

# CFRM 505 Homework 5

Eunki Chung  
eunkich@uw.edu

March 9, 2023

## Problem 1

Suppose  $X \sim U(-1, 1)$  and  $Y \sim U(-1, 1)$  are i.i.d. random variables. Consider the probability

$$\mathbb{P}[X^2 + 2Y^2 < 2]$$

For each part, implement your method using at least 10,000 samples.

The estimate of the probability is

$$\theta = \mathbb{P}[X^2 + 2Y^2 < 2] = E[Z]$$

where  $Z = \mathbb{1}_{\{X^2 + 2Y^2 < 2\}}(X, Y)$

### Part 1

Estimate this probability directly by simulating both  $X$  and  $Y$ . Report your estimate along with a 95% confidence interval.

```
import numpy as np

N = 10000

X = np.random.uniform(-1, 1, N)
Y = np.random.uniform(-1, 1, N)
Z = (X**2 + 2 * Y ** 2) < 2

zdelta = 1.96
var = np.var(Z)
error = zdelta * np.sqrt(var / N)

print("Direct method: ")
print(f"Estimate: {np.mean(Z):.5f}")
print(f"Confidence Interval: {np.round(np.mean(Z) + np.array([-1, 1]) * error, 5)}")
print("Error bar size = {:.5f}".format(error))
```

Direct method:  
Estimate: 0.90920  
Confidence Interval: [0.90357 0.91483]  
Error bar size = 0.00563

### Part 2

Estimate this probability using conditional Monte Carlo by conditioning on  $X$ . Report your estimate along with a 95% confidence interval. How much did this reduce the error from part 1?

Consider using conditional Monte Carlo by conditioning on  $X$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[Z|X = x] &= P[X^2 + 2Y^2 < 2|X = x] \\
 &= P\left[Y^2 < \frac{2 - x^2}{2}\right] \\
 &= P\left[-\sqrt{\frac{2 - x^2}{2}} < Y < \sqrt{\frac{2 - x^2}{2}}\right] \\
 &= F_Y\left(\sqrt{\frac{2 - x^2}{2}}\right) - F_Y\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2 - x^2}{2}}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that cdf of  $Y$  is given by,

$$F_Y(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y < -1 \\ \frac{y+1}{2} & \text{if } -1 \leq y < 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq y \end{cases}$$

From the support of  $X$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 -1 &\leq x \leq 1 \\
 0 &\leq x^2 \leq 1 \\
 0 &\geq -x^2 \geq -1 \\
 2 &\geq 2 - x^2 \geq 1 \\
 1 &\geq \frac{2 - x^2}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \\
 1 &\geq \sqrt{\frac{2 - x^2}{2}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 -1 &\leq -\sqrt{\frac{2 - x^2}{2}} \leq -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[Z|X = x] &= F_Y\left(\sqrt{\frac{2 - x^2}{2}}\right) - F_Y\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2 - x^2}{2}}\right) \\
 &= \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2 - x^2}{2}} + 1}{2} - \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{2 - x^2}{2}} + 1}{2} \\
 &= \frac{2\sqrt{\frac{2 - x^2}{2}}}{2} \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{2 - x^2}{2}} \quad \text{for all } -1 \leq x \leq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

```

X = np.random.uniform(-1, 1, N)
Z = np.sqrt((2-X**2) / 2)

zdelta = 1.96
var = np.var(Z)
error_X = zdelta * np.sqrt(var / N)

print("Conditional Monte Carlo: ")
print(f"Estimate: {np.mean(Z):.5f}")
print(f"Confidence Interval: {np.round(np.mean(Z) + np.array([-1, 1]) * error_X, 5)}")
print("Error bar size = {:.5f}".format(error_X))
print("Error reduction from conditioning on X = {:.5f}%".format((1 - error_X / error) * 100))

```

Conditional Monte Carlo:  
 Estimate: 0.91012  
 Confidence Interval: [0.90848 0.91176]  
 Error bar size = 0.00164  
 Error reduction from conditioning on X = 70.90509%

### Part 3

Estimate this probability using conditional Monte Carlo by conditioning on  $Y$ . Report your estimate along with a 95% confidence interval. How much did this reduce the error from part 1?

Consider using conditional Monte Carlo by conditioning on  $Y$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E[Z|Y = y] &= P[X^2 + 2Y^2 < 2|Y = y] \\ &= P[X^2 < 2 - 2y^2] \\ &= P\left[-\sqrt{2 - 2y^2} < X < \sqrt{2 - 2y^2}\right] \\ &= F_X\left(\sqrt{2 - 2y^2}\right) - F_X\left(-\sqrt{2 - 2y^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Note that cdf of  $X$  is given by,

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < -1 \\ \frac{x+1}{2} & \text{if } -1 \leq x < 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq x \end{cases}$$

From the support of  $Y$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} -1 &\leq y \leq 1 \\ 0 &\leq y^2 \leq 1 \\ 0 &\geq -2y^2 \geq -2 \\ 2 &\geq 2 - 2y^2 \geq 0 \\ \sqrt{2} &\geq \sqrt{2 - 2y^2} \geq 0 \\ -\sqrt{2} &\leq -\sqrt{2 - 2y^2} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $\sqrt{2 - 2y^2} > 1$  and  $-\sqrt{2 - 2y^2} < -1$  if  $|y| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} E[Z|Y = y] &= F_X\left(\sqrt{2 - 2y^2}\right) - F_X\left(-\sqrt{2 - 2y^2}\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{2-2y^2}+1}{2} - \frac{-\sqrt{2-2y^2}+1}{2} & \text{if } |y| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 1 - 0 & \text{if } |y| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \sqrt{2 - 2y^2} & \text{if } |y| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 1 & \text{if } |y| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{cases} \\ &= \min\{\sqrt{2 - 2y^2}, 1\} \end{aligned}$$

```
Z = np.minimum(np.sqrt(2 - 2 * Y ** 2), 1)

zdelta = 1.96
var = np.var(Z)
error_Y = zdelta * np.sqrt(var / N)

print("Conditional Monte Carlo: ")
print(f"Estimate: {np.mean(Z):.5f}")
print(f"Confidence Interval: {np.round(np.mean(Z) + np.array([-1, 1]) * error_Y, 5)}")
print("Error bar size = {:.5f}".format(error_Y))
```

```
print("Error reduction from conditioning on Y = {:.5f}%".format((1 - error_Y / error) * 100))
```

Conditional Monte Carlo:

Estimate: 0.91013

Confidence Interval: [0.90646 0.9138 ]

Error bar size = 0.00367

Error reduction from conditioning on Y = 34.78709%

## Problem 2

Suppose  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$  is a standard normal random variable and  $X = e^{\mu + \sigma Z}$ . This means that  $X \sim \text{Lognormal}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and so its expected value is  $e^{\mu + \sigma^2/2}$ . In the following parts, implement a method to estimate this expected value using at least 10,000 samples. Use  $\mu = 0.1$  and  $\sigma = 0.2$ .

### Part 1

Estimate the expected value directly by simulating  $X$ . Report your estimate along with a 95% confidence interval.

```
N = 10000
mu = 0.1
sig = 0.2

def box_muller(n=1):
    out = np.empty(n)

    m = (n + 1) // 2
    size = (2, m)
    lamda = 1 / 2

    u1, u2 = np.random.random(size)

    v = -np.log(u1) / lamda
    w = u2 * 2 * np.pi

    x = np.sqrt(v) * np.cos(w)
    y = np.sqrt(v) * np.sin(w)

    out[:m] = x
    out[-m:] = y

    return out

Z = box_muller(N)
X = np.exp(mu + sig * Z)

zdelta = 1.96
var = np.var(X)
error_1 = zdelta * np.sqrt(var / N)

print("Direct method: ")
print(f"Estimate: {np.mean(X):.5f}")
print(
```

```

    f"Confidence Interval: {np.round(np.mean(X) + np.array([-1, 1]) * error_1, 5)}")
print("Error bar size = {:.5f}".format(error_1))

```

Direct method:

Estimate: 1.12473

Confidence Interval: [1.12023 1.12923]

Error bar size = 0.00450

## Part 2

Estimate the expected value using  $Z$  as a control variate. You can estimate the optimal value of  $c$  from your full sample (i.e., you don't have to calculate it by hand or do a separate pilot study). Report your estimate along with a 95% confidence interval. How much did this reduce the error from part 1?

```

c = -np.cov(X, Z)[0, 1] / np.var(Z)

X_c = X + c * (Z - 0)
var_c = np.var(X_c)
error_c = zdelta * np.sqrt(var_c / N)
print("Control variate: ")
print(f"Exact solution: {np.exp(mu + sig**2/2):.5f}")
print(f"Estimate: {np.mean(X_c):.5f}")
print(f"Estimated c: {c:.5f}")
print(f"Confidence Interval: {np.round(np.mean(X_c) + np.array([-1, 1]) * error_c, 5)}")
print("Error bar size = {:.5f}".format(error_c))
print("Error reduction = {:.5f}%".format((1 - error_c / error_1) * 100))

```

Control variate:

Exact solution: 1.12750

Estimate: 1.12791

Estimated c: -0.22518

Confidence Interval: [1.12728 1.12854]

Error bar size = 0.00063

Error reduction = 85.91827%

## Problem 3

Consider an asset whose value  $S_t$  is governed by the SDE

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma(t) S_t dW_t$$

where  $\mu$  is a constant and

$$\sigma(t) = 0.2 + 0.1 \sin(t)$$

Use the parameters  $\mu = 0.1$ ,  $T = 5$  and  $S_0 = 1$ .

For each part, implement your method using at least 100 time steps and at least 10,000 samples.

```

mu = 0.1
T = 5
S0 = 1
t = np.linspace(0, T, 100)
dt = t[1] - t[0]

```

```
N = 100000
```

## Part 1

Estimate  $\mathbb{E}[S_T]$  using direct simulation. That is, use the Euler method to simulate  $S_t$  up until time  $T$  at least 10,000 times and then average the final values. Report your estimate along with a 95% confidence interval.

```
sig = 0.2 + 0.1 * np.sin(t)
N = 10000

def sample_path():
    S = np.zeros_like(t)
    S[0] = S0
    for i in range(len(t)-1):
        dWt = np.sqrt(dt) * box_muller()
        dSt = mu * S[i] * dt + sig[i] * S[i] * dWt
        S[i+1] = S[i] + dSt
    return S

S_T = np.zeros(N)
for i in range(N):
    S_T[i] = sample_path()[-1]

zdelta = 1.96
var = np.var(S_T)
error_1 = zdelta * np.sqrt(var / N)

print("Direct method: ")
print(f"Estimate: {np.mean(S_T):.5f}")
print(f"Confidence Interval: {np.round(np.mean(S_T) + np.array([-1, 1]) * error_1, 5)}")
print("Error bar size = {:.5f}".format(error_1))
```

```
Direct method:
Estimate: 1.64988
Confidence Interval: [1.63263 1.66713]
Error bar size = 0.01725
```

## Part 2

Let  $X_t$  be the value of a hypothetical asset governed by

$$dX_t = \mu X_t dt + \bar{\sigma} X_t dW_t$$

where

$$\bar{\sigma} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sigma(t) dt$$

Notice that  $X_t \sim \text{GBM}(\mu, \sigma)$  and so we know the distribution and expected value of  $X_T$ .

Estimate  $\mathbb{E}[S_T]$  using  $X_T$  as a control variate. (This means that you should use the Euler method to simulate both  $S_t$  and  $X_t$  with *the same* noise and use the resulting  $X_T$  as a control). You can estimate the optimal value of  $\beta$  from your full sample (i.e., you don't have to calculate it by hand or do a separate pilot study). Report your estimate along with a 95% confidence interval. How much did this reduce the error from part 1?

```

sig_bar = 1/T * (0.2 * T - 0.1 * np.cos(T) + 0.1)
def sample_path():
    S = np.zeros_like(t)
    X = np.zeros_like(t)
    S[0] = S0
    X[0] = S0

    for i in range(len(t)-1):
        dWt = np.sqrt(dt) * box_muller()
        dSt = mu * S[i] * dt + sig[i] * S[i] * dWt
        # dXt = mu * S[i] * dt + sig_bar * dWt
        dXt = mu * X[i] * dt + sig_bar * X[i] * dWt
        S[i+1] = S[i] + dSt
        X[i+1] = X[i] + dXt

    return S, X

S_T = np.zeros(N)
X_T = np.zeros(N)

for i in range(N):
    S, X = sample_path()
    S_T[i] = S[-1]
    X_T[i] = X[-1]

c = -np.cov(S_T, X_T)[0, 1] / np.var(X_T)
S_T_c = S_T + c * (X_T - S0 * np.exp(mu * T))
var_c = np.var(S_T_c)
error_c = zdelta * np.sqrt(var_c / N)
print("Control variate: ")
print(f"Estimate: {np.mean(S_T_c):.5f}")
print(f"Confidence Interval: {np.round(np.mean(S_T_c) + np.array([-1, 1]) * error_c, 5)}")
print(f"Estimated c: {c:.5f}")
print("Error bar size = {:.5f}".format(error_c))
print("Error reduction = {:.5f}%".format((1 - error_c / error_1) * 100))

```

```

Control variate:
Estimate: 1.64634
Confidence Interval: [1.64069 1.65199]
Estimated c: -0.99924
Error bar size = 0.00565
Error reduction = 67.22046%

```

## Problem 4

Suppose that  $X \sim \text{Exp}(1/2)$  and  $Y \sim \text{Exp}(1/3)$  are independent random variables. Consider the probability

$$\mathbb{P}[X + Y > 4]$$

For each part, implement your method using at least 10,000 samples.

### Part 1

Estimate this probability directly by simulating both  $X$  and  $Y$ . Report your estimate along with a 95% confidence interval.

The estimate of the probability is

$$\theta = \mathbb{P}[X + Y > 4] = E[Z]$$

where  $Z = \mathbb{1}_{\{X+Y>4\}}(X, Y)$

```
N = 10000
lam1 = 1/2
lam2 = 1/3
zdelta = 1.96

U1 = np.random.random(N)
U2 = np.random.random(N)

X = -np.log(U1) / lam1
Y = -np.log(U2) / lam2
Z = (X+Y) > 4
var = np.var(Z)
error = zdelta * np.sqrt(var / N)

print("Direct method: ")
print(f"Estimate: {np.mean(Z):.5f}")
print(f"Confidence Interval: {np.round(np.mean(Z) + np.array([-1, 1]) * error, 5)}")
print("Error bar size = {:.5f}".format(error))
```

Direct method:

Estimate: 0.51360

Confidence Interval: [0.5038 0.5234]

Error bar size = 0.00980

## Part 2

Estimate this probability using conditional Monte Carlo. Choose whether to condition on  $X$  or  $Y$  and justify your choice. Report your estimate along with a 95% confidence interval. How much did this reduce the error from part 1?

Since  $X \sim \text{Exp}(1/2)$  and  $Y \sim \text{Exp}(1/3)$ , the expectations are given by  $E[X] = 2$  and  $E[Y] = 3$

That is,  $Y$  has a relatively higher chance to determine the value of  $Z = \mathbb{1}_{\{X+Y>4\}}$  because  $X, Y$  are both nonnegative and  $Y$  tends to have a bigger value than  $X$ .

Therefore, we can expect that conditioning on  $X$  to be more effective, since  $X$  will have the smaller covariance, and thus less dependent with  $Z$  than  $Y$  in a relative sense.

```
print(f"Cov(X, Z) = {np.cov(X, Z)[0, 1]:.4f}")
print(f"Cov(Y, Z) = {np.cov(Y, Z)[0, 1]:.4f}")
```

Cov(X, Z) = 0.4366

Cov(Y, Z) = 0.8522

Consider using conditional Monte Carlo by conditioning on  $X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E[Z|X = x] &= P[X + Y > 4|X = x] \\ &= P[Y > 4 - x] \\ &= 1 - P[Y < 4 - x] \\ &= 1 - F_Y(4 - x) \end{aligned}$$



Note that cdf of  $Y$  is given by,

$$F_Y(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y < 0 \\ 1 - e^{-y/\lambda_Y} & \text{if } 0 \leq y \end{cases}$$

$$= \max\{1 - e^{-x/\lambda_Y}, 0\}$$

Note that the support of  $X$  is given by  $x \geq 0$  and

$$4 - x < 0 \quad \text{if } x > 4$$

$$4 - x \geq 0 \quad \text{if } x \leq 4$$

$$E[Z|X = x] = 1 - F_Y(4 - x)$$

$$= 1 - \max\left\{1 - \exp\left(\frac{-(4 - x)}{\lambda_Y}\right), 0\right\}$$

$$= 1 - \max\left\{1 - \exp\left(\frac{x - 4}{\lambda_Y}\right), 0\right\}$$

$$= \min\left\{\exp\left(\frac{x - 4}{\lambda_Y}\right), 1\right\}$$

```
Z_X = np.minimum(np.exp((X - 4) / 3), 1)

zdelta = 1.96
var_X = np.var(Z_X)
error_X = zdelta * np.sqrt(var_X / N)

print("Conditional Monte Carlo conditioning on X: ")
print(f"Estimate: {np.mean(Z_X):.5f}")
print(f"Confidence Interval: {np.round(np.mean(Z_X) + np.array([-1, 1]) * error_X, 5)}")
print("Error bar size = {:.5f}".format(error_X))
print("Error reduction from conditioning on X = {:.5f}%".format((1 - error_X / error) * 100))
```

Conditional Monte Carlo conditioning on X:  
Estimate: 0.51822  
Confidence Interval: [0.51338 0.52306]  
Error bar size = 0.00484  
Error reduction from conditioning on X = 50.60497%

We can verify that conditioning on  $X$  is more effective by calculating the error reduction from conditioning on  $Y$ . Notice that we can use the identical derivation of the conditional expectation of  $Z$  as we did for conditioning on  $X$ . That is,

$$E[Z|Y = y] = \min\left\{\exp\left(\frac{y - 4}{\lambda_X}\right), 1\right\}$$

```
Z_Y = np.minimum(np.exp((Y - 4) / 2), 1)
var_Y = np.var(Z_Y)
error_Y = zdelta * np.sqrt(var_Y / N)

print("Conditional Monte Carlo conditioning on Y: ")
print(f"Estimate: {np.mean(Z_Y):.5f}")
print(f"Confidence Interval: {np.round(np.mean(Z_Y) + np.array([-1, 1]) * error_Y, 5)}")
print("Error bar size = {:.5f}".format(error_Y))
print("Error reduction from conditioning on Y = {:.5f}%".format((1 - error_Y / error) * 100))
```

Conditional Monte Carlo conditioning on  $Y$ :

Estimate: 0.51590

Confidence Interval: [0.50926 0.52254]

Error bar size = 0.00664

Error reduction from conditioning on  $Y$  = 32.23308%

The result is consistent with the reasoning. The error reduction from conditioning on  $Y$  is smaller than the one from conditioning on  $X$ . Therefore, conditioning on  $X$  is more effective.