

# Math 332 A - Mathematical Statistics

Ethan Jensen

April 10, 2020

HW p.370 #8, p.379 #74, p.380 #78

## 1 p. 370 #8

Show that the two formulas for  $\chi^2$  on pages 368 and 369 are equivalent.

We first define the following terms:

$$f_{i1} = x_i, \text{ and } f_{i2} = n_i - x_i \\ e_{i1} = n_i \hat{\theta}_i, \text{ and } e_{i2} = n_i(1 - \hat{\theta}_i)$$

Let us begin.

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{(f_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{f_{ij}^2 - 2e_{ij}f_{ij} + e_{ij}^2}{e_{ij}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{f_{ij}^2}{e_{ij}} - 2f_{ij} + e_{ij}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{(f_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{f_{i1}^2}{e_{i1}} + \frac{f_{i2}^2}{e_{i2}} - 2(f_{i1} + f_{i2}) + (e_{i1} + e_{i2})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{(f_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i^2}{n_i \hat{\theta}_i} + \frac{(n_i - x_i)^2}{n_i(1 - \hat{\theta}_i)} - 2n_i + n_i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{(f_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i^2(1 - \hat{\theta}_i) + (n_i - x_i)^2 \hat{\theta}_i - n_i^2 \hat{\theta}_i(1 - \hat{\theta}_i)}{n_i \hat{\theta}_i(1 - \hat{\theta}_i)}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{(f_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i^2 - x_i^2 \hat{\theta}_i + n_i^2 \hat{\theta}_i - 2n_i x_i \hat{\theta}_i + x_i^2 \hat{\theta}_i - n_i^2 \hat{\theta}_i + n_i^2 \hat{\theta}_i^2}{n_i \hat{\theta}_i(1 - \hat{\theta}_i)}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{(f_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i^2 - 2n_i x_i \hat{\theta}_i + n_i^2 \hat{\theta}_i^2}{n_i \hat{\theta}_i(1 - \hat{\theta}_i)} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(x_i - n_i \hat{\theta}_i)^2}{n_i \hat{\theta}_i(1 - \hat{\theta}_i)}$$

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{(f_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(x_i - n_i \hat{\theta}_i)^2}{n_i \hat{\theta}_i(1 - \hat{\theta}_i)}$$

■

## 2 p. 379 #74

In random samples of 250 people with low incomes, 200 people with average incomes, and 150 people with high incomes, there were, respectively, 155, 118, and 87 who favor a certain piece of legislation. Use the 0.05 level of significance to test the null hypothesis  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_3$  (that the proportion of the people favoring the legislation is the same for all three income groups) against the alternative hypothesis that the three  $\theta$ 's are not all equal.

Constructing our table, we have

<i>Data (<math>f_{ij}</math>)</i>	<b>Favors</b>	<b>Does not Favor</b>	<b>Totals</b>
<b>Low Income</b>	155	95	250
<b>Mid Income</b>	118	82	200
<b>High Income</b>	87	63	150
<b>Totals</b>	360	240	600

$H_0 : \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_3$

$H_1 : \theta_1, \theta_2, \text{ and } \theta_3 \text{ are not all equal.}$

$\alpha = 0.05$ . The pooled estimate of  $\theta$  is  $\theta = \frac{360}{600} = 0.6$ .

With our pooled estimate, we can construct the estimate table.

<i>Expected Frequencies (<math>e_{ij}</math>)</i>	<b>Favors</b>	<b>Does not Favor</b>	<b>Totals</b>
<b>Low Income</b>	150	100	250
<b>Mid Income</b>	120	80	200
<b>High Income</b>	90	60	150
<b>Totals</b>	360	240	600

From no particular theorem, the following sum is chi-square with  $k-1$  degrees of freedom.

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{(f_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}}$$

So we do the following test with 0.05 level of significance. We check:

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{(f_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}} < \chi_{0.05,2}^2$$

$$\chi_{0.05,2}^2 = 5.991$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{(f_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}} = 0.75 (\text{very small!})$$

Since  $0.75 < 5.991$ , we must accept the null hypothesis.

Income level does not affect whether people favor this piece of legislation

the p-value is 0.687289. (big!)

### 3 p. 380 #78

The following sample data pertain to the shipments received by a large firm from three different vendors:

<i>Data (<math>f_{ij}</math>)</i>	<b>Number rejected</b>	<b>Number imperfect but acceptable</b>	<b>Number perfect</b>	<b>Totals</b>
<b>Vendor A</b>	12	23	89	124
<b>Vendor B</b>	8	12	62	82
<b>Vendor C</b>	21	30	119	170
<b>Totals</b>	41	65	270	376

Test at the 0.01 level of significance whether the three vendors ship products of equal quality.

I am not quite sure how this works, but I assume the population is multinomial. We break the cases into three categories into two.

$$\theta_1 = 41/376, \theta_2 = 65/376, \theta_3 = 270/376$$

We construct the table of expected values for each cell using the 3  $\theta$  values.

<i>Expected Frequencies (<math>e_{ij}</math>)</i>	<b>Number rejected</b>	<b>Number imperfect but acceptable</b>	<b>Number perfect</b>	<b>Totals</b>
<b>Vendor A</b>	12	23	89	124
<b>Vendor B</b>	8	12	62	82
<b>Vendor C</b>	21	30	119	170
<b>Totals</b>	41	65	270	376

From no particular theorem, the following sum is chi-square with  $(k-1)(c-1)$  degrees of freedom, where  $k$  and  $c$  are the category sizes.

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^c \frac{(f_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}}$$

So we do the following test with 0.01 level of significance. We check:

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{(f_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}} < \chi_{0.01,4}^2$$

From Table 5,  $\chi_{0.01,4}^2 = 13.277$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{(f_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}} = 1.300642$$

Since  $1.300642 < 13.277$ , we accept the null hypothesis.

The p-value of 1.300642 is 0.86126, which is greater than 0.01.

■