



CD SECURITY

AUDIT REPORT

Euler - HookTargetMarketStatus &
DataStreamsVerifier
August 2025

Introduction

A time-boxed security review of the **Euler** protocol was done by **CD Security**, with a focus on the security aspects of the application's implementation.

Disclaimer

A smart contract security review can never verify the complete absence of vulnerabilities. This is a time, resource, and expertise-bound effort where we try to find as many vulnerabilities as possible. We can not guarantee 100% security after the review or even if the review will find any problems with your smart contracts. Subsequent security reviews, bug bounty programs, and on-chain monitoring are strongly recommended.

About Euler

This audit was specifically focused on two contracts - HookTargetMarketStatus & DataStreamsVerifier.

DataStreamsVerifier.sol

A base contract that provides Chainlink Data Streams verification functionality with the following features:

- Authorization Management: Restricts update functions to pre-authorized callers
- Version Validation: Ensures reports match expected version
- Fee Management: Automatically sets up LINK token approvals for reward managers
- Token Recovery: Allows contract owners to recover any ERC20 tokens sent to the contract

HookTargetMarketStatus.sol

A specialized contract that extends DataStreamsVerifier to manage market status based on Chainlink V8 reports. It's meant to be used as a hook target contract for select [euler-vault-kit](#) vaults:

- Market Status Management: Tracks and updates market status (closed/open/paused) from verified reports
- Feed ID Validation: Ensures reports match the contract's specific price feed
- Timestamp Validation: Validates report expiration times
- Fallback Protection: Only allows execution when market is open (this functionality is used by the vaults that enable the **HookTargetMarketStatus** as a hook target)
- Owner Controls: Allows manual market status updates

Additional documentation: <https://docs.chain.link/data-streams>

Severity classification

Severity	Impact: High	Impact: Medium	Impact: Low
----------	--------------	----------------	-------------

Severity	Impact: High	Impact: Medium	Impact: Low
Likelihood: High	Critical	High	Medium
Likelihood: Medium	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood: Low	Medium	Low	Low

Impact - the technical, economic, and reputation damage of a successful attack

Likelihood - the chance that a particular vulnerability gets discovered and exploited

Severity - the overall criticality of the risk

Security Assessment Summary

review commit hash - [e3d31d4f39df5d0ab193f25a5c4ee4ff58d29f01](#)

fixes review commit hash - [5eed034a9119f5405ee045349da926fa999e7ba](#)

Scope

The following folders were in scope of the audit:

- [DataStreamsVerifier.sol](#)
- [HookTargetMarketStatus.sol](#)

The following number of issues were found, categorized by their severity:

- Critical & High: 0 issues
- Medium: 0 issues
- Low & Info: 4 issues

Findings Summary

ID	Title	Severity	Status
[L-01]	Single-step ownership transfer in use	Low	Acknowledged
[I-01]	The setMarketStatus can give a false impression of successful execution	Informational	Fixed
[I-02]	Rename parameter name to _authorizedUpdater	Informational	Acknowledged
[I-03]	Optimize token payload encoding in function verify	Informational	Fixed

Detailed Findings

[L-01] Single-step ownership transfer in use

Description

The `DataStreamVerifier` implements `Ownable` library which implements single-step ownership transfer. In the event of transferring the ownership to an invalid address, all functions protected by the access control will become permanently unavailable.

```
abstract contract DataStreamVerifier is Ownable {
```

Recommendations

It is recommended to use `Ownable2Step` instead.

[I-01] The `setMarketStatus` can give a false impression of successful execution

Description

The `setMarketStatus` function never reverts and it always passes. However, it does emit `MarketStatusUpdated` whenever a certain condition is met. Such an execution flow can give a false impression of successful processing, as the function does not revert and it does not emit an alternative event when the aforementioned condition is not met.

```
function _setMarketStatus(uint32 _marketStatus, uint64
_lastUpdatedTimestamp) internal {
    if (marketStatus != _marketStatus && lastUpdatedTimestamp <=
_lastUpdatedTimestamp) {
        marketStatus = _marketStatus;
        lastUpdatedTimestamp = _lastUpdatedTimestamp;
        emit MarketStatusUpdated(_marketStatus,
_lastUpdatedTimestamp);
    }
}
```

Recommendations

Consider an alternative execution flow, when the function reverts or emits an additional event indicating that the aforementioned condition.

[I-02] Rename parameter name to `_authorizedUpdater`

Description

As part of the update in the PR [here](#), `_authorizedCaller` was updated to `_authorizedUpdater`. However, this name change was not reflected in the `DataStreamVerifier` contract with the parameter name and state variable name (`AUTHORIZED_CALLER`).

```
/// @notice Initializes the contract with required parameters
/// @param _authorizedCaller Address authorized to verify reports
/// @param _verifierProxy Address of the verifier proxy contract
/// @param _expectedVersion Expected version of the report
constructor(address _authorizedCaller, address _verifierProxy, uint16
_expectedVersion) Ownable(msg.sender) {
    AUTHORIZED_CALLER = _authorizedCaller;
```

Recommendations

Rename the variables to authorized updater.

[I-03] Optimize token payload encoding in function `verify`

Description

Function `_verify()` in `DataStreamVerifier.sol` encodes the token payload by checking whether the `LINK_TOKEN` address was set on contract deployment or not. However, the contract currently only supports the fee processing required for the report verification using `LINK` tokens. Native tokens are not possible since no `msg.value` is passed.

```
// Verify the report on-chain using Chainlink's verifier
return VERIFIER_PROXY.verify(_rawReport, LINK_TOKEN == address(0)
? bytes("") : abi.encode(LINK_TOKEN));
```

Recommendations

Consider improving the code as follows:

```
// Verify the report on-chain using Chainlink's verifier
return VERIFIER_PROXY.verify(_rawReport, abi.encode(LINK_TOKEN));
```