

1 Author

The author of the original paper is Elliot Chandler (Wallace).

2 Content

Acceptable.

The content covers what seems to be important about the language, but is not very concise

3 Support

Needs work.

Support is needed for several claims. I made a comment on one specifically, where the author claims that Perl 6 has many other features that contribute to it being effective at solving a wide range of problems. There was no elaboration after that point was made. This occurs in a couple other places in the paper.

4 Organization

Needs work.

The structure here is very hard to follow. Some sections would be nice, because there isn't much pointing the reader to what's located where.

5 Format

Acceptable.

6 Sentences and Paragraphs

Acceptable.

Paragraphs are too long. Some of the sentences are also worded a little strangely. The word "consequently" is used very frequently, I think some sentences could be reworked to remove that or replace it with a different word. The only other sentence issue is that many of them refer to "me" or "I" which I don't think is the proper tone. May want to ask Roy about that one though.

7 Word choice

Acceptable.

8 Spelling and Punctuation

Exceptional.

9 Citations and References

Acceptable.

Might benefit from using Latex's `\cite` and `\bibitem`. More info on that can be found online. Other than that, the citations are pretty good.