# **Demo session**

ALPHA DEVELOPER 07

Exported on: Oct 17, 2024

By MIPL @

eTabella

Powered by eTabella.com

case description case description ca	ase description case description	
case description		
-		
Between		
case claiment ca	ase claiment case claiment case claiment case claimentcase claiment case claiment	
		Claimant
	- and -	
	case res case res case res case res case res case res	
	Re	spondent
	- before -	
	Demo session	
	Thursday , 17 Oct 2024	

1 11:00:04	{R19} {R17} PROCEEDINGS
2 11:00:05	(11.00 am)
3 11:00:08	HIS HONOUR: Thank you. Before we begin, can I just mention
4 11:00:10	two points.
5 11:00:14	First, to remind the parties that I would like
6 11:00:17	a copy of the chronology cross-referenced to the
7 11:00:18	bundles.
8 11:00:19	Also, I would like just a little help as to how the
9 11:00:24	bundles have been put together. They are certainly not
10 11:00:26	in chronological order; what order are they in?
11 11:00:27	MR JONES: It's a very good question, the sort of question
12 11:00:30	that I
13 11:00:35	HIS HONOUR: The rules require a chronological bundle, and
14 11:00:40	it is quite difficult thinking well, I would like to
15 11:00:45	see the {A2-3} and {A3-4} to which that document is an
16 11:00:48	answer, and then you have to, by pure chance, go about
17 11:00:52	four bundles further on, which slightly (Pause)
18 11:00:53	But they have just been divided up with tabs.
19 11:00:58	MR JONES: I mean, one option is the parties assist you by
20 11:01:00	producing an agreed bundle hopefully when we have
21 11:01:05	done our written closings with reference in {A1-10} and
22 11:01:10	{A1-11}.
23 11:01:15	HIS HONOUR: I would be grateful. There was always a risk
24 11:01:17	anyway of seeing the document in two different places.
25 11:01:18	MR JONES: We'll secure that for you.

1 11:01:21	Could I ask your Honour, please, to take up the list
2 11:01:22	of issues. It can be found in {E2-34}.
3 11:01:25	In {B3-2}, there are two sets of lists of issues.
4 11:01:27	There's a case memorandum list of issues from the –
5 11:01:30	HIS HONOUR: The parties weren't able to agree on the list
6 11:01:35	of issues?
7 11:01:37	MR JONES: That's right, yes. Slightly—
8 11:01:42	HIS HONOUR: It's not unknown, Mr Jones.
9 11:01:43	MR JONES: The bank and the first defendant were able to
10 11:01:45	agree on what they thought were the list of issues.
11 11:01:47	HIS HONOUR: Of course. That goes without saying.
12 11:01:52	MR JONES: My learned friend took you to these yesterday in
13 11:01:53	relation to his submissions about the issue estoppel,
14 11:01:58	and made the point that the July 2003 dishonest
15 11:02:03	statement was not an issue.
16 11:02:05	I mean, that's absolutely right, because I'll
17 11:02:08	develop that's actually a point in our favour, but
18 11:02:11	perhaps, first of all, we could turn to {D2-12}.
19 11:02:12	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
20 11:02:13	MR JONES: This is within the claimant's list of issues,
21 11:02:16	just to see how narrowly focused the misrepresentation
22 11:02:18	
22 11.02.10	issue in the 2001 trial was.
23 11:02:22	One can see that summarised at {A1-5}, and then in

1	11:02:34	are, in terms of the representations made.
2	11:02:38	Starting at bundle {D1-9}, subparagraph 16.1.1:
3	11:02:43	"The claimant's capital would be protected and they
4	11:02:44	would not lose money"
5	11:02:47	In bundle {F1-5}:
6	11:02:49	"There was only a negligible chance the claimant's
7	11:02:51	capital would fail to appreciate"
8	11:02:55	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
9	11:02:58	MR JONES: These are all pre-contractual representations.
10	11:02:59	They are very much focused at the early stage.
11	11:03:03	If one turns to the list of issues by the parties,
12	11:03:04	one can see at {B3-19}, and then forward to page 10, for
13	11:03:09	example, in relation to the misrepresentation claim,
14	11:03:14	they are all focused on, again, pre-contractual
15	11:03:16	representations which have been relied on in the
16	11:03:21	re-amended particulars of claim.
17	11:03:23	I think my learned friend took you to those at
18	11:03:24	{A3-4} and {B2-4}.
19	11:03:28	Unless you would like me to, I don't propose to take
20	11:03:33	you back to the claimant's re-amended particulars of
21	11:03:36	claim.
22	11:03:37	HIS HONOUR: It's the protection point.
23	11:03:40	MR JONES: Protection, exactly. It's all about what was
24	11:03:41	said to induce the claimant to enter into these
25	11:03:44	products.

1 11:03:45	Again, I'll develop this further, but this is the
2 11:03:47	only focus of attention in terms of agency at that
3 11:03:51	point again, subject to what happens.
4 11:03:55	If I could open {C1-5}.
5 11:03:56	HIS HONOUR: {C1-5}, yes, I'm up to date with that.
6 11:03:59	MR JONES: Perfect.
7 11:04:00	Bundle {E2} should now be {E1} well, it's
8 11:04:02	19 pages on the PDF, but the last page is 25, and that's
9 11:04:04	been updated very recently.
10 11:04:05	I don't know if your Lordship has that last
11 11:04:10	HIS HONOUR: No. What's come in recently in bundle {E4}?
12 11:04:14	MR JONES: Certainly I received it this morning. There is
13 11:04:15	a clip of about 8 or 12 pages, an email exchange and
14 11:04:17	a letter from the claimant a couple of days ago.
15 11:04:22	It sounds like Mr David is with us.
16 11:04:27	MR DAVID: Hold on one second. I'm on mytelephone with the
17 11:04:29	technical support.
18 11:04:31	They say, first of all, I have to get online on my
19 11:04:36	telephone, and then they will do some magic to ensure
20 11:04:39	that I get on on my laptop.
21 11:04:43	So just for the technical support chap, do I now
22 11:04:46	come off the link on my telephone?
23 11:04:48	(Pause).
24 11:04:50	MR JONES: I don't know if it would be convenient to finish
25 11:04:54	off the housekeeping. I don't think Mr David will

ALPHA DEVELOPER 07 Demo session 17 Oct 2024

## Page No. 5

1 11:04:58 accuse me of leaving him out.

2 11:05:01 HIS HONOUR: Yes.

3 11:05:04 MR JONES: So the final page of bundle {E5} is numbered 95.