

36-402 Homework 2

Eu Jing Chua

eujingc

January 29, 2019

Question 1

Table 1: Coefficients & Std. Error of linear model

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	-0.03525	0.00665	-5.30037	0.00000
underval	0.00476	0.00218	2.18614	0.02898
log(gdp)	0.00630	0.00079	7.96591	0.00000

Since the coefficient of $\log(gdp)$ is positive, this model does not seem to support the idea of “catching-up” as countries with higher GDP have a higher economic growth rate. However, it does support the idea that under-valuing a currency boosts economic growth as the coefficient of *underval* is positive, indicating a positive *underval* index, which represents undervaluing, leads to higher economic growth.

Question 2


Q2 a)

Table 2: Coefficients & Std. Error of linear model

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
underval	0.01361	0.00290	4.69667	0
log(gdp)	0.02892	0.00317	9.13254	0

Q2 b) It is more appropriate to use `factor(year)` as there are only unique years that are 5 years apart. As such, modelling this way we will have a slope for each 5-year interval rather than a single slope for each increment of year.

Q2 c)



Q2 d)

Since the coefficient of $\log(gdp)$ is positive, this model does not seem to support the idea of “catching-up” as countries with higher GDP have a higher economic growth rate. However, it does support the idea that under-valuing a currency boosts economic growth as the coefficient of *underval* is positive, indicating a positive *underval* index, which represents undervaluing, leads to higher economic growth.

Question 3

Q3 a)

Table 3: R^2 values for each linear model

	Model 1	Model 2
R^2	0.04855	0.42924
Adj. R^2	0.04709	0.33214

Q3 b)

Table 4: $M\hat{S}E$ of linear models by LOOCV

	x
Model 1	0.0010303

	x
Model 2	0.0009528

Question 4


Q4 a)

```
##
Multistart 1 of 3 |
Multistart 1 of 3 |
Multistart 1 of 3 |
Multistart 1 of 3 /
Multistart 1 of 3 -
Multistart 1 of 3 |
Multistart 1 of 3 |
Multistart 1 of 3 /
Multistart 1 of 3 -
Multistart 2 of 3 |
Multistart 2 of 3 |
Multistart 2 of 3 /
Multistart 2 of 3 -
Multistart 2 of 3 |
Multistart 2 of 3 |
Multistart 2 of 3 /
Multistart 2 of 3 -
Multistart 3 of 3 |
Multistart 3 of 3 |
Multistart 3 of 3 /
Multistart 3 of 3 -
Multistart 3 of 3 |
Multistart 3 of 3 |
Multistart 3 of 3 /
Multistart 3 of 3 -
```

There are no coefficients to report for kernel regression, as it is a non-parametric smoothing method that smooths the data with a kernel. The smoothing is only controlled by the choice of the kernel and the related bandwidth for the kernel, without any coefficients on the predictors.


Q4 b)

Plot of Predicted Growth against Observed Growth



Q4 c)

Plot of Residuals against Predicted Growth



The points should be scattered around a flat line at 0 if the model was right, as we assume $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon] = 0$. In this case, it would seem that the residuals indeed are roughly scattered around a flat line at 0.

Q4 d)

Table 5: $M\hat{S}E$ of the regressions via cross validation


	x
Linear Regression	0.0009688
Kernel Regression	0.0009481

As seen from above, kernel regression has a lower estimated MSE than a linear model with the same covariates. Hence, the kernel regression is better in generalizing and predicting better than the linear model.

Question 5


Q5 a)

Predicted growth with gdp = 20000, underval = 0




Q5 b)

Predicted growth with gdp = 20000, underval = +0.5




Q5 c)

Predicted growth with gdp = 3000, underval = 0



Q5 d)

Predicted growth with gdp = 3000, underval = +0.5






Q5 e)

By comparing the graphs with initial $GDP = 20000$, we can see that by increasing under-valuation from 0 to 0.5, the predicted values increased from 1955 to 1970 but for $GDP = 3000$, increasing under-valuation

from 0 to 0.5 decreased the predicted values from 1955 to 1970. The graphs are not parallel across and the effects not similar when we change initial GDP and under-valuation, hence there should be some interaction between these two variables.

Q5 f)



From the plots of growth against each variable, we can see that both GDP and under-valuation have strong relationships with growth. For the plot of growth against $\log(GDP)$, there seems to be significant variation from varying $\log(GDP)$ from 7 to 11. For the plot of growth against under-valuation, there also seems to be significant variation from varying under-valuation from -2.0 to -0.5. Finally, there is also some relationship, a weaker one than the previous two, between growth and year. For the plot of growth against year, there seems to be a slightly significant variation from varying year from 1970 to 1985.

Question 6

$$\text{Optimism} = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y'_i - \hat{\mu}(x_i))^2 \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \hat{\mu}(x_i))^2 \right] \quad (1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[(Y'_i - \hat{\mu}(x_i))^2 \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[(Y_i - \hat{\mu}(x_i))^2 \right] \quad (2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var} [Y'_i - \hat{\mu}(x_i)] + \mathbb{E} [Y'_i - \hat{\mu}(x_i)]^2 - \text{Var} [Y_i - \hat{\mu}(x_i)] - \mathbb{E} [Y_i - \hat{\mu}(x_i)]^2 \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var} [Y'_i] + \text{Var} [\hat{\mu}(x_i)] - 2\text{Cov} [Y'_i, \hat{\mu}(x_i)] - \text{Var} [Y_i] - \text{Var} [\hat{\mu}(x_i)] + 2\text{Cov} [Y_i, \hat{\mu}(x_i)] \quad (4)$$

$$+ (\mathbb{E} [Y'_i] - \mathbb{E} [\hat{\mu}(x_i)])^2 - (\mathbb{E} [Y_i] - \mathbb{E} [\hat{\mu}(x_i)])^2 \quad (5)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 2\text{Cov} [Y_i, \hat{\mu}(x_i)], \text{ as } \text{Var} [Y'_i] = \text{Var} [Y_i], \mathbb{E} [Y'_i] = \mathbb{E} [Y_i], \text{ and } \text{Cov} [Y'_i, \hat{\mu}(x_i)] = 0 \quad (6)$$