

FLAMBDA2 VALIDATOR

1. FLAMBDA2 CORE

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{simple} &::= \text{var} \mid \text{symbol} \mid \text{const} \\
\text{named} &::= \text{simple} \mid \text{prim} \mid P \mid \chi \mid \text{rec_info} \\
\text{exp} &::= \text{named} \mid \text{let } \text{var} = \text{exp}_1 \text{ in } \text{exp}_2 \mid \text{let } (\text{clo } \mathcal{K}) = P \text{ in } \text{exp} \\
&\quad \mid \text{let } (\text{code}^\uparrow \text{ id}) = \text{code} \text{ in } \text{exp} \mid \text{let } (\text{clo}^\uparrow \mathcal{K}^\uparrow) = P \text{ in } \text{exp} \\
&\quad \mid \text{let } (\text{block}^\uparrow b) = \text{block} \text{ in } \text{exp} \mid \text{exp}_1 \text{ where } (\text{cont } k) \overrightarrow{\text{exp}} = \text{exp}_2 \\
&\quad \mid \text{call}(\kappa) \text{ with } (\text{exp}_\rho, \text{res}_k, \text{exn}_k, \overrightarrow{\text{exp}}) \mid \text{exp}_1 \overrightarrow{\text{exp}}_2 \\
&\quad \mid \lambda (\text{res}_k, \text{exn}_k, \overrightarrow{\text{exp}}). \text{exp}_b \\
&\quad \mid \text{switch } (\text{exp}_1) \text{ arms} \mid \text{invalid} \\
\text{code} &::= \lambda (\rho, \text{res}_k, \text{exn}_k, \overrightarrow{\text{exp}}). \text{exp}_b \\
x_k &::= \text{cont } k \mid \lambda \overrightarrow{\text{exp}}. \text{exp}_b \\
\mathcal{K} &::= \text{var list} \\
\mathcal{K}^\uparrow &::= (\text{slot}^f * \text{symbol}) \text{ map} \\
P &::= \{\text{fns} : (\text{slot}^f * \text{id_exp}) \text{ map}; \text{vals} : (\text{slot}^v * \text{simple_exp}) \text{ map}\} \\
\text{id_exp} &::= \text{id} \mid \text{exp} \\
\text{simple_exp} &::= \text{simple} \mid \text{exp} \\
\kappa &::= \text{direct } \text{id} \mid \text{indirect} \mid \text{method} \mid \text{c_call} \\
\chi &::= P \mid \text{block}(\text{tag}, \text{mut}, \overrightarrow{\text{exp}}) \mid \dots \\
\text{prim} &::= \text{load}(\text{kind}, \text{mut}, \text{exp}_b) \mid \text{make_block}(\text{kind}, \text{mut}, \overrightarrow{\text{exp}}) \\
&\quad \mid \pi_v (\text{slot}^f) \mid \pi_{f_1} (\text{slot}^{f_2}) \mid \dots
\end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 1. Flambda2 Core Syntax (Abbreviated.)

1.1. Block-based primitives. Blocks correspond to OCaml blocks, which are the word-aligned chunks of memory allocated for representing values¹. Each block has a tag, corresponding to the constructors/field index of a value (e.g. `tag0` is the first constructor of an ADT). The mutability field corresponds to whether the block represents a reference cell. The block-related primitives allows the representation of structs, tuples, lists, and arrays. We plan to support the block-related primitives (`load` from a block and `make_block`) except those related to floating-point valued arrays.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LET-}\beta \\
\frac{}{\text{let } x = v \text{ in } e \longrightarrow e[x \setminus v]}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{LETL} \\
\frac{e_1 \longrightarrow e'_1}{\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \longrightarrow \text{let } x = e'_1 \text{ in } e_2}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LETR} \\
\frac{e_2 \longrightarrow e'_2}{\text{let } x = N \text{ in } e_2 \longrightarrow \text{let } x = N \text{ in } e'_2}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{LET CLO-}\beta \\
\frac{x = \mathcal{K}[i]}{\text{let } (\text{clo } \mathcal{K}) = P \text{ in } e \longrightarrow e[x \setminus (\pi_1 P[i], P)]}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LET CLO NAMED-}\beta \\
\frac{x = \mathcal{K}[i]}{\text{let } (\text{clo } \Phi \mathcal{K}) = P \text{ in } e \longrightarrow e[x \setminus (\Phi, \pi_1 P[i], P)]}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LET STATIC CLO-}\beta \\
\frac{x \in \mathcal{K}^\uparrow}{\text{let } (\text{clo}^\uparrow \mathcal{K}^\uparrow) = P \text{ in } e \longrightarrow e[x \setminus (x, P)]}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LET STATIC CLO NAMED-}\beta \\
\frac{x \in \mathcal{K}^\uparrow}{\text{let } (\text{clo}^\uparrow \Phi \mathcal{K}^\uparrow) = P \text{ in } e \longrightarrow e[x \setminus (\Phi, x, P)]}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LET CODE-}\beta \\
\text{let } (\text{code}^\uparrow f(x, \rho, res_k, exn_k)) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \longrightarrow e_2[f \setminus \lambda(x, \rho, res_k, exn_k).e_1]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LET CONT-}\beta \\
e_1 \text{ where } (\text{cont } k) \overrightarrow{args} = e_2 \longrightarrow e_1[k \setminus \lambda \overrightarrow{args}.e_2]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{CLOSURE VAL} \\
\text{let } (\text{clo } \mathcal{K}) = ([v_{\text{val}} \mapsto id_exp_v]; P) \text{ in } e \longrightarrow \text{let } (\text{clo } \mathcal{K}) = P[\pi_{\text{val}(v)}^\rho \setminus id_exp_v] \text{ in } e
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{CLOSURE FN} \\
\frac{K[i] = \mathbf{g} \quad P[i] = (g_{\text{fn}}, exp_g)}{\text{let } (\text{clo } (\mathbf{f}; \mathcal{K})) = ([f_{\text{fn}} \mapsto \lambda \rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{exp}.e_1]; P) \text{ in } e_2 \longrightarrow \text{let } (\text{clo } (\mathbf{f}; \mathcal{K})) = ([f_{\text{fn}} \mapsto \lambda \rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{exp}.e_1[\pi_{\text{fn}(f_{\text{fn}} \rightarrow g_{\text{fn}})}^\rho \setminus g_{\text{fn}}]]; P) \text{ in } e_2}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{CLOSURE-}\beta \\
\frac{\Phi \text{ fresh}}{\text{let } (\text{clo } (\mathbf{f}; \mathcal{K})) = ([f_{\text{fn}} \mapsto \lambda \rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{exp}.e_1]; P) \text{ in } e_2 \longrightarrow \text{let } (\text{clo } \Phi (\mathbf{f}; \mathcal{K})) = ([f_{\text{fn}} \mapsto \lambda res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{exp}.e_1[\rho \setminus \Phi]]; P) \text{ in } e_2}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{APPLY CONTR} \\
\frac{\overrightarrow{args} \longrightarrow \overrightarrow{args}'}{v \overrightarrow{args} \longrightarrow v \overrightarrow{args}'}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{APPLY CONT L} \\
\frac{k \longrightarrow k'}{k \overrightarrow{args} \longrightarrow k' \overrightarrow{args}}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{APPLY CONT-}\beta \\
(\lambda x.e) v \longrightarrow e[x \setminus v]
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. Evaluation Rules for Flambda2 Core

2. REDUCTION STRATEGY

This language has a call-by-value style reduction strategy, as shown in Figure 3. Notice the unusual [LetR] rule—the expression N refers to an

¹For more, see <https://dev.realworldocaml.org/runtime-memory-layout.html>.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{APPLYR} \\
\frac{\overrightarrow{args} \longrightarrow \overrightarrow{args'}}{\text{call}(e) \text{ with } (\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{args}) \longrightarrow \text{call}(e) \text{ with } (\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{args'})} \\
\\
\text{APPLYL} \\
\frac{e \longrightarrow e'}{\text{call}(e) \text{ with } (\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{args}) \longrightarrow \text{call}(e') \text{ with } (\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{args})} \\
\\
\text{APPLY-}\beta \\
\text{call}(\text{direct } \lambda (\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{exp}). exp_f) \text{ with } (\rho', res'_k, exn'_k, \overrightarrow{v}) \longrightarrow \\
exp_f [\rho \setminus \rho'] [res_k \setminus res'_k] [exn_k \setminus exn'_k] [\overrightarrow{exp} \setminus \overrightarrow{v}] \\
\\
\text{SWITCH} \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{SWITCH-}\beta \\
\frac{e \longrightarrow e'}{\text{switch}(e) \text{ arms} \longrightarrow \text{switch}(e') \text{ arms}} \qquad \text{switch}(v) [x \mapsto e] \longrightarrow e [x \setminus v] \\
\\
\overrightarrow{args} \longrightarrow \overrightarrow{args'} := \forall a, a \in \overrightarrow{args}. \exists a', a \longrightarrow^* a' \wedge a \in \overrightarrow{args'}
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 3. Evaluation Rules for Flambda2 Core (Continued.)

expression in the normal form, which may refer to a normalized effectful expression. This rule is *not* analogous to the [ApplyContR] rule, since the lambda abstraction is always implicit in let expressions, ensuring that the “lefthand-side” of the application is always a value. This is necessary because for the case of several effectful expressions (such as a print statement), inlining the occurrence of the expression multiple times will be behaviorally different from the original expression.

The [Apply- β] rule describes the case when the callee is a lambda expression, and the argument is fully evaluated. The expression is beta-reduced, then the resulting value get passed on as an argument to either the return or exception continuation, depending on whether or not the expression throws an exception.

3. REWRITE RULES

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{FLATTENMATCH} \\
\text{switch}(\text{switch}(e_1) [A \mapsto e_2 : B|..]) [B \mapsto e'_2|..] \longrightarrow \text{switch}(e_1) [A \mapsto e'_2 [B \setminus e_2]|..]
\end{array}$$

4. FEATURES

A wishlist of desirable inlining/semantic features to support for the validator.

4.1. Inlining.

- function calls
- recursive functions
- inlining (direct calls, within same function)
- cross-module inlining

- low-priority: locals

4.2. **Semantics.**

- mutable state
- exceptions
- effects (printing, etc.)
- external calls

4.3. **Primitives evaluation.**

- arithmetic evaluation: commutative and associative laws for arithmetic? It is likely that the commutative/associative laws are not necessary for the simplifier

TODO: Refactor [simplify_primitive].

Environments

$$\begin{aligned}
r &::= () \mid (r_1, r_2, \dots) \mid \pi_i r \mid \langle \rho, \lambda P k_r k_e x.e \rangle \\
c &::= \langle \rho, \lambda x.e \rangle \\
\rho &::= \bullet \mid \rho, x \mapsto r \mid \rho, k \mapsto c \mid \rho, X \mapsto K \mid \rho, X^\uparrow \mapsto K
\end{aligned}$$

Evaluation Rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LET-}\beta \\
\langle \text{let } x = v \text{ in } e, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e, \rho [x \mapsto v] \rangle
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{LETL} \\
\frac{\langle e_1, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e'_1, \rho' \rangle}{\langle \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{let } x = e'_1 \text{ in } e_2, \rho' \rangle}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LETCL-}\beta \\
\langle \text{let (clo } X) = K \text{ in } e, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e, \rho [X \mapsto K] \rangle
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LETSTATICCL-}\beta \\
\langle \text{let (clo}^\uparrow X^\uparrow) = K \text{ in } e, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e, \rho [X^\uparrow \mapsto K] \rangle
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LETCODE-}\beta \\
\langle \text{let (code}^\uparrow id) = \lambda P k_r k_e x.e_1 \text{ in } e_2, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e, \rho [id \mapsto \langle \rho, \lambda P k_r k_e x.e_1 \rangle] \rangle
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{LETCONT-}\beta \\
\langle e_1 \text{ where (cont } k) \overrightarrow{args} = e_2, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e_1, \rho [k \mapsto \langle \rho, \lambda \overrightarrow{args}.e_2 \rangle] \rangle
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{APPLYCONTR} \\
\frac{\langle \overrightarrow{args}, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \overrightarrow{args}', \rho' \rangle}{\langle v \overrightarrow{args}, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle v \overrightarrow{args}', \rho' \rangle}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{APPLYCONTL} \\
\frac{\langle k, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle k', \rho' \rangle}{\langle k \overrightarrow{args}, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle k' \overrightarrow{args}, \rho' \rangle}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{APPLYCONT-}\beta \\
\frac{\rho(k) = \langle \rho', \lambda x.e \rangle}{\langle k v, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e [x \setminus v], \rho' \rangle}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{APPLYR} \\
\frac{\langle \overrightarrow{args}, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \overrightarrow{args}', \rho' \rangle}{\langle \text{call}(\kappa) \text{ with } (exp_\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{args}), \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{call}(\kappa) \text{ with } (exp_\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{args}'), \rho' \rangle}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{APPLYL} \\
\frac{\langle exp_\rho, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle exp'_\rho, \rho' \rangle}{\langle \text{call}(\kappa) \text{ with } (exp_\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{args}), \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{call}(\kappa) \text{ with } (exp'_\rho, res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{args}), \rho' \rangle}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{APPLY-}\beta \\
\frac{\rho(id) = \langle \rho'', \lambda P k_r k_e x.e \rangle}{\langle \text{call(direct } id) \text{ with } (P', res_k, exn_k, \overrightarrow{v}), \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e [P \setminus P'] [k_r \setminus res_k] [k_e \setminus exn_k] [x \setminus \overrightarrow{v}], \rho'' \rangle}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SWITCH} \\
\frac{\langle e, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e', \rho' \rangle}{\langle \text{switch } (e) \text{ arms}, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{switch } (e') \text{ arms}, \rho' \rangle}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{SWITCH-}\beta \\
\langle \text{switch } (v) \{x \mapsto e\}, \rho \rangle \longrightarrow \langle e [x \setminus v], \rho \rangle
\end{array}$$

$$\overrightarrow{args} \longrightarrow \overrightarrow{args}' := \forall a, a \in \overrightarrow{args}. \exists a', a \longrightarrow^* a' \wedge a \in \overrightarrow{args}'$$

FIGURE 4. Evaluation Rules for Flambda2 Core (with environments)