VALIDATOR FOR FLAMBDA2 SIMPLIFIER

1. Reduction Strategy

This language has a call-by-value style reduction strategy. Notice the unusual [LetR] rule—the expression N refers to an expression in the normal form, which may refer to a normalized effectful expression. This rule is not analogous to the [ApplyContR] rule, since the lambda abstraction is always implicit in let expressions, ensuring that the "lefthand-side" of the application is always a value. This is necessary because for the case of several effectful expressions (such as a print statement), inlining the occurrence of the expression multiple times will be behaviorally different from the original expression.

The [Apply- β] rule describes the case when the callee is a lambda expression, and the argument is fully evaluated. The expression is beta-reduced, then the resulting value get passed on as an argument to either the return or exception continuation, depending on whether or not the expression throws an exception.

LET-
$$\beta$$
 let $x = v$ in $e \longrightarrow e$ $[x \setminus v]$
$$\frac{e_1 \longrightarrow e'_1}{|\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \longrightarrow \text{let } x = e'_1 \text{ in } e_2}$$

$$\frac{\text{LETR}}{|\text{let } x = N \text{ in } e_2 \longrightarrow \text{let } x = N \text{ in } e'_2}$$

$$\frac{e_2 \longrightarrow e'_2}{|\text{let } x = N \text{ in } e_2 \longrightarrow \text{let } x = N \text{ in } e'_2}$$

$$\frac{\text{LETCLO-}\beta}{\forall x \ i, x = X[i], \text{ let (clo } X) = K \text{ in } e \longrightarrow e \ [x \setminus (\pi_1 \ K[i], K)]$$

$$\text{LETSTATICCLO-}\beta$$

$$\forall x, x \in X^{\uparrow}, \text{ let (clo } X^{\uparrow}) = K \text{ in } e \longrightarrow e \ [x \setminus (x, K)]$$

LetCode- β

let (code $f(x, \rho, res_k, exn_k)$) = e_1 in $e_2 \longrightarrow e_2$ [$f \setminus \lambda(x, \rho, res_k, exn_k)$]. e_1

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{LetCont-}\beta \\ e_1 \text{ where } k \; \overrightarrow{args} \; = \; e_2 \longrightarrow e_1 \; [k \setminus \lambda \; \overrightarrow{args}. \, e_2] \end{array} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{APPLYContR} \\ \overline{args} \longrightarrow \overline{args'} \\ \hline v \; \overline{args} \longrightarrow v \; \overline{args'} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{APPLYCONTL} \\ \frac{k \longrightarrow k'}{k \; \overline{args} \longrightarrow k' \; \overline{args}} & \text{APPLYCONT-}\beta \\ (\lambda \; x. \, e) \; v \longrightarrow e \; [x \setminus v] \\ \\ \frac{\overline{args} \longrightarrow \overline{args}'}{e \; \rho \; res_k \; exn_k \; \overline{args}' \longrightarrow e \; \rho \; res_k \; exn_k \; \overline{args}'} \end{array}$$

$$\frac{e \longrightarrow e'}{e \ \rho \ res_k \ exn_k \ \overrightarrow{args} \longrightarrow e' \ \rho \ res_k \ exn_k \ \overrightarrow{args}}$$

Apply- β

 $\mathsf{direct_call}(\lambda\;(x,\,\rho,\,res_k,\,exn_k).\,e)\;K\;\;\overrightarrow{v}\;\;k_r\;\;k_e\longrightarrow e\;[\rho\setminus K]\;[x\setminus\overrightarrow{v}]\;[res_k\setminus k_r]\;[exn_k\setminus k_e]$

SWITCH
$$\frac{e \longrightarrow e'}{\text{switch } (e) \ arms \longrightarrow \text{switch } (e') \ arms} \qquad \text{SWITCH-}\beta \\ \text{switch } (v) \ [x \mapsto e] \longrightarrow e \ [x \setminus v]$$

$$\overline{arqs} \longrightarrow \overline{arqs}' := \forall a, a \in \overline{arqs}. \exists a', a \longrightarrow^* a' \land a \in \overline{arqs}'$$

2. Rewrite Rules

FLATTENMATCH switch (switch (e_1) $[A \mapsto e_2 : B|..])$ $[B \mapsto e_2'|..] \longrightarrow$ switch (e_1) $[A \mapsto e_2'$ $[B \setminus e_2]|..]$

3. Features

A wishlist of desirable inlining/semantic features to support for the validator.

3.1. Inlining.

- function calls
- recursive functions
- inlining (direct calls, within same function)
- cross-module inlining
- low-priority: locals

3.2. Semantics.

- mutable state
- exceptions
- effects (printing, etc.)
- external calls

3.3. Primitives evaluation.

- arithmetic evaluation: commutative and associative laws for arithmetic? It is likely that the commutative/associative laws are not necessary for the simplifier
- block-based primitives (makeblock, loading from block) The blocks have a tag, corresponding to the constructors (i.e. tag0 is the first constructor) values either are immediate tags or blocks Mutability corresponds to reference cells

Being able to treat the block-related primitives will resolve supporting the structures below (except for arrays, which have a tricky case involving storing floating-point values. See floating-point valued array optimization)

TODO: Refactor [simplify_primitive].

3.4. Supported structures.

- structs
- tuples
- lists
- arrays