

Explanation of how my greedy algorithm breaks through the $O(n \log n)$:

Given a knapsack that can carry w pounds and n items of equal weight b , we can determine the amount of items that can fit into the bag - a - by calculating $\lfloor w/b \rfloor = a$. Once we know this value, instead of sorting all of the items, we only need to determine those that are less than the $(a - 1)$ th least valuable item and those that are greater. This can be done in $O(n)$ by using quick select.

Pseudocode

```
w = carrying capacity of knapsack
b = weight of a single item
a =  $\lfloor w/b \rfloor$ 
```

```
quick-select to partition the items between those that are more
valuable and then valuable than the  $(a - 1)$ th least valuable item
```

```
add all items more valuable than the  $(a - 1)$ th least valuable item to
the knapsack
```

```
if room in knapsack
    add fraction of  $(a - 1)$ th least valuable item to knapsack
```

Proof and Correctness

Because all items are of equal weight, the knapsack must contain the w/b most valuable items. By determining the $(a - 1)$ th least valuable item by using quick select we know all items that are less valuable than the $(a - 1)$ th least valuable item and all items that are more valuable than the $(a - 1)$ th least valuable item. All the items that are more valuable than the $(a - 1)$ th least valuable item are the w/b most valuable items.

Quick select is $O(n)$ and therefore the algorithm is $O(n)$.