An attempt to give the most abstract possible definition of a blockchain.

## 1 BlockChain

Given a set S, let  $\mathrm{LIST}(S)$  and  $\mathrm{SET}(S)$  be the sets of all finite lists and all finite sets of elements of S, respectively. Given  $L \in \mathrm{LIST}(S)$ , we use notation |L| to refer to the number of elements in L, and notation L[i] to refer to the i-th element in L, where  $i \in \{1, \ldots, |L|\}$ . From now on, assume that  $\Sigma$  is a finite alphabet, and that  $\mathbf{B} \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is the set of all possible blocks.

**Definition 1.** A validation rule is a function  $V : LIST(\mathbf{B}) \to SET(\mathbf{B})$ 

Intuitively V is a function taking a list L of block as input, and returning the set of blocks that could be added to L to produce a valid blockchain.

**Definition 2.** Let  $G \in LIST(\mathbf{B})$  be non-empty, and V be a validation rule. Then a function  $f: \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \mathbf{B}$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a validated chain with respect to (G, V) if:

- 1.  $|G| \le n$  and f(i) = G[i], for every  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ .
- 2.  $f(1) \in V([])$  and  $f(i+1) \in V([f(1), ..., f(i)])$ , for every  $i \in \{1, ..., i-1\}$ .

Function f in this definition is a valid chain according to the validation rule V and the lists G of genesis blocks (whose role is to provide the blocks to startup the system). Let Log(G, V) be the set of validated chains with respect to (G, V).

**Definition 3.** Let  $G \in LIST(\mathbf{B})$  be non-empty, and V be a validation rule. Then LOG(G, V) is safe if for every  $f \in LOG(G, V)$  such that  $f : \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \mathbf{B}$ , and every  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbf{B}$  such that  $b_1 \neq b_2$ :

$$V([f(1), \dots, f(n), b_1]) \cap V([f(1), \dots, f(n), b_2]) = \emptyset$$

Intuitively, in order to be secured V should depend on the last block b that is included in the blockchain.

**Definition 4.** We call player's knowledge a tuple  $(P, K_T)$  where P is a set of player and  $K_T$  a function:

$$K_T: P \times [0;T] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathscr{P}(\Sigma^* \times ]0;1])$$

*such that:* 

$$\forall p \in P, \forall t \in [0; T], (b, \alpha) \in K_T(t, 0, p) \implies \alpha = 1$$

$$\forall p \in P, \forall t, t' \in [0; T], t' \geq t \implies K_T(t', 0, p) \subseteq K(t, 0, p)$$

$$\forall p \in P, \forall t \in [0; T], \forall \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+, K_T(t, 0, p) \subseteq K_T(t, \delta, p)$$

$$\forall p \in P, \forall t \in [0; T], \forall \delta, \delta' \in \mathbb{R}^+, \delta' > \delta \implies \forall (b, \alpha) \in K_T(p, t, \delta), \exists (b, \alpha') \in K_T(p, t, \delta'), \alpha' > \alpha$$

**Notation.**  $\forall p \in P, \forall t \in [0, T]$  we denote  $\{b|(b, 1) \in K_T(p, t, 0)\}$ :  $K_T(p, t)$ 

**Definition 5.** Let  $T, T' \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that T > T' we say that  $K'_{T'}$  extend  $K_T$  if  $\forall p, K_T(p, T) = K'_{T'}(p, T)$ 

**Definition 6.** A block chain protocol is a function noted  $P_{G,V}$ :

$$P_{G,V}: (LOG_{G,V} \times \mathbb{N}) \times (LOG_{G,V} \times \mathbb{N}) \times T \to (LOG_{G,V} \times \mathbb{N})$$

such that:

 $\forall log_{G,V}, log_{G,V}' \in LOG_{G,V}, \forall n, n' \in \mathbb{N}, \forall t \in T; P_{G,V}(log_{G,V}, n, log_{G,V}', n', t) = (log_{G,V}, n) \lor (log_{G,V}, n')$ 

**Remark.**  $P_{G,V}$  can be seen as the rule in case of fork and new block. Have to be improve to impose that there is no cycle (an order?)

**Definition 7.** Considering an validated chain  $LOG_{G,V}$ , a player's knowledge  $(P, K_T)$  and a block chain protocol  $P_{G,V}$ . We denote  $S_{t,p}$  where  $t \in [0,T]$  and  $p \in P$  the set of tuple :

$$S_{t,p} = \{log_{G,V}(N)^- | log_{G,V} \in LOG_{G,V} \land log_{G,V}(N)^- \subseteq K_T(p,t)\}$$

We call BlockChain at time  $t \in [0,T]$  for user  $p \in P$  noted  $BC_{t,p}$  the tuple:

$$\begin{split} &BC_{t,p} \in S_{t,p} \\ \forall log \in S_{t,p}, P_{G,V}((log,|log|), (BC_{t,p},|BC_{t,p}|), t) = (BC_{t,p},|BC_{t,p}|) \end{split}$$

**Remark.** Intuitively the blockchain for a user p at a time t is the best chain he fully knows regarding the protocol function and the validity at time t (time-stamping).

**Definition 8.** We denote  $\alpha^*$  the function

$$\mathbb{R}^+ \times LOG_{G,V} \times N \times P \rightarrow [0,1]$$

such that:

$$\alpha^*(\delta, log_{G,V}, N, p) = max\{\alpha | \exists b; (b, \alpha) \in K_T(p, T, \delta) \cap V(log_{G,V}(N)^-)\}$$

We said that a  $LOG_{G,V}$  is alive regarding  $(P, K_T)$  iff:

$$\exists p, \exists log_{G,V}, \exists N, log_{G,V}(N)^- \in K_T(p,T) \land V(log_{G,V}(N)^-) \cap K_T(p,T) = \emptyset \land lim_{\delta \to \infty} \alpha^*(\delta, log_{G,V}, N, p) = 1$$

## 2 Draft

**Definition 9.** We call an alive set of validated chain a tuple  $(LOG_{G,V}, P, K_P)$  where  $LOG_{G,V}$  is an set of infinite validated chain and  $P, K_P$  an alive set of player.

**Proposition.** Let  $(LOG_{G,V}, P, K_P)$  an alive set of validated chain then:

$$\forall log_{G,V} \in LOG_{G,V}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \exists p \in P, \exists t \in T, V(log_{G,V}(i)^{-}) \cap K_{P}(p,t) \neq \emptyset$$

**Remark.** To be honest i am not sure as we are dealing with infinite number. I may have to trick things here. I want to ensure the fact that the chain will eventually move forward.