# Establishing a "3 Axes and 8 Positions" Framework in Plato's Philosophy: An Analysis of Temporal, Active/Passive, and Epistemic Dimensions

This comprehensive analysis establishes a sophisticated tripartite framework for understanding Plato's ontology through the intersection of temporal, active/passive, and epistemic dimensions as evidenced in the Theaetetus and Timaeus. The framework reveals that each of Plato's four temporal states—what is, what was, what will be, and what is not—manifests through both active synthesis and passive reception, generating eight distinct ontological positions that illuminate the complex relationship between cognitive processes and temporal manifestation in Platonic philosophy.

## The Temporal Axis: Four Fundamental States of Being

Plato's temporal ontology, as articulated in the Timaeus, establishes four fundamental temporal states that structure all existence and knowledge. The dialogue presents a sophisticated understanding of temporality where "these are all portions of Time; even as 'Was' and 'Shall be' are generated forms of Time, although we apply them wrongly, without noticing, to Eternal Being" [1]. This passage reveals Plato's recognition that temporal categories themselves require careful philosophical analysis.

The four temporal states can be understood as distinct modes of existence. **What is** represents the present state of being, characterized by immediate presence and direct accessibility to cognitive apprehension. **What was** encompasses completed actions and past states that have achieved a fixed, determinate character. **What will be** denotes future possibilities and potential states that await actualization. Finally, **what is not** represents absence, negation, or the failure of synthesis—a category that proves crucial for understanding the boundaries of knowledge and existence.

The Timaeus provides crucial linguistic evidence for these distinctions. The text employs specific Greek terms that mark temporal boundaries with precision. The aorist participle γεγονώς ("having come to be") indicates completed past action, while ἐσόμενος ("going to be") marks future determination [2] [3]. These grammatical markers are not merely stylistic choices but reflect deep ontological commitments about the nature of temporal existence.

## The Active/Passive Axis: Synthesis and Reception

The second axis of our framework emerges from careful analysis of how the soul engages with temporal states. The Theaetetus reveals that the soul operates through two complementary modes: active synthesis and passive reception. As the dialogue demonstrates, "the soul itself

tries to determine for us by reverting to them and comparing them with one another"[4]. This active determination contrasts sharply with passive sensory reception.

The active mode encompasses the soul's organizing, structuring, and synthesizing activities. In the Timaeus, this is captured by the term διακοσμοῦν, which denotes the active organization and arrangement of temporal experience[5]. The soul actively constructs meaningful relationships between perceptual data, creating coherent patterns from the flux of sensory experience. This active synthesis is evident when "the soul tries to judge or discriminate (krinein) their being"[6].

The passive mode, conversely, represents states as they present themselves without further cognitive intervention. These are the fixed outcomes of previous synthesis or the raw material awaiting active organization. The grammatical evidence supports this distinction through the use of static participles like ὄντα (being) versus active forms like συμβάλλουσα (combining, bringing together)[7] [8].

## Active and Passive Manifestations Across Temporal States

Each temporal state manifests through both active and passive modes, creating a systematic doubling of ontological positions:

**What is** divides into active organizing (διακοσμοῦν) and passive being (ὄντα). The active aspect involves the soul's ongoing structuring of present experience, while the passive aspect represents the static outcome of such organization[7] [8].

**What was** separates into active retrospective synthesis (ἀναλογιζομένη) and passive completed action (γεγονός). The soul actively recalls and reintegrates past experiences while also encountering them as fixed, determinate outcomes[4] [7].

**What will be** encompasses active preparation or anticipation (προορώμενος) and passive predetermined unfolding (ἐσόμενος). The soul actively orients itself toward future possibilities while also recognizing certain aspects of the future as already determined[2].

**What is not** involves active differentiation in absence (ἀποφαίνουσα) and passive non-being (μὴ ὄντα). Even negation requires active cognitive work to establish boundaries and distinctions[6].

## The Epistemic Axis: Cognitive Processes and Perceptual Qualities

The third axis addresses how the soul's internal cognitive processes interact with the external manifestation of perceptual qualities. The Theaetetus provides crucial evidence for this epistemic dimension in its analysis of how the soul grasps being (ousia). As the dialogue establishes, "those things which the soul itself reaches out for by itself"[8] constitute a distinct category of knowledge that transcends mere sensory perception.

The epistemic axis reveals that cognitive processes operate through what the text calls συλλογισμός (calculative reasoning)[6]. This reasoning involves both synthetic and analytic moments. The soul "tries to determine...by reverting to them and comparing them with one another"[4], indicating a complex process of cognitive synthesis that moves between active construction and passive recognition.

The interaction between cognitive processes and perceptual qualities creates what we might call **epistemic recursion**. The soul encounters new perceptual data but processes it through existing cognitive frameworks, creating a dynamic interplay between novelty and familiarity. This recursion is particularly evident in the soul's handling of oppositional pairs like "same and different" and "beautiful and ugly" [8].

## Epistemic Markers and Linguistic Evidence

The Greek text provides specific markers that distinguish between different epistemic modes. The term ἀναλογιζομένη indicates retrospective synthesis, where the soul actively recalls and integrates past experiences [7]. The participle συμβάλλουσα denotes the active bringing together of diverse elements into coherent wholes [4]. These linguistic markers anchor the philosophical framework in concrete textual evidence.

The epistemic dimension also reveals how temporal states acquire their meaning through cognitive mediation. The soul does not simply encounter temporal states as given facts but actively constructs their significance through comparative analysis and synthetic judgment. This constructive aspect of cognition means that even "what is not" requires active cognitive work to establish its boundaries and significance.

## The Eight Positions: Intersectional Analysis

The intersection of the three axes generates eight distinct ontological positions that capture the full complexity of Plato's temporal ontology. Each position represents a unique combination of temporal state, active/passive mode, and epistemic engagement.

**Position 1: Active Present** combines "what is" with active synthesis and direct epistemic engagement. This position involves the soul's ongoing organization of present experience through διακοσμοῦν. The soul actively structures immediate sensory data into coherent patterns of meaning.

**Position 2: Passive Present** represents "what is" as static being (ὄντα) encountered through passive reception. This position captures the fixed outcomes of previous cognitive synthesis as they present themselves to consciousness.

**Position 3: Active Past** encompasses "what was" through active retrospective synthesis (ἀναλογιζομένη). The soul actively recalls and reintegrates past experiences, constructing meaningful narratives from temporal succession.

**Position 4: Passive Past** contains "what was" as completed action (γεγονός) that presents itself as fixed and determinate. Past events acquire a static character that constrains present interpretation.

**Position 5: Active Future** involves "what will be" through active anticipation and preparation (προορώμενος). The soul actively orients itself toward future possibilities, constructing plans and expectations.

**Position 6: Passive Future** represents "what will be" as predetermined unfolding (ἐσόμενος). Certain aspects of the future present themselves as already determined, limiting the scope of

active intervention.

**Position 7: Active Negation** encompasses "what is not" through active differentiation (ἀποφαίνουσα). The soul actively establishes boundaries and distinctions, determining what falls outside the realm of being.

**Position 8: Passive Non-Being** contains "what is not" as simple absence (μὴ ὄντα). This position represents the passive failure of synthesis or the absence of cognitive organization.

## Framework Validation and Philosophical Coherence

The "3 Axes and 8 Positions" framework demonstrates remarkable coherence with broader themes in Plato's philosophy. The tripartite structure reflects Plato's characteristic method of revealing hidden complexity beneath apparent simplicity. Just as the theory of Forms reveals multiple levels of reality behind surface appearances, this framework reveals eight distinct ontological positions behind the seemingly straightforward concept of temporal existence.

The framework also illuminates the relationship between the Theaetetus and Timaeus as complementary explorations of temporal ontology. While the Theaetetus focuses primarily on epistemic processes and the soul's cognitive activities, the Timaeus provides the cosmological context that grounds these processes in a systematic account of temporal generation[9] [2]. The framework reveals how these two dialogues work together to establish a comprehensive theory of temporal existence.

The linguistic evidence provides particularly strong support for the framework's validity. The specific Greek terms—διακοσμοῦν, ὄντα, γεγονός, ἀναλογιζομένη, συμβάλλουσα—are not randomly distributed across Plato's texts but cluster around precisely those passages that address temporal ontology[10] [11] [12]. This clustering suggests that Plato himself recognized the systematic nature of these distinctions.

## Nuances in the Epistemic Dimension

The cognitive dimension reveals several important nuances that enrich our understanding of Platonic ontology. First, the soul's epistemic activities are not merely passive responses to external stimuli but active constructions of meaning. The soul "tries to determine" and "compare," indicating that knowledge involves creative synthesis rather than simple reception[4] [6].

Second, the epistemic processes exhibit what we might call **temporal recursion**. The soul's present cognitive activities draw upon past synthesis while anticipating future possibilities. This temporal recursion means that each epistemic act contains echoes of the entire temporal sequence.

Third, the boundary between being and non-being proves to be epistemically active rather than simply given. The soul must actively establish what counts as "being" through processes of discrimination and synthesis. This activity means that even negation requires positive cognitive work.

## Future Applications and Theoretical Extensions

The establishment of this multi-dimensional framework provides a robust foundation for analyzing more complex Platonic texts and concepts. The framework's systematic character makes it particularly suitable for examining how emergent qualities arise from the interaction of multiple ontological positions.

The connection to dice puzzle analysis, as referenced in the research objectives, becomes clearer when we recognize that Plato's dice examples involve complex interactions between multiple temporal states and epistemic processes. Each throw of the dice creates new configurations that must be actively synthesized by the soul while also presenting themselves as fixed outcomes. The eight positions provide a systematic vocabulary for analyzing these complex interactions.

The framework also opens new avenues for comparative analysis with other Platonic dialogues. The Republic's theory of Forms, the Phaedrus's account of recollection, and the Parmenides's exploration of being and non-being all become more comprehensible when analyzed through the lens of temporal, active/passive, and epistemic dimensions.

Furthermore, the framework provides tools for engaging with contemporary philosophical discussions about time, consciousness, and temporal ontology. The active/passive distinction anticipates modern debates about the role of consciousness in constituting temporal experience, while the epistemic dimension addresses ongoing questions about the relationship between knowledge and time.

## Conclusion

The "3 Axes and 8 Positions" framework successfully establishes a comprehensive analytical tool for understanding Plato's temporal ontology. The framework reveals that Plato's treatment of being involves systematic distinctions between temporal states, active and passive modes, and epistemic processes. The intersection of these three axes generates eight distinct ontological positions that capture the full complexity of temporal existence as Plato understood it.

The framework's grounding in specific textual passages and linguistic markers provides strong evidence for its philosophical validity. The systematic character of the distinctions, combined with their coherence across multiple dialogues, suggests that this framework captures something essential about Plato's philosophical method and ontological commitments.

Most importantly, the framework provides a foundation for future research into the more complex aspects of Platonic ontology, including the analysis of emergent qualities and relational structures that appear in Plato's mathematical examples. The eight positions offer a systematic vocabulary for analyzing how complex philosophical problems arise from the intersection of temporal, cognitive, and ontological factors in Plato's thought.

**Abstract:** This analysis establishes a "3 Axes and 8 Positions" framework for understanding Plato's ontology through the intersection of temporal (what is/was/will be/is not), active/passive (synthesis versus reception), and epistemic (cognitive processes) dimensions. Grounded in specific passages from Theaetetus 186a-b and Timaeus 37e-38b, the framework reveals eight

distinct ontological positions that emerge from these intersecting axes. The linguistic evidence, including terms like διακοσμοῦν, ὄντα, and ἀναλογιζομένη, supports systematic distinctions between active synthesis and passive reception across all temporal states. This framework provides a robust analytical foundation for examining complex Platonic concepts and establishes essential groundwork for future analysis of emergent qualities in Plato's mathematical examples. This abstract will be used in subsequent inquiries.

❄

1. https://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/text?doc=Perseus%3Atext%3A1999.01.0180%3Atext%3DTim.%3Asection%3D37e
2. https://journals.openedition.org/etudesplatoniciennes/599?lang=en
3. https://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/text?doc=Perseus%3Atext%3A1999.01.0179%3Atext%3DTim.%3Apage%3D38
4. https://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/text?doc=Perseus%3Atext%3A1999.01.0172%3Atext%3DTheaet.%3Asection%3D186b
5. https://hellas.bab2min.pe.kr/hk/diakosmew?l=en&form=diakosme%2Fw&q=diakosme%2Fw
6. https://kirj.ee/public/trames_pdf/2011/issue_4/trames-2011-4-339-364.pdf
7. https://ugarit.ialigner.com/text.php?id=2028
8. https://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/text?doc=Perseus%3Atext%3A1999.01.0171%3Atext%3DTheaet.%3Asection%3D186a
9. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timaeus_(dialogue)
10. https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.15983
11. https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.12517
12. https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.2326