

An attempt to give the most abstract possible definition of a blockchain.

1 Blockchain

Given a set S , let $\text{LIST}(S)$ and $\text{SET}(S)$ be the sets of all finite lists and all finite sets of elements of S , respectively. Given $L \in \text{LIST}(S)$, we use notation $|L|$ to refer to the number of elements in L , and notation $L[i]$ to refer to the i -th element in L , where $i \in \{1, \dots, |L|\}$. From now on, assume that Σ is a finite alphabet, and that $\mathbf{B} \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is the set of all possible blocks.

Definition 1. A validation rule is a function $V : \text{LIST}(\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow \text{SET}(\mathbf{B})$

Intuitively V is a function taking a list L of block as input, and returning the set of blocks that could be added to L to produce a valid blockchain.

Definition 2. Let $G \in \text{LIST}(\mathbf{B})$ be non-empty, and V be a validation rule. Then a function $f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a validated chain with respect to (G, V) if:

1. $|G| \leq n$ and $f(i) = G[i]$, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.
2. $f(1) \in V([\])$ and $f(i+1) \in V([f(1), \dots, f(i)])$, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Function f in this definition is a valid chain according to the validation rule V and the lists G of genesis blocks (whose role is to provide the blocks to startup the system). Let $\text{LOG}(G, V)$ be the set of validated chains with respect to (G, V) .

Definition 3. Let $G \in \text{LIST}(\mathbf{B})$ be non-empty, and V be a validation rule. Then $\text{LOG}(G, V)$ is safe if for every $f \in \text{LOG}(G, V)$ such that $f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$, and every $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbf{B}$ such that $b_1 \neq b_2$:

$$V([f(1), \dots, f(n), b_1]) \cap V([f(1), \dots, f(n), b_2]) = \emptyset$$

Intuitively, in order to be secured V should depend on the last block b that is included in the blockchain.

Notation. For all $f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N} \in \text{LOG}_{G,V}$ we denote

$$f^L = [f(1), \dots, f(n)]$$

Definition 4. Let P be a set of players and K_T a function :

$$K_T : P \times \llbracket 0; T \rrbracket \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{SET}(\mathbf{B} \times [0; 1])$$

Then (P, K_T) is a valid knowledge representation if :

$$\begin{aligned} \forall p \in P, \forall t \in \llbracket 0; T \rrbracket, (b, \alpha) \in K_T(t, 0, p) &\implies \alpha = 1 \vee \alpha = 0 \\ \forall p \in P, \forall t, t' \in \llbracket 0; T \rrbracket, t' \geq t, \forall b \in \mathbf{B}, (b, 1) \in K_T(t, 0, p) &\implies (b, 1) \in K_T(t', 0, p) \\ \forall p \in P, \forall t \in \llbracket 0; T \rrbracket, \forall \delta \in \mathbb{N}, \forall b \in \mathbf{B}, (b, 1) \in K_T(t, 0, p) &\implies (b, 1) \in K_T(t, \delta, p) \\ \forall p \in P, \forall t \in \llbracket 0; T \rrbracket, \forall \delta, \delta' \in \mathbb{N}, \delta' \geq \delta &\implies \forall (b, \alpha) \in K_T(p, t, \delta), \exists (b, \alpha') \in K_T(p, t, \delta'), \alpha' \geq \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Notation. $\forall p \in P, \forall t \in \llbracket 0; T \rrbracket$ we denote

$$K_T(p, t) = \{b \mid (b, 1) \in K_T(p, t, 0)\}$$

Definition 5. Let $T, T' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T > T'$ we say that $K_{T'}$ extend K_T if

$$\forall p, K_T(p, T) = K_{T'}(p, T)$$

Definition 6. A block chain protocol is a function noted $P_{G,V}$:

$$P_{G,V} : \text{SET}(\text{LOG}_{G,V}) \times \llbracket 0, T \rrbracket \rightarrow \text{SET}(\text{LOG}_{G,V})$$

such that :

$$\forall S, \forall t \in \llbracket 0, T \rrbracket, P_{G,V}(S, t) \subseteq S$$

Remark. $P_{G,V}$ can be seen as the rule in case of fork and new block.

Definition 7. Considering $\text{LOG}_{G,V}$ the set of validated chains with respect to (G, V) , (P, K_T) a valid knowledge representation and $P_{G,V}$ a block chain protocol. We denote $S_{t,p}$ where $t \in \llbracket 0, T \rrbracket$ and $p \in P$ the set:

$$S_{t,p} = \{f | f \in \text{LOG}_{G,V} \wedge \forall i \in \{1, \dots, |f^L|\}, f(i) \in K_T(p, t)\}$$

We call a BlockChain at time $t \in \llbracket 0, T \rrbracket$ for user $p \in P$ noted $BC_{t,p}$ a tuple:

$$BC_{t,p} \in P_{G,V}(S_{t,p}, t)$$

Remark. Intuitively the blockchain for a user p at a time t is one of the best chain he fully knows regarding the protocol function and the validity at time t (time-stamping).

Definition 8. Considering $\text{LOG}_{G,V}$ the set of validated chains with respect to (G, V) , (P, K_T) a valid knowledge representation. We denote α^* the function

$$\mathbb{N} \times \text{LOG}_{G,V} \times P \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

such that :

$$\alpha^*(\delta, f, p) = \max\{\alpha | \exists b \in \mathbf{B}; (b, \alpha) \in K_T(p, T, \delta) \cap V(f^L)\}$$

We said that $\text{LOG}_{G,V}$ is alive regarding (P, K_T) if:

$$\exists p, \exists f, \forall \delta \in K_T(p, T) \wedge V(\log_{G,V}(N)^-) \cap K_T(p, T) = \emptyset \wedge \lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^*(\delta, \log_{G,V}, N, p) = 1$$

2 Draft

Definition 9. We call an alive set of validated chain a tuple $(\text{LOG}_{G,V}, P, K_P)$ where $\text{LOG}_{G,V}$ is an set of infinite validated chain and P, K_P an alive set of player.

Proposition. Let $(\text{LOG}_{G,V}, P, K_P)$ an alive set of validated chain then:

$$\forall \log_{G,V} \in \text{LOG}_{G,V}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \exists p \in P, \exists t \in T, V(\log_{G,V}(i)^-) \cap K_P(p, t) \neq \emptyset$$

Remark. To be honest i am not sure as we are dealing with infinite number. I may have to trick things here. I want to ensure the fact that the chain will eventually move forward.