Maoist Conflict in India

An Annotated Bibliography

Emmanuel Teitelbaum, George Washington University
Shiva Sharma, George Washington University

June 03, 2022

Quantitative Studies on Maoism

Aditya Dasgupta, Kishore Gawande, and Devesh Kapur. 2017. "(When) Do Antipoverty Programs Reduce Violence? India's Rural Employment Guarantee and Maoist Conflict." *International Organization* 71 (3): 605–632. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818317000236

Abstract: Theory and extensive evidence connect poverty and underdevelopment to civil conflict yet evidence on the impact of development programs on violence is surprisingly mixed. To break this impasse, we exploit a within-country policy experiment to examine the conditions under which antipoverty programs reduce violence. The roll-out of India's National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme caused a large long-run reduction in Maoist conflict violence, as measured with an original data set based on local-language press sources. These pacifying effects were not uniform, however, but overwhelmingly concentrated in districts with sufficient pre-existing local state capacity to implement the program effectively. The results demonstrate the potential for anti-poverty programs to mitigate violent civil conflict by improving livelihoods, but also highlight the crucial role of state capacity in shaping these effects.

Summary:

bold print

Joseph Flavian Gomes. 2015. "The Political Economy of the Maoist Conflict in India: An Empirical Analysis." *World Development* 68 (2015): 96–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.11.021

Abstract: We study the Maoist/Naxalite conflict in India by constructing a comprehensive district-level database combining conflict data from four different terrorism databases to socioeconomic and geography data from myriad sources. Using data on 360 districts for three time periods, we find that land inequality and lower incomes are important factors behind the conflict. Exploiting the micro structure of the data we show that growth of incomes of Scheduled Tribes

significantly decreases the intensity of the conflict. Finally, we show that historical property rights institutions from colonial times that go back centuries affect present day conflict outcomes.

Summary:

To summarize the article, it says that. . .

Questions:

1) Is it true that the NSS data are representative at the district level? For Employment and Unemployment Survey, stratification at the district level only started with the in 2005-06(62nd round) onward. But perhaps it started earlier for the Household Consumer Expenditure Surveys;

2) How is land inequality calculated for the analysis? Were the binned nature of the landholdings data taken into account?;

3) Are NSS data a good source for measures of inequality? My understanding was that they tended to under-represent the rich households.