Andrius Grabauskas

Measuring mutual information in Neural Networks

Computer Science Tripos – Part II

Robinson College

Tuesday 9th April, 2019

Proforma

Name: Andrius Grabauskas

College: Robinson College

Project Title: Measuring mutual information in Neural Networks

Examination: Computer Science Tripos – Part II, July 2001

Word Count: 744^1

Project Originator: Dr. Damon Wischik Supervisor: Prof. Alan Mycroft

Original Aims of the Project

Work Completed

Special Difficulties

 $^{^{1}}$ This word count was computed by detex *.tex | tr -cd '0-9A-Za-z \n' | wc -w

Declaration

I, Andrius Grabauskas of Robinson College, being a candidate for Part II of the Computer Science Tripos, hereby declare that this dissertation and the work described in it are my own work, unaided except as may be specified below, and that the dissertation does not contain material that has already been used to any substantial extent for a comparable purpose.

Signed

Date

Contents

1	Introduction	9
2	Preparation	11
3	Implementation	13
4	Evaluation	15
5	Conclusion	17
Bi	bliography	17
\mathbf{A}	Project Proposal	19

List of Figures

Acknowledgements

Introduction

This is the introduction

Preparation

Before developing a plan for how we are going to realize the project in code we needed to fully understand the ideas presented in the paper:

- We needed to identify the main ideas of the paper and understand why some parts of the paper are not agreed upon in the scientific community. Understand why his ideas are contentious and whether reproducing his experiments could bring more validity to his claims. This involved reading papers published by Tishby and academics who shown an opposing view to him.
- A main tool that the paper relies on is MIE (Mutual Information Estimation). Reading about MIE we quickly understood that MIE is a contentious part of the project as a result we had to do a decent amount of research regarding the subject. MIE is difficult because we are trying to estimate information between two continuous distributions using only a discrete sample set. This area has not seen much academic attention so the tools we ended up using could be greatly improved in the future.

Once we had a reasonable understanding of the ideas in the paper and which areas needed more attention we diverted our attention to figuring out the details of how the experiments were conducted figure out what hyper parameters Tishby decided are important and what assumptions he made whilst devising the experiments.

In addition we needed to find out what resources are available to us online, what programming frameworks we are going to use for the projects implementation, and to think about possible extensions to the project once the success criteria has been achieved.

- Online Resources: The two main papers by Tishby and by Saxe have made their code public online via Github, we made
 - Online Resources: The two main papers we were looking at has made their code available to the public via Github, the papers are Tishby's paper and the main opposing paper by Saxe.
- Programming frameworks: The original experiment implementation by Tishby has used the Tensorflow framework. We have decided to use the Keras framework as it produces code that is more concise and is easier to read/maintain. Furthermore

rewriting the experiments in a different framework means that we cannot rely on the details of Tishby's and potentially avoid any mistakes that may exist in the original implementation.

- Thinking about how we could extend the project helped us understand the scope of the project and what areas were most important and/or interesting to us.
 - We came up with a couple of extensions before having written any code but the most interesting one only materialized after a good deal amount of work into the project (that is the AS IF Random experiment described below)
 - Different Datasets: the most straight forward extension to the project just using different dataset to the one Tishby used. This is essentially just varying one of the parameters in the Neural Network. (Implemented)
 - Quantized Neural Network: the idea behind this was to only allow single neurons to acquire values in a given range say 1...256. This would make the distribution within a DNN later discrete and hence it would make calculating mutual information straightforward. (Not Implemented)
 - As If Random: one problem with Tishby's work is that he calculates mutual information for a single epoch at a time which by definition is zero (in his paper he tries to justify the result will explore this later) this extension tries to explore the weights of a neural network as random variables by calculating mutual information for multiple epochs at a time.

Implementation

this is the implementation chapter behold how much words it has

Evaluation

Conclusion

Appendix A
 Project Proposal

Computer Science Tripos: Part II Project Proposal

Measuring mutual information within Neural networks

Andrius Grabauskas, ag939 Robinson College Saturday 20th October, 2018

Project Originator: Andrius Grabauskas

Project Supervisor: Dr. Damon Wischik

Director of Studies: Prof. Alan Mycroft

Overseers: Dr. Robert Mullins Prof. Pietro Lio'

Introduction and Description of the Work

The goal of this project is to confirm or deny the results produced by Shwartz-ziv & Tishby in their paper "Opening the black box of Deep Neural Networks via Information" ¹

The paper tackles our understating of Deep Neural Networks (DNN's). As of yet there is no comprehensive theoretical understanding of how DNN's learn from data. The authors proposed to measure how information travels within the DNN's layers.

They found that training of neural networks can be split into to two distinct phases: memorization followed by the compression phase.

- memorization each layer increases information about the input and the label
- compression this is the generalization stage where each layer tries to forget details about the input while still increasing mutual information with the label thus improving performance of the DNN. This phase takes the wast majority of the training time.

They found that each layer in neural network tries to throw out unnecessary data from the input while preserving information about the output/label. As the network is trained each layer preserves more information about the label

¹https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.00810

The results they found were interesting but also contentious as they have not yet provided a formal proof, just experimental data as a result there are many peers that are cautious and sceptical of the theory even a paper² was produced that tries to suggest that the theory is wrong, however this was dismissed by Tishby & Shwartz-Ziv³

Starting Point

I have watched a talk that Prof. Tishby gave on this topic at Yandex, no other preparation was done.

Resources Required

The training DNN's and measuring mutual information will be computationally expensive so I will be using Azure cloud GPU service to acquire the required compute for this project. The GPU credits will be provided by Damon Wischik

For backups I intend to store my work on GitHub and my own personal machine. In case my laptop breaks I will get another one or use the MCS machines.

Substance and Structure of the Project

The aim of this project to reproduce the results provided by Prof. Tishby and his colleagues. The intention of my work is to help settle the debate surrounding the topic either strengthening the arguments in favour of the theory in case my results are inline with the aforementioned results or encourage discussion in case my results contradict the theory.

My work will require me to have a comprehensive understanding of Information theory, Information bottleneck and neural networks.

One of the more contentious parts of my project will be measuring mutual information between the input a layer in the DNN and the label. It will be computationally expensive to measure it in DNN since we will need to retrain the network in order to get a distribution rather than a single value. I will use Gaussian approximation to measure it (relevant paper⁴)

Will need to use Python to train the neural networks and GNUplot or alternative to plot the results.

Success Criteria

Reimplement the code that was used to generate the papers results. Confirm or deny the results produced in "Opening the black box of Deep Neural Networks via Information" paper on the same dataset as the paper. In order to do that I will need to: Train a neural network on the same dataset

²https://openreview.net/pdf?id=ry_WPG-A-

³https://openreview.net/forum?id=ry_WPG-A-¬eId=S1lBxcE1z

⁴https://arxiv.org/abs/1508.00536

that was used in the paper and measure mutual information between the layers. Analyse the results produced and address any discrepancies that may have occurred.

Extensions

Provided I achieve the success criteria there are two main ways to extend it.

- Use different datasets to test the theory. Using different datasets would confirm that the results are not data specific. Current datasets we are considering: MNIST⁵ and NOT-MNIST⁶.
- Explore different ways of measuring mutual information. One interesting way would be to explore a discrete neural network where every node would only be able assigned discrete values say 1...256. This would make the distribution within a DNN layer discrete and hence it would make calculating mutual information straightforward. However quantizing the neural network could possibly hurt the performance of the network.

⁵http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/

⁶https://www.kaggle.com/quanbk/notmnist

Schedule

• 20th Oct - 2nd Nov

I expect to spend the first two weeks reading up on Information theory (primarily from Mackay's book⁷) and the information bottleneck method in order to understand the nuances of the paper.

\bullet 3rd Nov – 30th Nov

The following weeks I intend to spend reading up on DNN's doing some introductory courses, I will train the neural network on the same data as the paper but at this point will not yet try to measure the mutual information between the layers.

At this point I will also start examining the code⁸ provided and start to implement parts of it which don't deal with information measurement.

• 1st Dec – 28th Dec

Will start reading up on mutual Information measurement with local Gaussian approximation. Implementing mutual information measurement in code.

At this point I expect the computation to be too demanding for my machine and will need to use provided compute.

• 29th Dec – 1st Feb

Having a working system to test data sets I will try to reproduce results from the paper on the same dataset. This will achieve my success criteria.

At this point my success criteria should be completed I will spend some time writing the skeleton of the thesis. Look for any discrepancies between my results and the ones provided in the paper.

\bullet 2nd Feb - 2nd Feb

Assuming everything goes as planned I will start looking into implementing one of the extensions. Which are :

- Testing the theory on different datasets.
- Implementing a quantized neural network implementation.

or both, if time is in my favour.

• 3^{rd} Feb -2^{nd} Mar

Will use the remaining time to write up the dissertation.

⁷Information Theory, Inference, and Learning Algorithms by David J. C. MacKay

⁸https://github.com/ravidziv/IDNNs