

## Possum — Portals, Version One

Audited by Ethan Bennett

November 2023



## Contents

About Darklinear Solutions	I
Introduction	I
Finding	I
Users can bypass portalEnergy calculations when unstaking to steal extra yield from the	ī



#### About Darklinear Solutions

Darklinear Solutions provides unrivaled security for blockchain applications, from the bytecode to the browser. With years of experience in smart contract development and traditional software engineering, we find the bugs that others miss. Learn more at darklinear.com.

#### Introduction

Possum's Portals allow users to deposit yield-earning assets to receive their yield upfront. This review consists of an issue found in the Hats Finance competitive audit for Possum's Portals V1 in November 2023. It does not represent a full and exhaustive audit of the protocol.

### **Finding**

# Users can bypass portalEnergy calculations when unstaking to steal extra yield from the contract

Severity: High risk

**Description:** An attacker can inflate their portalEnergy infinitely by exploiting the rounding error on L<sub>314</sub> of Portal.sol — since the division will round down, any \_amount small enough to make \_amount \* maxLockDuration less than SECONDS\_PER\_YEAR will return 0. Any logic that uses the raw \_amount proceeds as intended, so the attacker still receives their unstaked funds like they normally would. But they also keep all the portalEnergy they built up in the process.

**Proof of concept:** It is possible that this could be exploited more efficiently or with greater impact than the loop in this PoC, but this attack is already significant enough to consider it high-risk: portalEnergy has real value within Portal, and that value can be stolen without limit by any attacker.

**Recommendation:** The simplest solution would likely be instituting a minimum for the \_amount of principal tokens in both stake and unstake. Note that this minimum is a function of the maxLockDuration: it should not be referenced explicitly, since it will change with maxLockDuration (which, itself, is designed to change). As is, the minimum number of principal tokens with which the contract operates properly is 5.

You could check for this dynamically with:

```
require (_amount * maxLockDuration >= SECONDS_PER_YEAR)
```

The reason I suggest this over adjusting the math is that rounding up instead of down could present a different risk in this context. However, if it is important to retain this functionality for small \_amounts, the math could be reworked instead.