

Paradigm Free Mapping vs Total Activation

Eneko Uruñuela^{a,b}, César Caballero-Gaudes^a

^aBasque Center on Cognition, Brain and Language, Spain

^bUniversity of the Basque Country, Spain

Abstract

Here's where the fantastic abstract will go.

Keywords: deconvolution, paradigm free mapping, total activation

1. Introduction

- Talk about our motivation for this paper.
- We could mention iCAPs Neuron, and papers with applications like PFM, TA, clinical patient papers with iCAPs.
- Apart from [[Richard F. Betzel]]'s work [1, 2, 3], we could mention the connection with the [[Multiplication of Temporal Derivatives]] method [4, 5].
 - These are basically calculating the derivative, which is the same as applying a high-pass filter and calculating the correlation.

Here is a sample reference: [6].

2. Theory

- What is deconvolution and different formulations presented as a review.
- Analysis vs synthesis
 - TA paper but without the spatial regularization
 - PFM paper
 - In gitelman it's an \mathbf{H} multiplied by a fourier term.

2.1. Paradigm Free Mapping

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{y}} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{s}\|_2^2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\mathbf{s}\|_p \leq \alpha \quad (1)$$

2.2. Total Activation

3. Results

- Methods on how we're doing simulations and results (with simulations and experimental data)
 - Different SNRs and maybe even use CAPs
 - Selection of HRF explained if both use the same but it's different from what's used for simulating.
 - * What happens? For example with gamma for simulating.
 - Selection of regularization parameter
 - * Present with real data on a voxel

4. Discussion

- Pros and cons of each formulation: analysis vs synthesis
- Link with other approaches
- Finish with conclusions and a moving forward
 - We have to refine the deconvolution
 - HRF variability there are three: conference proceeding by Philippe, ISBI 2012 by césar, and Farouj with different formulation. Say conceptual differences among those.
 - Mention stability-selection
 - Debiasing
 - Connected to debiasing other deconvolution algorithms that are based on norm lower than 1.

References

References

- 50 [1] R. F. Betzel, L. Byrge, F. Z. Esfahlani, D. P. Kennedy, Temporal
fluctuations in the brain’s modular architecture during movie-
watching, *NeuroImage* (2020) 116687.
- [2] F. Z. Esfahlani, Y. Jo, J. Faskowitz, L. Byrge, D. Kennedy,
O. Sporns, R. Betzel, High-amplitude co-fluctuations in cortical
55 activity drive functional connectivity, *bioRxiv* (2020) 800045.
- [3] J. Faskowitz, F. Z. Esfahlani, Y. Jo, O. Sporns, R. F. Betzel,
Edge-centric functional network representations of human cere-
bral cortex reveal overlapping system-level architecture, Techni-
cal Report, Nature Publishing Group, 2020.
- 60 [4] J. M. Shine, O. Koyejo, P. T. Bell, K. J. Gorgolewski, M. Gilat,
R. A. Poldrack, Estimation of dynamic functional connectivity
using multiplication of temporal derivatives, *NeuroImage* 122
(2015) 399–407.
- [5] J. M. Shine, P. G. Bissett, P. T. Bell, O. Koyejo, J. H. Balsters,
65 K. J. Gorgolewski, C. A. Moodie, R. A. Poldrack, The dynamics
of functional brain networks: integrated network states during
cognitive task performance, *Neuron* 92 (2016) 544–554.
- [6] D. R. Gitelman, W. D. Penny, J. Ashburner, K. J. Friston, Mod-
70 eling regional and psychophysiologic interactions in fmri: the im-
portance of hemodynamic deconvolution, *Neuroimage* 19 (2003)
200–207.