Math 115A Homework 1

Ethan Martirosyan

January 22, 2024

Problem 1

By the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, we may write $c = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \cdots p_k^{\beta_k}$ for some distinct primes p_1, \ldots, p_k and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k > 0$. Then $ab = p_1^{2\beta_1} \cdots p_k^{2\beta_k}$. Every prime p_i must divide a or b. To prove this, let us suppose that p_i does not divide a. Then, we claim that p_i must divide b. If p_i does not divide a, then $(a, p_i) = 1$. Then, there must exist integers l, m such that

$$al + p_i m = 1$$

Multiplying both sides by b, we find that

$$abl + p_i bm = b$$

Then p_i divides abl and p_ibm , so it also divides $abl + p_ibm = b$. This proves that p_i divides either a or b. If p_i divides a, then a must have all the copies of p_i in its prime factorization (if b contained any copies of p_i in its prime factorization, then (a, b) would be greater than 1, a contradiction). Since this is true for every prime p_i , we may conclude that a and b are equal to a product of terms from the list $p_1^{2\beta_1}, \ldots, p_k^{2\beta_k}$. Since $p_i^{2\beta_i} = (p_i^{\beta_i})^2$, we may conclude that there exist constants c_1 and c_2 such that $a = c_1^2$ and $b = c_2^2$.

First, we must prove that exactly one of x and y is even and the other is odd. For the sake of contradiction, we may first suppose that x and y are both even. We may write x = 2p and y = 2q for integers p and q. Then, we have

$$x^{2} + y^{2} = z^{2} \implies (2p)^{2} + (2q)^{2} = z^{2} \implies 4(p^{2} + q^{2}) = z^{2} \implies 4 \mid z^{2} \implies 2 \mid z$$

Then, we have $(x, y, z) \ge 2$, which is false by assumption. Next, we may suppose that x and y are both odd. Thus, we may write x = 2p + 1 and y = 2q + 1 for some positive integers p and q. Notice that

$$x^2 + y^2 = (2p+1)^2 + (2q+1)^2 = 4p^2 + 4p + 1 + 4q^2 + 4q + 1 = 2(2p^2 + 2p + 2q^2 + 2q + 1) = z^2$$

Thus we find that $2 \mid z^2$, which implies that $2 \mid z$. We may write z = 2t. Then, we find that

$$2(2p^2 + 2p + 2q^2 + 2q + 1) = 4t^2$$

from which we obtain

$$2p^2 + 2p + 2q^2 + 2q + 1 = 2t^2$$

This says that an odd number is equal to an even number, which is false. Therefore, we know that one of x and y must be even and the other must be odd.

Next, we must show that

$$\left(\frac{z+y}{2}, \frac{z-y}{2}\right) = 1$$

Note that (z+y)/2 and (z-y)/2 are integers because z and y are both odd. Suppose that d is a common divisor of $\frac{z+y}{2}$ and $\frac{z-y}{2}$. Then it must divide their sum and difference, so $d \mid z$ and $d \mid y$. We claim that y and z are pairwise prime. If this were not the case, then there would be some prime p such that $p \mid y$ and $p \mid z$. From the relation $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$, we would have $p \mid x^2$, so that $p \mid x$, which would imply that x, y, z are not relatively prime. This is a contradiction. Thus, y and z are pairwise prime. Since d divides pairwise prime numbers, we have d = 1. This means that

$$\left(\frac{z+y}{2}, \frac{z-y}{2}\right) = 1$$

Now, we know that

$$\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{z+y}{2}\right)\left(\frac{z-y}{2}\right)$$

and we just established that

$$\left(\frac{z+y}{2}, \frac{z-y}{2}\right) = 1$$

Appealing to problem 1, there must be integers m and n such that

$$\frac{z+y}{2} = m^2$$

and

$$\frac{z-y}{2} = n^2$$

Adding m^2 and n^2 , we obtain

$$z = m^2 + n^2$$

Subtracting n^2 from m^2 yields

$$y = m^2 - n^2$$

Finally, we have

$$x^{2} = z^{2} - y^{2} = m^{4} + 2m^{2}n^{2} + n^{4} - (m^{4} - 2m^{2}n^{2} + n^{4}) = 4m^{2}n^{2}$$

so that

$$x = 2mn$$

We will prove this result by induction. First, let us suppose that $N = (4n_1 + 1)(4n_2 + 1)$, where n_1 and n_2 are integers. We obtain

$$(4n_1+1)(4n_2+1) = 16n_1n_2 + 4n_1 + 4n_2 + 1 = 4(4n_1n_2 + n_1 + n_2) + 1$$

Now, let us suppose that N is the product of the primes $4n_1 + 1, \ldots, 4n_{k+1} + 1$. By our induction hypothesis, we know that

$$(4n_1+1)\cdots(4n_k+1)=4m+1$$

for some integer m. Then, we find that

$$(4m+1)(4n_{k+1}+1) = 16mn_{k+1} + 4m + 4n_{k+1} + 1 = 4(4mn_{k+1} + m + n_{k+1}) + 1$$

By induction, we have shown that if N is a product of any number of primes of the form 4n + 1, then N = 4M + 1, where M is an integer.

By the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, we may factor the number $N = 4p_1 \cdots p_k + 3$ into primes as follows:

$$N = q_1 \cdots q_i$$

First, we claim that some q_i is of the form 4n+3. Suppose this were not true. Then every q_i must be of the form 4n+1, so their product would also be of the form 4M+1 by Problem 3. However, it is evident that N is of the form 4M+3, so there must exist some prime q_i of the form 4n+3, which we may denote q. Next, we claim that this q is not equal to any of p_1, \ldots, p_k . Notice that none of the primes p_1, \ldots, p_k divide N. If some p_i did divide N, then it would also have to divide $3 = N - p_1 \cdots p_k$, which cannot happen because we assumed that p_1, \ldots, p_k were all greater than 3. Since q does divide N, it is evident that q is not equal to any of the primes p_1, \ldots, p_k .

Suppose there were only finitely many primes p_1, \ldots, p_k of the form 4n+3. As in problem 4, we may consider the number $N=4p_1\cdots p_k+3$. In problem 4, we proved that N has some prime factor q that is of the form 4n+3 that is not equal to any of the primes p_1, \ldots, p_k . This contradicts the assumption that p_1, \ldots, p_k constituted the complete list of primes of the form 4n+3. Thus there must be infinitely many primes of the form 4n+3.

Suppose that there were only finitely many primes p_1, \ldots, p_k of the form 6n + 5. Consider the number

$$N = 6p_1 \cdots p_k + 5$$

By the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, we may factorize N into primes as follows:

$$N = q_1 \dots q_j$$

We claim that one of these primes q_i must be of the form 6n+5. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that all of the primes q_1, \ldots, q_j were of the form 6n+1. Then their product would also be of the form 6n+1. To see this, consider the integers 6n+1 and 6m+1. Their product is

$$(6n+1)(6m+1) = 36mn + 6n + 6m + 1 = 6(6mn + n + m) + 1$$

By induction, it is evident that the product $q_1 \cdots q_j$ would be of the form 6n + 1 which contradicts the fact that N is of the form 6n + 5. Thus, there must exist some prime q of the form 6n + 5 in the prime factorization of N. Next, we claim that q is not equal to any prime in the list p_1, \ldots, p_k . Notice that q divides N by construction, but none of the primes p_1, \ldots, p_k divide N. If any of these primes did divide $N = 6p_1 \cdots p_k + 5$, then it would also have to divide $N - 6p_1 \cdots p_k = 5$, which cannot happen. Since q divides N but none of the primes p_1, \ldots, p_k divide N, we know that q is not equal to any of the primes p_1, \ldots, p_k . Thus, we may conclude that there must be infinitely many primes of the form 6n + 5.

For the sake of contradiction, suppose that

$$S_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}$$

was an integer. Then the product of S_n with any other integer must also be an integer (since integers are closed under multiplication). We aim to construct an integer whose product with S_n is not an integer. Let k be the largest integer such that $2^k \leq n$. Let N be equal to $2^{k-1} \cdot p$ (where p is the product of all the odd integers less than n). We claim that for every integer m that is less than or equal to n and not equal to 2^k , N/m is an integer. Let m be an arbitrary integer less than or equal to n and not equal to n where n is odd. First, we claim that n divides n is evident because n is an odd number less than n so it divides n by the definition of n (recall that n was defined to be the product of all odd integers less than n). Next, we claim that n0 was defined to be the product of all odd integers less than n1. Next, we claim that n2 in n3 in n4 in n5 in n5 in n5 in n6 in n7 in n8 in n9 in n

$$NS_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{N}{j} = \sum_{j \neq 2^k} \frac{N}{j} + \frac{N}{2^k} = \sum_{j \neq 2^k} \frac{N}{j} + \frac{p}{2}$$

Notice that the first sum is an integer, but p/2 is not. Thus, NS_n is not an integer. Since N is an integer, we must conclude that S_n is not an integer.