```
-- Project name: Starlink-Inspired Telemetry Analysis
 2
 3 -- Dataset used: "fraudTrain.csv" from
 4 -- the Credit Card Transactions Fraud Detection Dataset by Kartik Shenoy,
 5 -- who generated the data using the Sparkov Data Generation | Github tool by Brandon Harris
 6 -- (source: Kaggle,
    https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/kartik2112/fraud-detection/data?select=fraudTrain.csv).
 7
 8 -- Project by Ethan Troy Sanchez
 9
10 -- EXPLORATORY SECTION:
11
12 SELECT COUNT(*) AS Total
13 FROM transactions:
14 -- The output is Total = 1296675.
15
16 SELECT is_fraud, COUNT(*) AS FraudCount
17 FROM transactions
18 GROUP BY is_fraud; -- '0' is legitimate, '1' is fraud
19 -- The output is
20 -- is_fraud == 0, FraudCount = 1289169
21 -- is_fraud == 1, FraudCount = 7506.
22
23 SELECT (COUNT(*)
24
      FILTER (WHERE is_fraud = 0) * 1.0 / COUNT(*) ) * 100 AS SuccessRate
25 FROM transactions;
26 -- The output is SuccessRate = 99.4211348256117,
27 -- a 99.42 percent success rate.
28
29 SELECT ( COUNT(*)
      FILTER (WHERE is_fraud = 1) * 1.0 / COUNT(*)) * 100 AS FraudRate
30
31 FROM transactions;
32 -- The output is FraudRate = 0.578865174388339,
33 -- a 0.58 percent fraudulent rate
34
35 -- This is the baseline fraud rate. High conversion, low fraud:
36 -- a 0.58% fraud rate is equivalent to 7506 fraudulent transactions (txns).
37
38 -- ANALYSIS SECTION:
39
40 -- Metric #1: success rate by category
41 SELECT category,
42
      COUNT(*) AS Txns,
43
      SUM( CASE
44
        WHEN is fraud = 0 THEN 1
45
        ELSE 0
46
        END ) AS Legit,
47
      (SUM(CASE
```

```
48
        WHEN is_fraud = 0 THEN 1
49
        ELSE 0
50
        END) * 1.0 / COUNT(*)) * 100 AS SuccessRateByCategory
51 FROM transactions
52 GROUP BY category
53 ORDER BY SuccessRateByCategory;
54 -- category mimics how Starlink's payment methods vary.
55 -- For category, shopping_net is 98.2% successful,
56 --
                   misc net is 98.55% successful,
57 --
                   grocery_pos is 98.59% successful, etc.
58 -- Starlink's payment methods vary too, like credit, PayPal, etc.
59 -- The lower success rates are the optimization targets, the areas to pay attention to.
60 -- The output is saved as "success_by_category.csv".
61
62 -- Metric #2: fraud costs by state
63 SELECT state,
64
      SUM( CASE
65
        WHEN is fraud = 1 THEN amt
66
        ELSE ()
67
        END ) AS FraudCost,
68
      COUNT( CASE
69
        WHEN is_fraud = 1 THEN 1
70
        END ) AS FraudCount
71 FROM transactions
72 GROUP BY state
73 ORDER BY FraudCost DESC;
74 -- This query (especially by ordering in descending order)
75 -- highlights where the regional fraud spikes are,
76 -- which, in the case of Starlink, could tank its costs
77 -- if responded to accordingly.
78 -- This would be similar to handling multi-processor issues.
79 -- This query emphasizes the fraud cost per state
80 -- to mimic processor losses.
81 -- For example, with this descending order via FraudCost,
82 -- the output shows that the top 5 states with the highest fraud counts
83 -- and fraud costs are:
84 -- New York (NY), with 555 frauds totaling to $295,548.64
85 -- Texas (TX), with 479 frauds totaling to $265,806.41
86 -- Pennsylvania (PA), with 458 frauds totaling to $244,624.67
87 -- California (CA), with 326 frauds totaling to $170,943.92
88 -- Ohio (OH), with 321 frauds totaling to $168,919.98.
89 -- For SpaceX, these would constitute Starlink wins,
90 -- as cutting fraud in significant high-cost states like CA and TX with tighter checks
91 -- would likely increase the future success rates set up in Metric #1.
92 -- The output is saved as "fraud by state.csv".
93
94 -- Metric #3: Average Amount by Outcome
95 SELECT is_fraud,
```

```
96
       AVG(amt) AS AvgAmount,
 97
       COUNT(*) AS Txns
98 FROM transactions
99 GROUP BY is_fraud;
100 -- This query shows that fraud transactions, on average, are more expensive,
101 -- providing a clue for where to implement cost reduction.
102 -- This kind of query could be written for a similar situation in SpaceX.
103 -- The output is saved as "amount_by_outcome.csv".
104
105 -- Metric #4: Time Trend
106
    SELECT strftime('%Y-%m-%d', trans_date_trans_time) AS Date,
107
       COUNT(*) AS Txns,
108
       SUM(is fraud) AS Frauds
109 FROM transactions
110 GROUP BY Date;
111 -- This query records the number of transactions and number of frauds
112 -- per day, from January 1, 2019, to June 21, 2020.
113 -- This output, saved as "time_trend.csv", can be visualized
114
    -- with time-series data, dashboards, or some other type of sequential tool
115
    -- to show change and potential patterns over time.
```

116