

(a) First let's prove $D\Theta = \Theta D$, where $D = e^{-i\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{n}\phi/\hbar}$.

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta D \Theta^{-1} &= \Theta \left[\sum_k \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{-i\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{n}\phi}{\hbar} \right)^k \right] \Theta^{-1} \\ &= \sum_k \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{\Theta(-i\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{n}\phi)\Theta^{-1}}{\hbar} \right]^k \\ &= \sum_k \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{-(i\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{n}\phi)\Theta\Theta^{-1}}{\hbar} \right]^k \\ &= \sum_k \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{-i\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{n}\phi}{\hbar} \right)^k = D\end{aligned}$$

where in the third equal sign we used $\Theta i| \rangle = -i\Theta| \rangle$, and $\Theta \mathbf{J} = -\mathbf{J}\Theta$.

Now from the eigenequation

$$J_z \Theta|j, m\rangle = -\Theta J_z|j, m\rangle = -m\hbar \Theta|j, m\rangle$$

we see that $\Theta|j, m\rangle$ is the same as $|j, m\rangle$ up to a phase factor, i.e.,

$$\Theta|j, m\rangle = \eta_j^m |j, -m\rangle$$

Also observe that

$$\Theta J_+ = \Theta(J_x + iJ_y) = (-J_x + iJ_y)\Theta = -J_- \Theta$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta J_+|j, m\rangle &= \Theta \sqrt{(j-m)(j+m+1)}\hbar |j, m+1\rangle \\ &= \sqrt{(j-m)(j+m+1)}\hbar \Theta|j, m+1\rangle \\ &= \sqrt{(j-m)(j+m+1)}\hbar \eta_j^{m+1} |j, -m-1\rangle\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta J_+|j, m\rangle &= -J_- \Theta|j, m\rangle \\ &= -\eta_j^m J_-|j, -m\rangle \\ &= -\eta_j^m \sqrt{[j+(-m)][j-(-m)+1]}\hbar |j, -m-1\rangle\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

Comparing (1) and (2), we know

$$\eta_j^{m+1} = -\eta_j^m$$

or equivalently

$$\eta_j^m = e^{i\delta}(-1)^m$$

for some global phase factor $e^{i\delta}$ independent of m (which could be dependent of j).

(b)

$$\Theta D|j, m\rangle = D \Theta|j, m\rangle = e^{i\delta}(-1)^m D|j, -m\rangle$$

(c) First observe that the proof of $\Theta D \Theta^{-1} = D$ applies similarly to the relation $\Theta D^\dagger \Theta^{-1} = D^\dagger$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}D_{m'm}^{j*} &= \langle j, m'|D|j, m\rangle^* \\ &= \langle j, m|D^\dagger|j, m'\rangle \\ &= \langle j, m|\Theta D^\dagger \Theta^{-1}|j, m'\rangle\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

But Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Theta|j, -m'\rangle &= e^{i\delta}(-1)^{-m'}|j, m'\rangle && \implies \\
 e^{-i\delta}(-1)^{m'}\Theta|j, -m'\rangle &= \Theta e^{i\delta}(-1)^{m'}|j, -m'\rangle = |j, m'\rangle && \implies \\
 \Theta^{-1}|j, m'\rangle &= e^{i\delta}(-1)^{m'}|j, -m'\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

thus (3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{m'm}^{j*} &= \langle j, m | \Theta D^\dagger e^{i\delta}(-1)^{m'} | j, -m' \rangle \\
 (\Theta \text{ antiunitary}) \quad &= e^{-i\delta}(-1)^{m'} \langle j, m | \Theta D^\dagger | j, -m' \rangle \\
 &= e^{-i\delta}(-1)^{m'} \langle j, m | \Theta \sum_{m''} | j, m'' \rangle \langle j, m'' | D^\dagger | j, -m' \rangle \\
 (\Theta \text{ antiunitary}) \quad &= e^{-i\delta}(-1)^{m'} \sum_{m''} \langle j, m'' | D^\dagger | j, -m' \rangle^* \langle j, m | \Theta | j, m'' \rangle \\
 &= e^{-i\delta}(-1)^{m'} \sum_{m''} \langle j, -m' | D | j, m'' \rangle \langle j, m | e^{i\delta}(-1)^{m''} | j, -m'' \rangle \\
 (\text{only } m'' = -m \text{ survives the sum}) \quad &= e^{-i\delta}(-1)^{m'} \langle j, -m' | D | j, -m \rangle e^{i\delta}(-1)^{-m} \\
 &= (-1)^{m'-m} D_{-m', -m}^j \\
 (m - m' \text{ is integer}) \quad &= (-1)^{m-m'} D_{-m', -m}^j
 \end{aligned}$$

(d) Obvious, given (a), (c).