

# Incomplete financial markets, suboptimal fiscal policy, and growth

Research proposal

Esteban Degetau

2024-07-01

**Research question:** What are the growth consequences of procyclical fiscal policy in emerging economies?

**Motivation:** A procyclical fiscal policy implies decreasing government spending and increasing tax rates during recessions. Indeed, fiscal procyclicality diverts from orthodox macroeconomic prescriptions. On one hand, Keynesian economics prescribes countercyclical fiscal policies to alleviate demand crises, while Neoclassical economics argues for acyclical fiscal policies. Thus, procyclical fiscal policy is suboptimal under either school of economic thought. However, the empirical regularity of procyclical fiscal policy in developing economies has been noted at least since Talvi and Végh (2005).

The macroeconomics literature has rationalized such observation through an incomplete financial markets argument: because developing countries face countercyclical spreads on their debt, they cannot smooth consumption through debt during recessions reliably, and thus resort to tax increases (Cuadra, Sanchez, and Saprizza 2010).

Additional work on the procyclicality includes Mendoza and Yue (2012), who provide a general equilibrium model that incorporates business cycles with sovereign default to explain why defaulting economies experience deep recessions as well. Phuc Canh (2018) shows that emerging economies' fiscal policy is more efficient when they also hold strong institutions, especially through crowding-in effects. Born, Müller, and Pfeifer (2020) show that the effect of government spending on spreads is only increasing for high levels of withstanding debt. However, the cost of incomplete markets in terms of lost growth is yet unknown.

**Expected contribution:** Because procyclical fiscal policy is a feature of incomplete financial markets, gaining an insight on its costs in terms of growth will help to understand the extent to which institutions like the IMF ought to increase their borrowing supply to emerging economies under fiscal stress. Having a more precise estimate on the cost of incomplete markets will provide evidence on whether current financial aid is at optimal levels, or whether it is worth providing additional aid.

Indeed, providing sufficient aid to developing economies is crucial, as sound macroeconomic performance is a necessary condition for growth (Easterly and Levine 2001), and perhaps may help close the gap between developing and developed economies, that has been historicly so hard to close (Pritchett 1997).

## References

- Born, Benjamin, Gernot J. Müller, and Johannes Pfeifer. 2020. “Does Austerity Pay Off.” *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 102 (2): 323–38. [https://doi.org/10.1162/rest\\_a\\_00844](https://doi.org/10.1162/rest_a_00844).
- Cuadra, Gabriel, Juan M. Sanchez, and Horacio Saprizza. 2010. “Fiscal Policy and Default Risk in Emerging Markets.” *Review of Economic Dynamics* 13 (2): 452–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.red.2009.07.002>.
- Easterly, William, and Ross Levine. 2001. “What Have We Learned from a Decade of Empirical Research on Growth? It’s Not Factor Accumulation: Stylized Facts and Growth Models.” *The World Bank Economic Review* 15 (2): 177–219. <https://doi.org/10.1093/wber/15.2.177>.
- Mendoza, Enrique G., and Vivian Z. Yue. 2012. “A General Equilibrium Model of Sovereign Default and Business Cycles\*.” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 127 (2): 889–946. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjs009>.
- Phuc Canh, Nguyen. 2018. “The Effectiveness of Fiscal Policy: Contributions from Institutions and External Debts.” *Journal of Asian Business and Economic Studies* 25 (1): 50–66. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JABES-05-2018-0009>.
- Pritchett, Lant. 1997. “Divergence, Big Time.” *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 11 (3): 3–17. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.11.3.3>.
- Talvi, Ernesto, and Carlos A. Végh. 2005. “Tax Base Variability and Procyclical Fiscal Policy in Developing Countries.” *Journal of Development Economics* 78 (1): 156–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2004.07.002>.