)?

P(FIL) + P(FIL) = 1 . P(FIL) + P(FIL)
P(FIL) + .8 = 1 = P(FIL) + .8

P(FIL) = . 2 = P(FIL)

(L)=.05 P(L)=.95 P(L)=.05 P(L) P(L) = .95 P(F)= P(FIL) P(L) = (.8)(.05) P(F) = P(FIL) P(L) + P(FIL) P(L)

 $P(F) = P(F|L) P(L) + P(F|\overline{L}) P(L)$  = (.4) (.05) + (.3) (.95) = .33 = (.4)(.05)The probability Anthony is actually lying given that he failed the least is .174 = .174

. 8 is the probability that the test is correct given that we know whether or not someone lied. We cannot capite this to the probability that someone lied given that we know the test results. (Prosecute's taking).  $P(L|F) \neq P(F|L)$ 

1) P(L) = P(F) independent? P(L|F) = P(L)? P(F|L) = P(F)?

.174  $\pm$  .05 , .8  $\pm$  .23

The events L and F are not independent because knowing that F is free changes the probability of L , and vice versa. P(L) alone is .05 but if no know F is true. The probability of L is .174 (P(L)F)

$$E(Y) = Z_y Y P(Y)$$

a) 
$$\frac{y}{16}$$
  $\frac{y}{16}$   $\frac{y}{16}$ 

5) 
$$\frac{y \mid p(y)}{1 \mid .5}$$
 .5 = .25 : .135  
 $\frac{32 \mid .375}{64 \mid .125}$   $E(y) = .5 + 32 (.375) + 64 (.125)$   
 $= .5 + 12 + 8 = 20.50$ 

() 
$$\frac{y}{1}$$
  $\frac{p(y)}{.75}$  .25=.5 = .135  
 $\frac{32}{.125}$   $E(y) = .75 + 32(.125) + 64(.125)$   
 $\frac{4}{.125}$  = .75 - 4 - 4 : \frac{3}{12.75}

The variance of the wining in scenario (a) is 0 because there is only one possible outcome for the winings. Therebore y, the winnings bossit vary oft on 11.

The polygraph lest most be 97.8% reliable in order for

the probability Anthony is lying given that he Saites the



Y = # of whiles in sample who have side effects

Y~ Binomial (100, .01)

e) 
$$P(Y=5|Y=3) = \frac{P(Y=5)}{P(Y=3)} = \frac{P(Y=5)}{P(Y=3)}$$

They argue is your contact to the argue from part to, which was .074.