JE Beasley  OR-Notes are a series of introductory notes on topics that fall under the broad heading of the field of operations research (OR). They were originally used by me in an introductory OR course I give at Imperial College. They are now available for use by any students and teachers interested in OR subject to the following conditions.
A full list of the topics available in OR-Notes can be found here.  Linear programming solution examples  Linear programming example 1997 UG exam
A company makes two products (X and Y) using two machines (A and B). Each unit of X that is produced requires 50 minutes processing time on machine A and 30 minutes processing time on machine B. Each unit of Y that is produced requires 24 minutes processing time on machine A and 33 minutes processing time on machine B.  At the start of the current week there are 30 units of X and 90 units of Y in stock. Available processing time on machine A is forecast to be 40 hours and on machine B is forecast to be 35 hours.  The demand for X in the current week is forecast to be 75 units and for Y is forecast to be 95 units. Company policy is to maximise the combined sum of the units of X and the units of Y in stock at the end of
the week.  • Formulate the problem of deciding how much of each product to make in the current week as a linear program.  • Solve this linear program graphically.  Solution
<ul> <li>Let</li> <li>x be the number of units of X produced in the current week</li> <li>y be the number of units of Y produced in the current week</li> <li>then the constraints are:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>50x + 24y &lt;= 40(60) machine A time</li> <li>30x + 33y &lt;= 35(60) machine B time</li> <li>x &gt;= 75 - 30</li> <li>i.e. x &gt;= 45 so production of X &gt;= demand (75) - initial stock (30), which ensures we meet demand</li> <li>y &gt;= 95 - 90</li> <li>i.e. y &gt;= 5 so production of Y &gt;= demand (95) - initial stock (90), which ensures we meet demand</li> </ul>
The objective is: maximise $(x+30-75) + (y+90-95) = (x+y-50)$ i.e. to maximise the number of units left in stock at the end of the week  It is plain from the diagram below that the maximum occurs at the intersection of $x=45$ and $50x + 24y = 2400$
50x+24y=2400 50- 50-
30- 20-
10- y=5 0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50
Solving simultaneously, rather than by reading values off the graph, we have that x=45 and y=6.25 with the value of the objective function being 1.25  Linear programming example 1995 UG exam
The demand for two products in each of the last four weeks is shown below.  Week  1 2 3 4  Demand - product 1 23 27 34 40  Demand - product 2 11 13 15 14
Apply exponential smoothing with a smoothing constant of 0.7 to generate a forecast for the demand for these products in week 5.  These produced using two machines, X and Y. Each unit of product 1 that is produced requires 15 minutes processing on machine X and 25 minutes processing on machine Y. Each unit of product 2 that is produced requires 7 minutes processing on machine X and 45 minutes processing on machine Y. The available time on machine X in week 5 is forecast to be 20 hours and on machine Y in week 5 is forecast to be 15 hours. Each unit of product 1 sold in week 5 gives a contribution to profit of £10 and each unit of product 2 sold in week 5 gives a contribution to profit of £4.  It may not be possible to produce enough to meet your forecast demand for these products in week 5 and each unit of unsatisfied demand for product 1 costs £3, each unit of unsatisfied demand for product 2 costs £1.
<ul> <li>Formulate the problem of deciding how much of each product to make in week 5 as a linear program.</li> <li>Solve this linear program graphically.</li> </ul> Solution
Note that the first part of the question is a <u>forecasting</u> question so it is solved below. For product 1 applying exponential smoothing with a smoothing constant of 0.7 we get: $M_1 = Y_1 = 23$ $M_2 = 0.7Y_2 + 0.3M_1 = 0.7(27) + 0.3(23) = 25.80$ $M_3 = 0.7Y_3 + 0.3M_2 = 0.7(34) + 0.3(25.80) = 31.54$
$M_4 = 0.7Y_4 + 0.3M_3 = 0.7(40) + 0.3(31.54) = 37.46$ The forecast for week five is just the average for week $4 = M_4 = 37.46 = 31$ (as we cannot have fractional demand).  For product 2 applying exponential smoothing with a smoothing constant of 0.7 we get:
$\begin{split} M_1 &= Y_1 = 11 \\ M_2 &= 0.7Y_2 + 0.3M_1 = 0.7(13) + 0.3(11) = 12.40 \\ M_3 &= 0.7Y_3 + 0.3M_2 = 0.7(15) + 0.3(12.40) = 14.22 \\ M_4 &= 0.7Y_4 + 0.3M_3 = 0.7(14) + 0.3(14.22) = 14.07 \end{split}$ The forecast for week five is just the average for week $4 = M_4 = 14.07 = 14$ (as we cannot have fractional demand).
We can now formulate the LP for week 5 using the two demand figures (37 for product 1 and 14 for product 2) derived above. Let $x_1$ be the number of units of product 1 produced
$x_2$ be the number of units of product 2 produced where $x_1$ , $x_2>=0$ The constraints are:  15 $x_1 + 7x_2 \le 20(60)$ machine X
$15x_1 + 7x_2 \le 20(60)$ machine X $25x_1 + 45x_2 \le 15(60)$ machine Y $x_1 \le 37$ demand for product 1 $x_2 \le 14$ demand for product 2
The objective is to maximise profit, i.e. maximise $10x_1 + 4x_2 - 3(37 - x_1) - 1(14 - x_2)$ i.e. maximise $13x_1 + 5x_2 - 125$
The graph is shown below, from the graph we have that the solution occurs on the horizontal axis ( $x_2$ =0) at $x_1$ =36 at which point the maximum profit is 13(36) + 5(0) - 125 = £343 40 Note the m/c <b>X</b> constraint effectively irrelevant
30- 25 <sup>*</sup> 25x1 + 45x2 = 900
X 20 15- 10- 13x1 + 5x2=130
13x1+5x2=130 iso-profit line 0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 x1
Linear programming example 1994 UG exam  A company is involved in the production of two items (X and Y). The resources need to produce X and Y are twofold, namely machine time for automatic processing and craftsman time for hand finishing. The table below gives the number of minutes required for each item:  Machine time Craftsman time
Machine time Craftsman time  Item X 13 20 Y 19 29  The company has 40 hours of machine time available in the next working week but only 35 hours of craftsman time. Machine time is costed at £10 per hour worked and craftsman time is costed at £2 per hour worked. Both machine and craftsman idle times incur no costs. The revenue received for each item produced (all production is sold) is £20 for X and £30 for Y. The company has a specific contract to produce 10 items of X per week for a particular customer.
<ul> <li>Formulate the problem of deciding how much to produce per week as a linear program.</li> <li>Solve this linear program graphically.</li> </ul> Solution Let
<ul> <li>x be the number of items of X</li> <li>y be the number of items of Y</li> </ul> then the LP is: maximise
<ul> <li>20x + 30y - 10(machine time worked) - 2(craftsman time worked)</li> <li>subject to:</li> <li>13x + 19y &lt;= 40(60) machine time</li> <li>20x + 29y &lt;= 35(60) craftsman time</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>x &gt;= 10 contract</li> <li>x,y &gt;= 0</li> </ul> so that the objective function becomes maximise
<ul> <li>20x + 30y - 10(13x + 19y)/60 - 2(20x + 29y)/60</li> <li>i.e. maximise</li> <li>17.1667x + 25.8667y</li> <li>subject to:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>13x + 19y &lt;= 2400</li> <li>20x + 29y &lt;= 2100</li> <li>x &gt;= 10</li> <li>x,y &gt;= 0</li> </ul> It is plain from the diagram below that the maximum occurs at the intersection of x=10 and 20x + 29y <= 2100
Solving simultaneously, rather than by reading values off the graph, we have that $x=10$ and $y=65.52$ with the value of the objective function being £1866.5
140- 120- 100-
> 80- 60- 40- Feasible 20x+29y=2100
20
Linear programming example 1992 UG exam
A company manufactures two products (A and B) and the profit per unit sold is £3 and £5 respectively. Each product has to be assembled on a particular machine, each unit of product A taking 12 minutes of assembly time and each unit of product B 25 minutes of assembly time. The company estimates that the machine used for assembly has an effective working week of only 30 hours (due to maintenance/breakdown).  Technological constraints mean that for every five units of product A produced at least two units of product B must be produced.  • Formulate the problem of how much of each product to produce as a linear program.
<ul> <li>Solve this linear program graphically.</li> <li>The company has been offered the chance to hire an extra machine, thereby doubling the effective assembly time available. What is the <i>maximum</i> amount you would be prepared to pay (per week) for the hire of this machine and why?</li> <li>Solution</li> </ul>
Let $x_A$ = number of units of A produced $x_B$ = number of units of B produced then the constraints are:
$12x_A + 25x_B \le 30(60)$ (assembly time) $x_B \ge 2(x_A/5)$ i.e. $x_B - 0.4x_A \ge 0$
i.e. $5x_B >= 2x_A$ (technological) where $x_A$ , $x_B >= 0$ and the objective is
maximise $3x_A + 5x_B$
It is plain from the diagram below that the maximum occurs at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B = 1800$ and $x_B - 0.4x_A = 0$
It is plain from the diagram below that the maximum occurs at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B = 1800$ and $x_B - 0.4x_A = 0$ $160$ $140 - 3xa + 5xb = 180$ (iso-profit line) $120 - 3xa + 5xb = 180$ (iso-profit line)
It is plain from the diagram below that the maximum occurs at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B = 1800$ and $x_B - 0.4x_A = 0$ $160$ $140 - 3x_A + 5x_D = 1800$ (iso-profit line) $120 - \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{800}$ $12x_A + 25x_D = 1800$
It is plain from the diagram below that the maximum occurs at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B = 1800$ and $x_B - 0.4x_A = 0$ $160$ $140$ $120$ $120$ $80$ $12x_A + 25x_B = 1800$ and $x_B - 0.4x_A = 0$ $12x_A + 25x_B = 1800$ and $x_B - 0.4x_A = 0$
It is plain from the diagram below that the maximum occurs at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B = 1800$ and $x_B - 0.4x_A = 0$ $160 - 140 - 3x_A + 5x_D = 1800$ $12x_A + 25x_D = 180$
It is plain from the diagram below that the maximum occurs at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B = 1800$ and $x_B - 0.4x_A = 0$ $180 - 400 - 3x_A + 5x_D = 1800 \text{ (iso-profit line)}$ $12x_A + 25x_D =$
It is plain from the diagram below that the maximum occurs at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B = 1800$ and $x_B - 0.4x_A = 0$ $160 - 30x_B + 5x_D = 1800$ $120 - 12x_B + 25x_D = 1800$ $12x_B + 25x_D = 1800$ Solving simultaneously, rather than by reading values off the graph, we have that: $x_A = (1800022) = 81.8$ $x_B = 0.4x_A = 32.7$ with the value of the objective function being \$2408.9 Doubling the assembly time available means that the assembly time constraint (currently $12x_A + 25x_B \le 1800$ ) becomes $12x_A + 25x_B \le 2(1800)$ This new constraint will be parallel to the existing assembly time constraint is that the new optimal solution will lie at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B \le 1800$ ) becomes $12x_A + 25x_B \le 2(1800)$ This new constraint will be parallel to the existing assembly time constraint is that the new optimal solution will lie at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B \le 1800$ ) becomes $12x_A + 25x_B \le 2(1800)$ This new constraint will be parallel to the existing assembly time constraint is that the new optimal solution will lie at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B \le 1800$ ) becomes $12x_A + 25x_B \le 2(1800)$ This new constraint will be parallel to the existing assembly time constraint is that the new optimal solution will lie at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B \le 1800$ ) becomes $12x_A + 25x_B \le 2(1800)$ This new constraint will be parallel to the existing assembly time constraint is that the new optimal solution will lie at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B \le 1800$ ) and $x_B = 0.4x_A = 0.$
It is plain from the diagram below that the maximum occurs at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B = 1800$ and $x_B - 0.4x_A = 0$ $160 \frac{1}{40} 1$
It is plain from the diagram below that the maximum occurs at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B = 1800$ and $x_B - 0.4x_A = 0$ $160 \frac{1}{140} \frac{1}{120} \frac$
It is plain from the diagram below that the exactions occurs at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B = 1000$ and $x_B - 0.4x_A = 0$ $100 \frac{100}{100} \frac$
It is plain from the diagram below that the maximum occurs at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B = 1800$ and $x_B - 0.4x_A = 0$ $150 - 140 - 12x_B + 5x_D = 1800$ $12x_B + 5x_D = 1800$ $2x_B + 5x_D = 1800$ Solving simultaneously, rather than by resuling values off the graph, we have that: $x_A = (1800 \times 22 - 81.8)$ $x_B - 0.4x_A = 32.7$ with the value of the objective function being £400.9  Doubling the assembly time evaluable means that the assembly time constraint (currently $12x_A + 25x_B < 1800$ ) becomes $12x_A + 25x_B < 2(1900)$ This new constraint will be parallel to the existing assembly time evaluable means that the assembly time constraint on the new operands solution will lie at the intersection of $12x_A - 25x_B = 3600$ and $x_B - 0.6x_A = 0$ 16. at $x_A = (9500 \times 22) = 163.5$ $x_B - 0.6x_B = 63.4$ with the value of the objective function being £817.8  There we have made an additional point of $2(2175 - 408.9) = 2408.9$ and this is the maximum profit below the £408.9 we would have made without the new machine.  There programming example 1980. UG exam  Solve  minimize $4x + 25x_B = 1800$ and $x_B - 0.4x_A = 0$ $2x_B - 2x_B = 1800$ and $x_B - 0.6x_A = 0$ There programming example 1980. UG exam  Solve  minimize $4x + 25x_B = 1800$ and $x_B - 0.6x_A = 0$
It is plain from the diagram below that the assistant occurs at the intersection of $12x_A + 25x_B = 1800$ and $x_B + 0.4x_B = 0$ $\begin{cases} 100 & 3x_B + 5x_B = 180 & (10x_B + 25x_B) = 1800 & (10x_B + 2$
It is plain from the diagram below that the maximum occurs at the intersection of $12x_A$ * $23x_B - 1800$ and $x_B - 0.0x_A - 0$ .  Solving simultaneously, mater than by warling values off the graph, we have that: $x_B = 0.0x_B - 1.0.7$ $x_B = 0.0x_B - 1.0.7$ Solving simultaneously, mater than by warling values off the graph, we have that: $x_B = 0.0x_B - 1.0.7$ $x_B = 0.0x_B - 1.0.7$ Solving simultaneously, mater than by warling values off the graph, we have that: $x_B = 0.0x_B - 1.0.7$ $x_B = 0.0x_B - 1.0.7$ Solving simultaneously, mater than by marking values off the graph, we have that: $x_B = 0.0x_B - 1.0.7$ $x_B = 0.0x_B - 1.0.7$ Solving simultaneously, mater than by marking values off the graph, we have that: $x_B = 0.0x_B - 1.0.7$ $x_B = 0.0x_B - 1.0.7$ Solving simultaneously, mater than being \$4.00.3  Danking the country time valued the mean that the countries (currently $1.0x_B - 2.0x_B - 1.0.00$ ) because $1.0x_B + 2.0x_B - 2.0000$ ). This new contrains will be patallel to the existing somethylene contrains to the first two contrains of currently time. $x_B = 0.0x_B - 1.0.00$ Solving time and additionally point of \$2.0.00 \text{ \$0.000}\$ and this is the maximum amount we would be prepared to pay for the bits of the mathics for doubling the assentily time.  The case where mean additionally point of \$2.0.00 \text{ \$0.000}\$ and this is the maximum amount we would have made without the new machine.  The case where mean additionally point of \$2.0.00 \text{ \$0.000}\$ and this is the maximum profit below the £600.90 we would have made without the new machine.  The case where the objective function being \$0.000 \text{ \$0.000}\$ and this is the maximum amount we would have made without the new machine.  The contrained of the payment than this amount then we will reduce our maximum profit below the £600.90 we would have made without the new machine.  The contrained of the payment than this amount then we will reduce our maximum profit below the £600.90 we would have made without the new machine.
It is plan from the diagram below the change and extension of 12x, - 22xg = 1000 and xg 0,4xg = 0  100  100  100  100  100  100  100
The proposal process of the first medical process of the function of LEG <sub>A</sub> = 250g = 1000 and $s_{10}$ = 0.4 $s_{10}$ = 0.5 $s$
1 (2 μ = 10 μ
to give from the disperse behavior the environment course of the interest count of 22 of 25 of 2
Social distillations of displace the first consistence contains an elementation of 12x <sub>1</sub> × 12x <sub>1</sub> × 1200 and $x_1$ of $x_2$ of $x_3$ of $x_4$ of
The contraction of the properties of the contraction control of the properties of the control of th
The proposition of the designation of the continue content of the proposition of the continue content of the proposition of the
Solding from the city on below construction account of the control of ESQ (170g - 100) triang (1.0g - 1)  **The control of ESQ (170g - 170g -
States and the second states and the second states are all the second states and the second states are all the second stat
Sing continues to eigenstate the electromaters are an enemonal display days within a giving of the continues
The control of the co
Security of the security of th
Set of the content of
Subjection of the content of the con
Selection of the control of the cont
Security of the security of th
Section and sectio
State of the control
Section of the content of the conten
Separate sections and accomplication of the control
Section 19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19
Application
Security of the Control of the Contr
Section and a continuous and a continuou
A