Implementation of Chain of Responsibility Design Pattern- Ester Shumeli

Behavioral Design Pattern - Chain of Responsibility

In the Furniture Management System, the Chain of Responsibility Design Pattern is applied to streamline the handling of pending payment issues. Instead of writing multiple if-else conditions scattered across the system, the pattern delegates the responsibility of handling payment problems across a chain of role-based handlers.

- A centralized abstract class PaymentHandler defines a handle (payment: Payment) method and maintains a reference to the next handler in the chain via setNext (handler: PaymentHandler).
- Four concrete handlers SalesAgentHandler, HRManagerHandler, CFOHandler, and AdminHandler extend this base class. Each handler examines the payment's issueType and decides whether it can resolve it or should forward it to the next handler in the chain.
- The pattern decouples the sender (Payment) from the receiver logic, promoting cleaner code, centralized control, and easier future expansion.

This design ensures that each department handles only the issues it is equipped to deal with, while unresolved issues are escalated to the next responsible unit. It supports the **Open/Closed Principle**, allowing new handler roles to be added without altering existing logic.

Handler Breakdown

1. Sales Agent Handler

Role: First-level handler — checks if the payment issue is related to sales commission or client-side payment delays.

Handles cases like: Unpaid sales commission for completed orders/ Delays in client payment impacting agent salary/ Adjustments due to returned products

Forwards to next if:

- -Issue is unrelated to sales
- -Agent lacks authority to resolve (e.g., client bankruptcy)

2. HRManagerHandler

Role: Second-level handler — focuses on employee status or contract-related payment problems.

Handles cases like: Employee marked inactive or terminated/ Contract not signed or expired/ Misclassified leave status affecting salary

Forwards to next if:

- -All HR data is valid
- -Payment issue seems purely financial

3. CFOHandler

Role: Third-level handler — deals with payroll, finance errors, and budget constraints.

Handles cases like: Payroll miscalculations/ Department over budget/ Incorrect deductions (e.g., tax, insurance)

Forwards to Admin if:

- -Finance systems show no errors
- -Issue falls outside CFO's scope (e.g., system bug)

4. AdminHandler

Role: Final fallback — manually reviews and escalates unresolved payment issues.

Handles cases like:

- -Unclassified or ambiguous payment issues
- -System-level failures or overrides
- -Logging and notifying IT or supervisors

Typically ends the chain by:

- -Alerting developers or admins
- -Logging issue in audit database
- -Manually resolving or deferring the payment

Example Scenario Walkthrough

Case 1: A sales agent reports a missed commission

→ SalesAgentHandler: ✓ Resolves it

Case 2: An intern hasn't received payment

→ SalesAgentHandler: **X**

→ HRManagerHandler: ✓ Detects expired contract → Resolves

Case 3: A finance officer's net salary is wrong

 \rightarrow SalesAgentHandler: X

 \rightarrow HRManagerHandler: X

 \rightarrow CFOHandler: \checkmark Finds tax deduction error \rightarrow Resolves

Case 4: A payment is missing with no clear trace

→ SalesAgentHandler: 🗶

 \rightarrow HRManagerHandler: \boldsymbol{X}

 \rightarrow CFOHandler: X

→ AdminHandler: Logs the issue, notifies admin, escalates

This pattern greatly improves maintainability and modularity by giving each department autonomy over the issues they understand best, while seamlessly escalating complex problems. It prevents tight coupling and scattered logic, ensuring every role acts only within its domain of responsibility.

