

# FLAMBDA2 VALIDATOR

## 1. FLAMBDA2 CORE

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{simple} &::= \text{var} \mid \text{symbol} \mid \text{const} \\
\text{named} &::= \text{simple} \mid \text{prim} \mid P \mid \chi \mid \text{rec\_info} \\
\text{exp} &::= \text{named} \mid \text{let } \text{var} = \text{exp}_1 \text{ in } \text{exp}_2 \mid \text{let } (\text{clo } K) = P \text{ in } \text{exp} \\
&\quad \mid \text{let } (\text{code}^\uparrow \text{id}) = \text{code} \text{ in } \text{exp} \mid \text{let } (\text{clo}^\uparrow K) = P \text{ in } \text{exp} \\
&\quad \mid \text{let } (\text{block}^\uparrow b) = \text{block} \text{ in } \text{exp} \mid \text{exp}_1 \text{ where } k \ x = \text{exp}_2 \\
&\quad \mid \text{call}(\kappa) \text{ with } (\text{exp}_\rho, \text{res}_k, \text{exn}_k, \overrightarrow{\text{exp}}) \mid \text{exp}_1 \ \overrightarrow{\text{exp}}_2 \\
&\quad \mid \text{switch } (\text{exp}_1) \ \text{arms} \mid \text{invalid} \\
P &::= \{\text{fns} : (\text{slot}^f * \text{id\_exp}) \ \text{map}; \ \text{vals} : (\text{slot}^v * \text{simple}) \ \text{map}\} \\
\text{id\_exp} &::= \text{id} \mid \text{exp} \\
\kappa &::= \text{direct } \text{id} \mid \text{indirect} \mid \text{method} \mid \text{c\_call} \\
\chi &::= P \mid \text{block}(\text{tag}, \text{mut}, \overrightarrow{\text{exp}}) \mid \dots \\
\text{prim} &::= \text{load}(\text{kind}, \text{mut}, \text{exp}_b) \mid \text{make\_block}(\text{kind}, \text{mut}, \overrightarrow{\text{exp}}) \\
&\quad \mid \pi_v(\text{slot}^f) \mid \pi_{f_1}(\text{slot}^{f_2}) \mid \dots
\end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 1. Flambda2 Core Syntax (Abbreviated.)

**1.1. Block-based primitives.** Blocks correspond to OCaml blocks, which are the word-aligned chunks of memory allocated for representing values<sup>1</sup>. Each block has a tag, corresponding to the constructors/field index of a value (e.g. `tag0` is the first constructor of an ADT). The mutability field corresponds to whether the block represents a reference cell. The block-related primitives allows the representation of structs, tuples, lists, and arrays. We plan to support the block-related primitives (`load` from a block and `make_block`) except those related to floating-point valued arrays.

## 2. REDUCTION STRATEGY

This language has a call-by-value style reduction strategy, as shown in Figure 2. Notice the unusual [LetR] rule—the expression  $N$  refers to an expression in the normal form, which may refer to a normalized effectful expression. This rule is *not* analogous to the [ApplyContR] rule, since the lambda abstraction is always implicit in let expressions, ensuring that the

<sup>1</sup>For more, see <https://dev.realworldocaml.org/runtime-memory-layout.html>.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LET-}\beta \\
\frac{}{\text{let } x = v \text{ in } e \longrightarrow e[x \setminus v]}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{LETL} \\
\frac{e_1 \longrightarrow e'_1}{\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \longrightarrow \text{let } x = e'_1 \text{ in } e_2}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LETR} \\
\frac{e_2 \longrightarrow e'_2}{\text{let } x = N \text{ in } e_2 \longrightarrow \text{let } x = N \text{ in } e'_2}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{LET CLO-}\beta \\
\frac{x = X[i]}{\text{let } (\text{clo } X) = K \text{ in } e \longrightarrow e[x \setminus (\pi_1 K[i], K)]}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LETSTATICCLO-}\beta \\
\frac{x \in X^\uparrow}{\text{let } (\text{clo } X^\uparrow) = K \text{ in } e \longrightarrow e[x \setminus (x, K)]}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LET CODE-}\beta \\
\text{let } (\text{code}^\uparrow f(x, \rho, res_k, exn_k)) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \longrightarrow e_2[f \setminus \lambda(x, \rho, res_k, exn_k).e_1]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LETCONT-}\beta \\
\frac{}{e_1 \text{ where } k \overrightarrow{args} = e_2 \longrightarrow e_1[k \setminus \lambda \overrightarrow{args}.e_2]}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{APPLYCONT R} \\
\frac{\overrightarrow{args} \longrightarrow \overrightarrow{args}'}{v \overrightarrow{args} \longrightarrow v \overrightarrow{args}'}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{APPLYCONT L} \\
\frac{k \longrightarrow k'}{k \overrightarrow{args} \longrightarrow k' \overrightarrow{args}}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{APPLYCONT-}\beta \\
(\lambda x.e) v \longrightarrow e[x \setminus v]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{APPLY R} \\
\frac{\overrightarrow{args} \longrightarrow \overrightarrow{args}'}{\text{call}(e) \text{ with } (\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{args}) \longrightarrow \text{call}(e) \text{ with } (\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{args}')}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{APPLY L} \\
\frac{e \longrightarrow e'}{\text{call}(e) \text{ with } (\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{args}) \longrightarrow \text{call}(e') \text{ with } (\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{args})}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{APPLY-}\beta \\
\text{call}(\text{direct } (\lambda P k_r k_e x.e)) \text{ with } (P', res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{v}) \longrightarrow e[P \setminus P'] [k_r \setminus res_k] [k_e \setminus exn_k] [x \setminus \overrightarrow{v}]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SWITCH} \\
\frac{e \longrightarrow e'}{\text{switch } (e) \text{ arms} \longrightarrow \text{switch } (e') \text{ arms}}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{SWITCH-}\beta \\
\text{switch } (v) [x \mapsto e] \longrightarrow e[x \setminus v]
\end{array}$$

$$\overrightarrow{args} \longrightarrow \overrightarrow{args}' := \forall a, a \in \overrightarrow{args}. \exists a', a \longrightarrow^* a' \wedge a \in \overrightarrow{args}'$$

FIGURE 2. Evaluation Rules for Flambda2 Core

“lefthand-side” of the application is always a value. This is necessary because for the case of several effectful expressions (such as a print statement), inlining the occurrence of the expression multiple times will be behaviorally different from the original expression.

The [Apply- $\beta$ ] rule describes the case when the callee is a lambda expression, and the argument is fully evaluated. The expression is beta-reduced, then the resulting value get passed on as an argument to either the return or

exception continuation, depending on whether or not the expression throws an exception.

### 3. REWRITE RULES

FLATTENMATCH

$$\text{switch } (\text{switch } (e_1) [A \mapsto e_2 : B | ..]) [B \mapsto e'_2 | ..] \longrightarrow \text{switch } (e_1) [A \mapsto e'_2 [B \setminus e_2] | ..]$$

### 4. FEATURES

A wishlist of desirable inlining/semantic features to support for the validator.

#### 4.1. Inlining.

- function calls
- recursive functions
- inlining (direct calls, within same function)
- cross-module inlining
- low-priority: locals

#### 4.2. Semantics.

- mutable state
- exceptions
- effects (printing, etc.)
- external calls

#### 4.3. Primitives evaluation.

- arithmetic evaluation: commutative and associative laws for arithmetic? It is likely that the commutative/associative laws are not necessary for the simplifier

TODO: Refactor [simplify\_primitive].

**Environments**

$$\begin{aligned}
r &::= () \mid (r_1, r_2, \dots) \mid \pi_i r \mid \langle \rho, \lambda P k_r k_e x.e \rangle \\
c &::= \langle \rho, \lambda x.e \rangle \\
\rho &::= \bullet \mid \rho, x \mapsto r \mid \rho, k \mapsto c \mid \rho, X \mapsto K \mid \rho, X^\uparrow \mapsto K
\end{aligned}$$

**Evaluation Rules**

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LET-}\beta \quad \langle \text{let } x = v \text{ in } e, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e, \rho [x \mapsto v] \rangle \qquad \text{LETL} \quad \frac{\langle e_1, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e'_1, \rho' \rangle}{\langle \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{let } x = e'_1 \text{ in } e_2, \rho' \rangle} \\
\\
\text{LETCL-}\beta \quad \langle \text{let (clo } X) = K \text{ in } e, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e, \rho [X \mapsto K] \rangle \\
\\
\text{LETSTATICCL-}\beta \quad \langle \text{let (clo}^\uparrow X^\uparrow) = K \text{ in } e, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e, \rho [X^\uparrow \mapsto K] \rangle \\
\\
\text{LETCODE-}\beta \quad \langle \text{let (code}^\uparrow id) = \lambda P k_r k_e x.e_1 \text{ in } e_2, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e, \rho [id \mapsto \langle \rho, \lambda P k_r k_e x.e_1 \rangle] \rangle \\
\\
\text{LETCONT-}\beta \quad \langle e_1 \text{ where } k \overrightarrow{args} = e_2, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e_1, \rho [k \mapsto \langle \rho, \lambda \overrightarrow{args}.e_2 \rangle] \rangle \\
\\
\text{APPLYCONTR} \quad \frac{\langle \overrightarrow{args}, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \overrightarrow{args}', \rho' \rangle}{\langle v \overrightarrow{args}, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle v \overrightarrow{args}', \rho' \rangle} \qquad \text{APPLYCONTL} \quad \frac{\langle k, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle k', \rho' \rangle}{\langle k \overrightarrow{args}, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle k' \overrightarrow{args}, \rho' \rangle} \\
\\
\text{APPLYCONT-}\beta \quad \frac{\rho(k) = \langle \rho', \lambda x.e \rangle}{\langle k v, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e [x \setminus v], \rho' \rangle} \\
\\
\text{APPLYR} \quad \frac{\langle \overrightarrow{args}, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \overrightarrow{args}', \rho' \rangle}{\langle \text{call}(\kappa) \text{ with } (exp_\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{args}), \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{call}(\kappa) \text{ with } (exp_\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{args}'), \rho' \rangle} \\
\\
\text{APPLYL} \quad \frac{\langle exp_\rho, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle exp'_\rho, \rho' \rangle}{\langle \text{call}(\kappa) \text{ with } (exp_\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{args}), \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{call}(\kappa) \text{ with } (exp'_\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{args}), \rho' \rangle} \\
\\
\text{APPLY-}\beta \quad \frac{\rho(id) = \langle \rho'', \lambda P k_r k_e x.e \rangle}{\langle \text{call(direct } id) \text{ with } (P', res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{v}), \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e [P \setminus P'] [k_r \setminus res_k] [k_e \setminus exn_k] [x \setminus \overrightarrow{v}], \rho'' \rangle} \\
\\
\text{SWITCH} \quad \frac{\langle e, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e', \rho' \rangle}{\langle \text{switch } (e) \text{ arms}, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{switch } (e') \text{ arms}, \rho' \rangle} \\
\\
\text{SWITCH-}\beta \quad \langle \text{switch } (v) \{x \mapsto e\}, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e [x \setminus v], \rho \rangle \\
\\
\overrightarrow{args} \longrightarrow \overrightarrow{args}' := \forall a, a \in \overrightarrow{args}. \exists a', a \longrightarrow^* a' \wedge a \in \overrightarrow{args}'
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 3. Evaluation Rules for Flambda2 Core (with environments)