

# Homework 6

CFRM 505

Due Wednesday, March 15 at 11:59pm

## 1 Problem 1

Consider the problem of estimating

$$\theta = \mathbb{P}[X > a]$$

where  $X$  is some random variable and  $a$  is a constant. We will assume that  $a$  is very large, so that  $\theta$  is very small. Define

$$f_X(x; \beta) = \frac{e^{\beta x} f_X(x)}{Z(\beta)}$$

where

$$Z(\beta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\beta x} f_X(x) \, dx$$

and let  $\mathbb{E}_\beta$  denote expectation with respect to the density  $f_X(x; \beta)$ . (This is the same as our notation from class.) You can assume that the integral in  $Z(\beta)$  converges for any value of  $\beta$ .

In class, we showed that

$$\theta = \mathbb{E}_\beta \left[ 1_{(a, \infty)}(X) e^{-\beta X + \ln Z(\beta)} \right]$$

and we derived a formula for the variance of our importance sampling estimator in the form

$$\text{Var}_\beta \left[ 1_{(a, \infty)}(X) e^{-\beta X + \ln Z(\beta)} \right] = F(\beta) - \theta^2$$

where

$$F(\beta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1_{(a, \infty)}(x) e^{-\beta x + \ln Z(\beta)} f_X(x) \, dx$$

(You should confirm to yourself that these match the general formulas we had in class, but there is no need to re-derive them on the homework.)

## 1.1 Part 1

In order to minimize the variance of our importance sampling estimator, we need to minimize  $F(\beta)$ . Under some mild assumptions about  $X$  and  $a$ , one can show that there is a unique, optimal value of  $\beta$  and that it satisfies

$$F'(\beta) = 0$$

Show that the optimal  $\beta$  satisfies

$$\frac{d}{d\beta} \ln Z(\beta) = \mathbb{E}_{-\beta}[X \mid X > a]$$

(You can assume that all integrals involved converge uniformly, and so you are free to interchange derivatives and integrals.)

## 1.2 Part 2

Under some mild assumptions about  $X$ , one can show that

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{-\beta}[X \mid X > a] = a$$

for  $\beta \geq 0$ . Combined with part 1, this means that we can approximate the optimal  $\beta$  by solving

$$\frac{d}{d\beta} \ln Z(\beta) = a$$

Use this formula to approximate the optimal value of  $\beta$  when  $X \sim \text{Exp}(1)$  and  $a = 10$ . (We solved this problem in class and found that the true optimal value was  $\beta \approx 0.90499$ .)

(In this particular problem, you should find that solving this formula for  $\beta$  is quite simple. In general, you would typically have to resort to root-finding algorithms like `scipy.optimize.root` to solve this equation.)

## 2 Problem 2

Suppose  $X \sim \text{Exp}(2)$  and  $Y \sim \text{Exp}(1)$  are independent random variables. and define  $Z = \max\{X, Y\}$ .

Use exponential tilting on *both*  $X$  and  $Y$  to estimate

$$\mathbb{P}[Z > 20]$$

You do not have to calculate the optimal value(s) of  $\beta$ , but you should give some justification for why your choice is reasonable.

Report your estimate along with a 95% confidence interval.

### 3 Problem 3

Suppose  $X \sim N(0, 1)$  and  $Y \sim N(0, 4)$  are independent random variables. Consider the probability

$$\theta = \mathbb{P}[V > 10]$$

where  $V = X + Y$ .

#### 3.1 Part 1

Estimate  $\theta$  using direct Monte Carlo simulation. Make sure you use a large enough sample size to get a valid estimate. Report your estimate along with a 95% confidence interval.

#### 3.2 Part 2

Estimate  $\theta$  using exponential tilting in  $V$ . You can use the fact that the moment generating function of a normal random variable with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$  is given by

$$Z(\beta) = e^{\beta\mu + \sigma^2\beta^2/2}$$

You should approximate the optimal value of  $\beta$  using the technique outlined in problem 1. Report your estimate along with a 95% confidence interval. How much did this reduce the error from part 1?

#### 3.3 Part 3

Repeat part 2, but this time approximate the optimal value of  $\beta$  using the method described in lecture 15. (I.e., approximate  $F(\beta)$  and then minimize that function numerically.) Report your estimate along with a 95% confidence interval. How much did this reduce the error from part 1?

### 4 Problem 4

Consider the random variable

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$$

where  $X_i = c_i Y_i$  and the  $c_i$  are positive constants and  $Y_i \sim \text{Bern}(p_i)$  are independent (but not necessarily identically distributed). We want to estimate

$$\theta = \mathbb{P}[L > \ell]$$

We already did this in class when the  $c_i$  and  $p_i$  were all the same, but now we will look at a case where they vary with  $i$ . In particular, suppose that  $m = 10$  and

$$c_i = i + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad p_i = \frac{1}{i + 1}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, 10$  and  $\ell = 40$ .

In each part, you should implement your method with at least 100,000 samples.

#### 4.1 Part 1

Estimate  $\theta$  using direct Monte Carlo simulation. Report your estimate along with a 95% confidence interval. (You can use the code from class as a template, so this should be easy.)

#### 4.2 Part 2

Estimate  $\theta$  using exponential tilting in each of the  $X_i$ 's. Use the same value of  $\beta$  for each  $X_i$ . You should approximate the optimal value of  $\beta$  using either the approach from problem 1 or from lecture 15. (You can use the code from class as a template. The hard part of this problem is finding the optimal  $\beta$ .)

**Hint:** The moment generating function of a sum of random variables is the product of their moment generating functions.