|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Model | McFadden’s Psuedo R2 |
| Model 1f | 0.157 |
| Model 2f | 0.212 |
| Model 3f | 0.216 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Model | McFadden’s Pseudo R2 |
| Model 1m | 0.141 |
| Model 2m | 0.219 |
| Model 3m | 0.230 |

The resulting McFadden pseudo R2 values indicate similar results for each of the female-male model pairs. Models 1f and 1m both had lower McFadden pseudo R2 values than the rest of the models having values of 0.157 and 0.141 respectively. Models 2f, 3f, 2m, and 3m each had values of 0.212, 0.216, 0.219, and 0.230 respectively which all fall within the range between 0.2 and 0.4 which has been identified as an ideal range suggesting the models are well fit.

IN STAT ANALYSIS: remove where I say “we look at both R2 and pseudo R2” in favor of just looking at the pseudo R2.