



IPv6-only IXPs are Coming?


Aleksi Suhonen
June 2025

A large, abstract red graphic is located in the bottom right corner of the slide. It consists of several rounded, overlapping shapes, resembling a stylized flame or a modern logo element. The color is a vibrant red.

What's This All About?


- RFC5549 specifies announcing IPv4 routes with IPv6 next-hop addresses using BGP
 - RFC8950 updates and replaces RFC5549
 - Allows networks to eliminate spending IPv4 address space on link networks
 - ARP replaced by IPv6 ND
 - Routers still need **one** IPv4 address on a loopback interface for ICMP errors (traceroute, PMTUD etc) and various other uses
- 
- A large, stylized red graphic in the bottom right corner of the slide, resembling a thick, curved brushstroke or a modern logo element.

But IXPs? Why?


- IXPs already have IPv4 addresses
 - RIPE even has a special address assignment policy for IXPs
 - Why bother rocking the boat?
- 

Renumbering an IXP Is Painful

- LINX renumbered from a /22 to a /21 on 2022-05-11
- Who here remembers that?



Renumbering an IXP Is Painful


- LINX renumbered from a /22 to a /21 on 2022-05-11
 - Who here remembers that?
 - LINX renumbered from a /23 to a /22 on 2012-06-11
 - Who here remembers that too?
- 
- A large, abstract red graphic is located in the bottom right corner of the slide. It has a thick, irregular shape with a white curved line near the bottom edge, resembling a stylized drop or a modern logo element.

Renumbering an IXP Is Painful

- LINX renumbered from a /22 to a /21 on 2022-05-11
- Who here remembers that?
- LINX renumbered from a /23 to a /22 on 2012-06-11
- Who here remembers that too?
- LINX renumbered from a /24 to a /23 in 1997
- Thanks to Moyaze Shivji for the above dates

Renumbering an IXP Is Painful

- France-IX renumbering took almost two years 2019-2021
 - Thanks to Radu-Adrian Feurdean for dates
- AMS-IX last renumbered (/22 -> /21) in 2014



Alternative: One Final “Renumbering” Event

- When the peering subnet becomes full, think about whether you really want to go through the pain of renumbering again and again...
- ... or switch to IPv6 next-hops, which aren't about to run out
- All members typically already have IPv6 addresses
- NIX (cz) is testing RFC8950 in their FENIX subnet
 - 6 members, 12 sessions mid February, 128 IPv4 prefixes affected
- TREX Tampere is also testing it

What About New IXPs?

- RIPE updated the IXP Address Space Assignment policy for IXPs:
 - Initial assignment is now /26
 - Multiple renumbering events needed even before you reach /24
- Or take a chance to never have to renumber at all?
- We are testing this IPv6-only approach at TRENDS Turku
 - A new IXP, not a lot of connected members yet...

RFC8950-ixp Working Group


- Euro-IX started a working group to map out the challenges and to work on best practices for adopting RFC8950 at IXPs
 - Chairmen: André Grüneberg (BCIX) and Aleksi Suhonen (TREX)
 - Members from DE-CIX, LINX, NIX.CZ, nic.cz, NetDEF, ...
- <https://github.com/euro-ix/rfc8950-ixp>
 - Pull requests accepted...
- There's also a mailing list and a mattermost chat channel

RFC8950 Challenges

- The original RFC5549 is from 2018
 - Initial implementations aimed mostly at VPN AFI/SAFIs
- Interoperability hadn't been tested much before this
- IBGP next-hop-self even more important now
- ICMP Unreachables unpredictable on some platforms
- Unintentional blackholing possible
- Looking-glass support near zero
 - Alice-Ig has RFC8950 support on their roadmap

Thank you!

Questions?



Junos Config Example 1/2

```
[edit interfaces irb unit 7]
description EXCHANGE_Turku;
family inet {
    filter {
        input spoof-protect-trex;
    }
}
family inet6 {
    filter {
        input 6spoof-protect-trex;
    }
    address 2001:7f8:1d:7::72f8:1/64;
}
```

- The family inet section has to exist for the router to process IPv4 traffic
- But it doesn't need an address.

Junos Config Example 2/2

```
[edit protocols bgp group peering-rfc8950]
type external;
export [ 6export_peering 4export_peering deny-all ];
import [ 4hygiene 6hygiene deny-tier1s 4import_peering 6import_peering deny-all ];
family inet {
    unicast {
        prefix-limit maximum 9999;
        extended-nextthop;
    }
}
family inet6 {
    unicast {
        prefix-limit maximum 999;
    }
}
```

Junos Show Route Example

```
axu@betty> show route 193.163.5.0 terse
```

```
inet.0: 975756 destinations, 1499988 routes (507404 active, 0 holddown, 728982 hidden)  
+ = Active Route, - = Last Active, * = Both
```

A	V	Destination	P	Prf	Metric 1	Metric 2	Next hop	AS path
*	V	193.163.5.0/24	B	170	300		>2001:7f8:1d:7:0:3:289b:2	207003 I
	V		B	170	300		>2001:7f8:1d:7:0:3:289b:1	207003 I
	V		B	170	100		>195.140.192.47	207003 I
	V		B	170	60	0	>195.140.192.13	6667 207003 I

```
axu@betty>
```