

# Low-power Wireless ECG Monitoring System for Android Devices

Pablo Fernández  
Rafael de la Hoz  
Miguel Márquez

June 7, 2012



# Todo list

Correctly reference papers . . . . .	3
Write a conclusion here so it is not the saddest piece of text work ever.	3
Mover este párrafo (o similar) a su correspondiente hito . . . . .	11
Cite Android Dev Guide . . . . .	35
Actually reference something . . . . .	35
Explain here the package division (Activity + View + Data + Model Realizations) and place image? . . . . .	35
Remove this note? Leave this note? . . . . .	37
Rename model to FlowModel? . . . . .	37
reference to View in andev? . . . . .	38
Link to this process . . . . .	39
Reference DataParser . . . . .	40
Is it clear that there are two modes of operation? . . . . .	40
Bluetooth (TM)? . . . . .	42
Extra things here? (see source file) . . . . .	46



# Abstract

# Keywords

Pablo Fernández, Rafael de la Hoz and Miguel Márquez authorize Complutense University of Madrid to spread and use this report with academical and non-comercial purposes, provided that its authors shall be explicitly mentioned.

June 7, 2012

Pablo Fernández

Rafael de la Hoz

Miguel Márquez





# Acknowledgements



# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Project description . . . . .	1
1.2 Project driver . . . . .	4
1.3 State of the art . . . . .	4
1.4 Document overview . . . . .	8
<b>2 Hardware and communications</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	9
2.2 Overview . . . . .	10
2.3 Technology Research . . . . .	10
2.3.1 Google ADK & Arduino . . . . .	10
2.3.2 MSP430 . . . . .	11
2.3.3 Shimmer . . . . .	12
2.3.4 802.15.4 . . . . .	12
2.3.5 FreeRTOS . . . . .	12
2.3.6 USB device & USB host . . . . .	12
2.4 Description . . . . .	12
2.5 Milestones . . . . .	13
2.5.1 Arduino for Android USB Device Communication . . . . .	15
2.5.2 MSP430 for Android USB Host Communication . . . . .	16
2.5.3 USB in FreeRTOS . . . . .	18
2.5.4 802.15.4 in FreeRTOS . . . . .	19
2.5.5 802.15.4 & USB coexistence under FreeRTOS . . . . .	20

2.5.6	MSP430 based device design . . . . .	21
2.5.7	Final Validation and Release Candidate Version Developmentn . . . . .	21
2.6	Final Product . . . . .	21
<b>3</b>	<b>Software Development</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	23
3.2	Overview . . . . .	24
3.3	Requirements . . . . .	24
3.3.1	Functional Requirements . . . . .	25
3.3.2	Non-functional Requirements . . . . .	25
3.4	Risk Analysis . . . . .	26
3.5	Use Cases . . . . .	31
3.5.1	UC1. View data from Bluetooth . . . . .	31
3.5.2	UC2. View data from USB Receiver . . . . .	32
3.5.3	UC3. View data from log file . . . . .	33
3.5.4	UC4. Adjust view parameters . . . . .	34
3.6	Design and Architecture . . . . .	35
3.6.1	View Package . . . . .	38
3.6.2	Data Management Package . . . . .	38
3.6.3	Utilities Package . . . . .	41
3.6.4	Data Flow Model Realizations . . . . .	42
3.7	Implementation Details . . . . .	46
3.7.1	Project Scheduling . . . . .	46
3.7.2	Iteration 1 . . . . .	48
3.7.3	Iteration 2 . . . . .	50
3.7.4	Iteration 3 . . . . .	50
3.7.5	Iteration 4 . . . . .	50
3.7.6	Iteration 5 . . . . .	51
3.8	Closure . . . . .	51
<b>4</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>53</b>
4.1	Final state . . . . .	53
4.2	Potential additions and expansions . . . . .	53
4.3	Findings . . . . .	53
<b>A</b>	<b>Utilities and tools</b>	<b>57</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>59</b>

# List of Figures

3.1	Architecture overview . . . . .	36
3.2	Data-flow model realization . . . . .	37
3.3	DataManager interface . . . . .	39
3.4	DataSourceThread class . . . . .	40
3.5	DataHolder interface . . . . .	40
3.6	Bluetooth Model Realization . . . . .	43
3.7	File Reader Model Realization . . . . .	44
3.8	USB Model Realization . . . . .	45



# List of Tables





# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Project description

This project exposes the research conducted for the development of an USB 802.15.4 receiver device for Android based systems employed in a fully functional electrocardiogram monitoring system encompassed in the field of in-home healthcare, as well as the development process involved in the realization of this whole system.

Electrocardiogram (ECG) monitoring consists on the capture and interpretation of the activity of the heart [cit.needed] during a period of time, and continuous, remote ECG monitoring has become one of the main applications of wireless body sensor networks. Great interest has arisen recently among industrial and academic research parties in production of low-power, ambulatory ECG monitoring systems.

In order to maximize portability, such systems have started to employ the widely available smartphone devices as frontends, reducing the amount of extra devices carried by the user to just the ECG capturing node. In 2011 the École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne presented a real-time personal ECG monitoring system which displayed data in an iPhone via Bluetooth.

Being inspired by the results obtained by the aforementioned project, this certain endeavour seeks taking the inherently good low cost and low power aspects of that to the next level by the employment of a less energy requiring wireless personal area network protocol, namely IEEE 802.15.4, and the more accessible Android based platforms.

The system provides the user with a visual representation of his/her ECG wave highlighting relevant points of this in order to simplify its comprehension. Information about heart-beat-rate is also displayed. All this data is stored in realtime in a transparent to the user manner for later visualization with emphasis put in easy handling of the generated files.

This functionality is provided by the three devices that are part of the system: the ECG delineation node, the USB 802.15.4 receiver and the Android device through the front-end application. The ECG delineation node is connected to the body sensor network and is responsible for capturing and analyzing the electrocardiogram wave, as well as encoding and wirelessly sending the data. The USB 802.15.4 receiver is plugged to the Android system and manages data reception following the aforementioned protocol. Finally the Android based application is the real-time data decoder and acts as the frontend to the user, managing connections and storing and displaying received data.

Development of the Android application, realization of the USB 802.15.4 receiver device, employment of an already-existant ECG delineation node and successful intercommunication between all these elements are the project goals.

As stated before the Android application is the front-end with which the user interacts with the whole system. It is designed following Android common practices as the objective is for it to be of simple use with a smooth, if not nil, learning curve. The decision to develop the application for Android platforms was made according to three main reasons:

First, the fact that Android has been in the top three of the most used mobile operating systems rankings since 2010 [quote!] added to the recent growth of the availability of smartphone devices [quotequote] makes targeting the Android platform a must when the objective is making the system reachable for as most people as possible.

Second, and following the same line of reasoning, the lower cost, in general terms, of Android supporting devices, or at least the wide range of prices of these, specially when compared to other operating systems supporting devices such as Apple's line of iOS devices [average price w/quote here] is to be taken into account.

And finally the comfortable, high-level development environment available for Android application production [quote] provides enough facilities for any-

one interested in expanding or contributing code to Android part the project to do so in an easy way. Moreover, this environment is delivered free of charge and is of an open-source nature and multiplatform [quote], whereas iOS platform development kits usage requires at least the ownership of an specific machine and operating system [quote].

Regarding the ECG delineation node, it has been mentioned that the objective of this project is the employment of an already existing one. That is so because both the delineator node and the body sensor network that captures the data are complex systems and the development of them would be the scope of a full project. Specifically the delineator node obtained in the aforementioned EPFL project [quote], with modifications from a collaboration between Complutense University of Madrid (UCM) and the EPFL [quote], was applied.

This node was originally developed as a ultra-lowpower ECG delineator with the ability to wirelessly send data through Bluetooth protocol [quote]. The collaboration between UCM and EPFL, among other things, provided the node with functionality to send data using IEEE 802.15.4, but only at the level required to do some measurement and estimations [quote]. Actual 802.15.4 utilization was yet to be a reality, but it was an excellent starting point towards the achievement of the current project objectives.

The key part of the system and the most important risk involving objective of the project is the 802.15.4 USB receiver device for Android platforms. It is a necessity as generally Android devices have no support for low cost wireless communication protocols such as 802.15.4, while providing other higher-cost protocols such as Bluetooth or WiFi. Development of an Android accesory providing the required functionality is, then, a must, as the most battery and time consuming operation in the delineator node is the utilization of the Bluetooth stack, as previously mentioned researchs [1] and [2] concluded.

Other projects exist with similar objectives in mind, even if they are not targeted at the field of biometrics and personal monitoring. The reason for not following or employing those is twofold: first, at the time of the beginning of the project those initiatives were either unfinished or stalled, furthermore they were isolated, generally single-man projects with no official backend or guarantees of conclusion. Second, following the line of achieving a low cost, low sized device the Android system is to act as the host device in the USB communication, thus removing the size-increasing battery requirements for the receiver as the host role provides the power in such communications.

Correctly reference papers

Write a conclusion here so it is not the saddest piece of text work ever.

## 1.2 Project driver

The main motivation for developing this project was the fact that it meant the gathering of almost every branch of this career. From its very beginning, this work involved both software and hardware development, researching on unknown tools and platforms as well as high and low-level design and programming.

Besides, if successful, it would be likely it could become a real product and be useful both in a professional and particular scope, which added a practical end for the work to be carried out. Something like this could be made thanks to the special features –such as less power consumption and required investment– 802.15.4-compliant technologies provide which wider spread ones lack (Bluetooth, for instance). It is also expected that technologies based on IEEE 802.15.4 specification like ZigBee will increase their importance in the medium term due to their potential applications in domotics (home automation).

In addition, not only developing applications for portable devices but accessories are mainstream nowadays; thus, getting in touch with these activities could provide us with extra experience at leading edge practices, what would broaden our areas of expertise and, consequently, increment our chances to access the labour market.

However, certain areas of the project would mean working on unprecedented techniques –as it will be detailed later on within this section– and dealing with tools which were unknown for us at that moment. Hitches like these could lead to the unfulfillment of the project, yet they could also add extra value to it if they were overcome.

## 1.3 State of the art

- *EPFL Project*

As a precedent of the present project, the École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) – represented by its Embedded Systems Laboratory (ESL)–, along with the Complutense University of Madrid (UCM),

developed a wireless ECG monitoring system [1], similar to the one has been built up during this project.

Just as ours, this system also used Shimmer<sup>TM</sup> as monitoring, transmitter platform; and the obtained data could be displayed in portable computing devices. Nonetheless, the list of similarities ends there. The application responsible for rendering the ECG waves was meant to be used over iOS devices, particularly iPhone. This fact led to several additional restrictions, such as mandatory usage of Bluetooth as wireless transmission method as well as the need of “jailbreaking” the device itself. This process allows the user to gain root access to the OS, and it was needed in order that an explicitly installed Bluetooth stack allowed the device to receive the emitted data properly. However, according to the manufacturer [2], jailbreaking the device nulls its warranty. Therefore, the employment of Android in our project is partially motivated by this sort of limitations other platforms usually impose.

Our project collaborates with EPFL, from whom we have received feedback as well as hardware and software requirements. In fact, the aforementioned iOS application \*settled most of the requirements for the Android one in our project, although there were added some extra ones –such as making logs from received data so they can be read again later–.

- *IEEE 802.15.4*

This standard describes the physical and Media Access Control (MAC) layers for low-rate wireless personal area networks. It is intended to be implemented into embedded devices, so as to build up short-range networks –10 meters, typically– with narrow bandwidth, up to 250kbps, among other possibilities with lower transfer rates. It is a relatively new standard since its first version was approved in 2003, although the following revision was employed during this development, which was approved in 2006 [3]

802.15.4 is specially suitable for this kind of project due to its low power consumption. In fact, ZigBee, which uses this standard as its low-level layer, presents a series of advantages over Bluetooth, underlying technology of the previously mentioned EPFL project:

- **Lower power consumption:** without going into detail, it can be stated that Bluetooth requires more power than ZigBee does. For example, Bluetooth is constantly emitting information, consequently consuming power, while 802.15.4 allows to enable the

radio only when it is needed. These statements are properly justified and explained at subsection 2.3.4, 802.15.4.

- **Bandwidth:** Bluetooth offers much wider bandwidth, up to 3Mbps, meanwhile ZigBee only offers up to 250kbps. This, however, is not a relevant disadvantage because our needs are not so high.
- **Host number:** ZigBee allows to build networks with up to 65535 hosts, subnetworks with 255 hosts. On the other hand, Bluetooth can only support as much as 8 hosts within a network.

ZigBee, though, is not employed as a whole within this project, but 802.15.4 standard as its basis. Nevertheless, its advantages over Bluetooth remains the same, with the additional one that it does not compromise soft real-time developments as the complete stack does.

- *Android Accessory Development*

As of May, 2011, there were no easy nor official methods to develop accessories capable of communicating with Android running devices. At that certain time, the release of the Android Open Accessory Development Kit (also known as “ADK”) [4] was announced in San Francisco, within the context of Google I/O, developers conference arranged by Google [5].

ADK consists of an USB microcontroller board based on Arduino (Arduino Mega2560 to be precise) and a series of software libraries which add specific functionalities and support for other hardware add-ons, typically known as *shields*, that equip the accessory with sensors or interactive elements which broaden its capabilities. Shields are plugged to the board through its numerous input/output pins, which also allow the connection of personally crafted hardware additions –allowing that way to create tailored behaviours, following the Arduino’s “Do It Yourself” (DIY) spirit.

With the release of that kit, Android project opened itself to the development of all kind of new accessories which would add potential and functionalities it lacked.

As well as this kit, the following release of Android 3.1 API version completed the accessory ecosystem with the inclusion of directly supported host and device USB modes –this support was also backported to Android v2.3.4; only the device mode, though–. By doing so, Google completely cleared the way for the development of Android-compatible accessories, which was previously reduced to the underlying, quite complete but not enough, Linux kernel driver support.

- *ZigBee dongles*

In spite of the fact that there were available devices which implemented the complete ZigBee stack at the time of the start of this project, they were only designed for being connected to personal computers, some models with OS restrictions as well. A typical model of this sort is presented in [6]

Moreover, even if they were to be compatible with our target device, the only available dongles fully implemented the ZigBee stack, which also made them unsuitable for this project due to the relatively high latency that fact imposed –as it can be read at subsection 2.3.4, 802.15.4, soft-realtime needs required the usage of the 802.15.4 MAC layer on its own–.

- *Communication with Android using ZigBee*

One year ago, around mid-2011, there were very few projects which were working on this sort of communication, between Android and 802.15.4 radio-equipped devices. Concretely, the only project of this kind we were aware of was one from Texas Instruments, which was stated to be pioneer in this field (as it can be seen in [7]). Despite that, several differences stands between this project and ours. For instance:

- **USB communication:** Texas Instruments developed its own Android driver, namely “virtual COM port”, so they could directly connect their ZigBee Network Processor (ZNP) to the Android device through USB. Instead, Android USB host mode is used in this project in order to establish the connection between the receiver and the device. Because of using this method, USB communication between MSP430 microcontroller and the Android device has to be specifically implemented and tuned.
- **Android platform:** TI’s project employed Android 2.2, while we are using version 3.1 in ours, due to the aforementioned need of USB host mode support this API provides. In addition, received data is used by our Android application, while TI employed ZigBee communication for less specific ends, such as controlling other devices like PCs, lamps or obtaining data from certain sensors.
- **Wireless communication:** in order that the ECG delineation could be done in real-time (namely soft real-time, as it will be explained later), this project does not use the complete ZigBee stack, but its 802.15.4 MAC layer. This restriction requires the

radio—in particular, TI CC2420 radio module—has to be programmed specifically. Meanwhile, Texas Instruments made use of its TI CC2530 system on chip (SoC), which fully implemented ZigBee stack.

- **USB dongle:** as it was mentioned before, TI directly plugged their ZNP to an OMAP4 Blaze thanks to their driver. However, so that the same result could be obtained, we had to connect the radio, CC2420 module, to the MSP430 board—\*nombre de la placa—, which was equipped with a USB interface. Furthermore, miniaturisation of this board was designed afterwards to make it an usable dongle.

In other words, Texas Instruments’ project was actually able to build up working communications of this kind by the time ours was starting; all the same, special requirements like real time needs entail additional challenges for this project to overcome.

## 1.4 Document overview

Over the following pages, this document will present the details about the project it refers to. Beginning with a thorough explanation about the employed hardware and the work it required, which can be found at the “Hardware and communications” chapter; next, a deep description and analysis of the Android application’s design and implementation; and, finally, an exposition of the obtained results, potential expansions and findings.



# Chapter 2

## Hardware and communications

### 2.1 Introduction

The hardware research and development part of the project objective is covering a main need: production of an external device that enables communication between an Android system and a \*shimmer through 802.15.4. Such a device should be a portable and low-powered device that can be plugged via the widely used USB On-The-Go (USB OTG) to a host Android system, acting the device as a slave.

It has to be small sized because of the target application environment: a particular who requires constant, in-home, ambulatory monitorization. In that scenario unobtrusive operation is a main need, and usual life style activity modification is to be minimized. And it has to be low-powered, because were the power cost of application higher than that of the Bluetooth technology, a main advantage of 802.15.4 is lost.

The ability to communicate through USB is required because, at the time, it is the most low battery consuming method to interact with Android powered platforms for any external device[quote here wlan and bt costs].

And finally it should be able to act as the slave in the USB connection to avoid the need of an extra power source for the device that would increase the cost and size of the product.

In order to achieve such goals, and being aware of the substancial amount of research involved in this part of the project, the decision is made to adopt a milestone driven development which simplified scheduling and helped fo-

cusing on specific tasks while maintaining a global view of the evolution and the objectives of the project.

## **2.2 Overview**

Before diving any further into the development a section describing technologies involved in the research process is presented, as such information will be key to the understanding of the rest of the chapter and will be throughoutly referenced during the exposition.

Then a description of the hardware research and development process is given, followed by detailed explanation of each projected milestone, including objectives pursued, lines of research developed, results of each one and conclusions obtained. Estimation based decision making being crucial for the correct outcome of the project, special care will be put to explain the motivations for each decision made. The chapter concludes with an exposition of the results of the research and subsequent development.

## **2.3 Technology Research**

This part of the project involves a lot of terms and references a number of technologies and concepts which are important to be acquainted with in order to achieve a full understanding of the current chapter. These explanations will not be presented interlaced with the rest of the sections due to the unmanageable size they would acquire leading to a loss of focus which can only act against full comprehension of the exposed content.

### **2.3.1 Google ADK & Arduino**

Android Open Accessory Development Kit, referred as “Google ADK” or “ADK” for now on, is a tool set which allows the development of accessories capable of interacting with Android-running devices. It consists of an Arduino board (particularly MegaADK, which is based on the ATmega2560 board) and a series of libraries for interacting with an optional set of hardware add-ons (“shields”). There are other compatible kits with different boards, like NXP-based mbed [8], yet Arduino MegaADK is the one used here.

The Google ADK functioning is actually very simple. Regarding the software, it needs of the developing of both an Android application with accessory communication and the board's firmware, which models the behaviour of the accessory. Once the board is flashed with its firmware, it has to be connected to a power source (through a dedicated wire or Type-B USB) and the Android device. If everything is correct, the latter detects the former and, therefore, the accessory starts its operation.

Typically, the capabilities of the Arduino board are broaden with the addition of extra shields, so that way it can make use of external sensors and agents. The board has multiple input and output pins at the developer's disposal as well, so unforeseen behaviours can be achieved.

Although Google refers its ADK as an accessory developing platform, it is used in this project as a prototyping tool, as it is explained at subsection 2.5.1, Arduino for Android USB Device Communication.

Mover este párrafo (o similar) a su correspondiente hito

### 2.3.2 MSP430

MSP430 refers to an entire family of 16-bit CPU microcontrollers from Texas Instruments [9]. Its most relevant features are:

- **Very low power consumption:** its several low-power modes make the MSP430 family specially suitable for developing embedded systems. Moreover, its brief wakeup transitions from this operating modes are also noteworthy, since these lapses stay below the  $\mu s$  barrier.
- **RISC-based Architecture:** its instruction set is particularly narrow [10], and thus simple. Reduced instruction sets ease programming when compared to complex ones, yet this advantage is not too decisive because of the reason presented next.
- **Simple programming:** Most family members are programmable through JTAG, which makes the debugging process less difficult along with the possibility of swapping assembly for C.
- **Wide support and resources:** Texas Instruments provides code examples, software IDEs and thorough documentation as well as it offers extra developing tools like training boards.

However, it also suffers from some lacks. For instance, MSP430 devices are not equipped with external memory bus, what makes flash memory and RAM extensions impossible. In particular, the MSP430F66xx family, which

the device used within this project belongs to, is provided with only 128-256KB of flash storage and 16KB of RAM –with an extra of 2KB whenever it is not using USB [11, p. 2]–. This amount of RAM may be too limited for certain usages (not for this project’s particular requirements, though).

### **2.3.3 Shimmer**

### **2.3.4 802.15.4**

### **2.3.5 FreeRTOS**

### **2.3.6 USB device & USB host**

## **2.4 Description**

The hardware related investigation is the main section of the research part of the project. Not that there wasn’t any research involved in other areas, but some critical elements of this part had never been researched before. At the beginning of the project specific objectives were established and main milestones elected, but absolutely no clue or direction for most of those milestones was available. Moreover, in some cases the feasibility of the proposed goals was unknown. Specifically the achievement of the very important objective of USB communication between an [TI’s] MSP430 and an Android powered device assuming the latter the role of master and acting the former as a slave was something not done before and therefore no information was available even in the Texas Instrument support site.

This whole development and research poses some quite interesting challenges as it involves working nearly at every abstraction layer present on device development, ranging from schematic capture and PCB design to operating system related development. The main issues to be resolved are:

- **802.15.4 radio reception:** data packets are emitted from the monitoring Shimmer<sup>TM</sup> and are to be received and dealt by the accessory. In order to do so, FreeRTOS has to be equipped with a working implementation of the radio stack, which involves implementing part of ZigBee’s MAC layer, described by IEEE 802.15.4, as it is the underlying standard which the emitter nodes are based on.
- **MSP430 USB handling:** just as the radio stack, FreeRTOS is not prepared to make use of the USB interface with which the MSP430 board –namely TS430PZ100USB– is equipped. Thus, it is necessary to

add the essential code in order to incorporate this functionality to the OS. This issue, in the same way as the previous one, is a critical part for the system to work properly since it may likely be a source of errors unless it is perfectly made—for instance, it could add transmission lags, packet corruption or loss—.

- **MSP430-Android communication:** this issue is particularly relevant as no project has ever claimed to feature this kind of connection before. Hence, it means an additional challenge to be overcome since it will involve the modification or complete development of a specific driver for the MSP430-equipped device. This challenge is imposed by choosing the USB host alternative so that the Android device powers the accessory. On the other hand, USB device may ease this issue due to the already resolved communication system with accessories made by Google, yet it implies the accessory will need an additional power source. Fortunately, it finally turned out not to be so tough as it was expected and the goals related to this issue were successfully fulfilled, as it can be read at subsection 2.5.2, MSP430 for Android USB Host Communication.

Over the following lines within the next section, the aforementioned challenges are detailed in the context of their own development stages. In addition, objectives and results of each one of these stages are also presented.

## 2.5 Milestones

Due to the fair amount of time a essentially researching work like this hardware development will require, the foreseen schedule is subject to changes which may completely alter it regardless of how irrelevant they may seem, and thus avoid the success of the project.

Keeping this risk in mind, the hardware development was planned as a sequence of milestones, ordered by increasing complexity. Every milestone introduces a new technology, each one of them capable of covering the needs of the project in a more complete way. In other words, the previously presented issues are to be resolved as these stages are overcome.

The schedule, then, starts with the usage of the Google Open Accessory Development Kit, based on Arduino, in order to make a prototype as first approach and, in this way, be able to parallelize software and hardware development—notice that both of them depend on each other—. This choice

is made because of the well-known soft learning curve Arduino presents as well as the already prepared connection the Google ADK provides between accessories and Android devices.

The next step in the development, once the application has been proven to work with a data-providing accessory, consists of making Android capable of detecting and communicate with an MSP430-equipped device. This stage can be qualified as very critical, since almost every part of the development depends on it.

The following milestone arises as a consequence of the FreeRTOS port which was specified before: its target device, namely MSP430F5438A, does not support USB communication, and by extension the port itself. Thus, the work for this stage consists of providing FreeRTOS with USB support, which is to be employed with the new target device, MSP430F6638, which is member of the MSP430F66xx with highest performance, along with USB support.

Next, the planning set the development of the communication between the emitter nodes and the device. The previously existing FreeRTOS port already supports the IEEE 802.15.4 standard MAC layer, so the work in this milestone involves needed modifications in order to get the port work properly with the new platform MSP430F6638. Although the first versions of the schedule considered this next step at the same time of the previous one, in later revisions it was decided to keep them separated for the sake of stability, derived from isolating both developments.

Due to the division between the two previous stages, both developments, USB and radio stacks, are to be done separately. In order to resolve the likely communication problems this decision can cause, the next iteration the planning considers is reserved for validating and fixing their coexistence may provoke.

Finally, the schedule adds two extra stages: the first, which may be dropped due to eventualities, considers the design of a miniaturized version of the receiver device so as to dispense with the TS430PZ100USB board, which is rather big and little portable. The second one is essential as it is reserved for possible needed fixings and refinements.

All these stages are now detailed over the following subsections.

### 2.5.1 Arduino for Android USB Device Communication

This first milestone's objectives are:

- Acquire a suitable Android device prototyping environment,
- manage correct communication between Android and a prototype device, and
- develop an application emulating desired behaviour.

The process involved in the procurement of each objective is exposed next.

1. Acquire a suitable Android device prototyping environment Initial research on the subject of Android device prototyping

When we decide to develop a usb device the first step is look for a device tha provide the USB host libraries to connect to android, because the USB host device is which implements most of communication, thanks to this we can focus our efforts in just try a communication with android with not so much worries, the device selected to this end was the goole ADK, that is based on arduino(link).ADK is a device developed by google to help android developers to make his first prototypes of USB connected devices. The google ADK is an Arduino with all the facilities that a developer can expect such as libraries, some shields, examples and a good documentation, in our case we are specialy interested in the USB host communication libraries.

2. Manage correct communication between Android and a prototype device

When we reciebe our google ADK(link to an image?) we investigate documentacion and we start to develop a small arduino aplication based on the expamples, paraelly we develop the android USB device part(link) that we be needed to test this part of communication. The initial develop, just to make the first test was a little long, because even been the main parts of the implementation os USB communication made we need to learn how to use it. Our first attempts was no very productives because arduino was a new develop platform but especially because this was our first try with android USB communication.

3. Develop an application emulating desired behaviour

Several days later we achieve to Andorid and ADK view each other, but in our definitive test we discover that the communication was not correct because what anyones send was not recibed equally by the other one. But finally we discover what was going wrong and fix it to view how our first milestone has been ahieved.

Once finished we discover the huge importance of plan this first milestone, that will not be used in then final device, but it was very usefull to train the team about USB comunication in android. Conidering than this milestone was not as easy as we expect, if we had start the research in the second milestone which investigation is actually used in the device, we probably found a lot of troubles that wasn't trully related with the investigation and it would be higly sealed.

### **2.5.2 MSP430 for Android USB Host Communication**

This milestone's objectives are:

- Supply MSP430 with USB protocol application functionality,
- research Android USB protocol related functionality,
- get Android system to recognize MSP430 as a plugged device, and
- manage communication between Android and MSP430 via USB.

Unlike the arduino's part where we know what we have to use and how we wil use it, now we found that we just have an Texas Instruments(TI) API to comunicate the MSP430 with windows and our goal. Both MSP430 microcontroller and MSP430 board was new devices because all the existing ones have no USB port, the microcontroller is a MSP430 6638 and the board is a TS430PZ100USB(link to the MSP430 section).

This API contains any simple aplications for windows, to comunicate by certain protocols with external devices or enumeration tools; an extense documentation about the API and about the USB characteristics of its devices; and a huge suite of examples that implements a lot of USB protocols to communicate the MSP430 whith windows such as Communications Device Class (CDC), Personal Healthcare Device Class (PHDC), Human Interface Device (HID) in traditional and datatype implementations, Mass Storage Class (MSC) and combinations of any of them. At this point we have an immense



amount of information and we don't really know what can be useful for us.

Initially in order to test the TI API we check some of the multiple examples, in our case was the CDC examples that be useful to learn about basic concepts of what we try to do. Also we try to read part of the generic(not dependant of the MSP430 device nor USB protocol)documentation that TI provides. And it didn't start too good, the first test with a example provide in the API didn't work in windows, its target SO.

After a good time of investigation we found that it can't initialize a certain clock, this clock don't seems to be in the board and we there are not much references about it. Finally we found a little paragraph in one API document that metion the chance of a USB needed clock was not included in any kind of boards and a recomendation of how should be this clock, when we buy a clock of this features we can check that this was the problems, the TI example finally works.

All this tetst was carried out in a virtual machine with windows usually running over other windows, but in a casual situation when this virtual machine was running over ubuntu we discover that this examples not only don't work in ubuntu, somthing that we assume, but in addition ubuntu can't even detect our MSP430, this fact worry us because android is an UNIX based SO just like ubuntu.

When we try to connect our MSP430 running one of this examples mentioned before we obvsrve that, as we expect, android also can't detect it. Initially we think our only possible solution to this important trouble was make or found a unix driver, then we investigate that way.

After much searching we see that there are no drivers for unix made by anyone, the closest driver we find was a MAC driver(that we are not sure that it going to work) and assuming that we will need to do a driver based on it, we consult some cualified personal in this area that said us to forget the idea of a generic UNIX driver and focus in an android driver, but also recommend that keep researching other ways to communicate android and MSP in order to avoid the develop a driver for MSP430 wich can be a very difficult work. This advice and the fact that there are no warraties of the develop of a driver was succesfull, we decide to leave the driver idea and follow investigating. That was a very risky decision because we have spendend a lot of time in this way and we don't know if we will find other idea to ahieve this hard milestone.

After a few days of unsuccesfull days of investigation, we find in a forum a small mention in a comment about android actually implements HID pro-

TOCOL. This protocol was also supported by the MSP430 API, and although the information was found in a not very condiable place, we find it enough to put the full team to work in this, ones made the android USB host(link) and others find a HID application into the API to load into the MSP430. The second objective was attempted first, with this we can check that our android device finally detect our MSP430.

That great news helps the android development team, than in this moment becomes the full team, to succesfully imlements the android USB host communication in our application in just a weekend. Android USB host communication was higly dependant of what device was in the other side of the communication, thus everybody was needed in this hard and delicated part of the develop to investigate the high cuantity of manuals contained in the API in orther to find and implement all this particularities. Finally we luckily discover that now, our android and MSP430 can also comunicate each other trough our application.

That was with no doubts the harder and more dangerous part of the research, there was a lot of chances to do not achieve our goal and the hard work of all the team was essential. This milestone suppose a very important fact not only in hardware part but in all the project because now, we can more accurately schedule all of the project.

### **2.5.3 USB in FreeRTOS**

This milestone's objectives are:

- Validate the use of FreeRTOS in the new tarject MSP430
- Validate USB API utilization viability in conjunction with FreeRTOS in MSP430,
- correctly integrate USB API into FreeRTOS, and
- manage USB data sending in FreeRTOS.

Before to start the real objective of this milestone we need to adapt the FreeRTOS main functionalities to the new MSP430, that been a new device was not actually supported by. This mind the creation of a good number of new clases, most of them was excatly equal to their homonimes for the MSP430 5438A but other needs some little modifications.

The next need in the milestone was port as soon as possible the TI USB API to a task-based SO like FreeRTOS without taking too much care about its correction. The introduction into the FreeRTOS was pretty problematic because the size of just the API was near to the size of the FreeRTOS. Plus, there are a very important risk, USB uses a important number of resources as pines or clock that can be also used by the FreeRTOS to another task, specially risky was the clock because both need a clock, but the selected board have 2 clock spots, and taking care in the port all this themes could resolved and everything works fine.

Once it's done our preoccupation was how to order all this files in a correct way, because our free RTOS was a multiplatform SO that must work in MSP430 5438A, Shimmer, and now, the new MSP430 6638 where take place this developpe. In the first port where the multiplatform ability of FreeRTOS was not a problem we lost this funcionality. This separation of tasks help pretty much in focus the first steps in this milestone. This new functionality have to be included just in the supported platform, the MSP430 6638, without affect the other ones as before. Using the preciding generalization needed to cohexists Shimmer and MSP430 5438A as a guide this port was not too traumatic.

#### **2.5.4 802.15.4 in FreeRTOS**

This milestone's objectives are:

- Validate current implementation of 802.15.4 in FreeRTOS in testing MSP430,
- manage connection to the CC2420 radio module to target MSP430 device,
- port implementation of 802.15.4 to target MSP430 device, and
- prepare such implementation for actual usage.

At the begining of this milestone there are a port of the needed part of the MAC layer of the 802.15.4, that have been tested in just certain conditions, like send of medium lenght packets.

As we are not sure of the right working of MAC layer in our system we decide to test it with the old board and microchip that provides serial port output that is extreamly usefull in the debug of a real-time system like this. This result to not works for a certain problems as although it's able to recibe

802.15.4 packets it's not programmed to it, and the max size packets wasn't received correctly.

Once the right working of the MAC layer is tested, it's time to port it to the new board and microchip, that implies a lot of troubles because the TS430PZ100USB have no connection to a CC2420 radio module. This means that a full study of the 100 available pins to discover which ones are actually unused by both the SO and USB communication. The radio module also needs a particular kind of pins in some cases that there are not very abundant. With this study and the mapping of pins made (mencionamos a carlos?) board and radio module is sent to be welded.

While the board is available, we addressed the programming the pin mapping for the MSP430F6638 into its class in the FreeRTOS using as base the MSP430F5438A pin mapping class. This is a particularly delicate code and was carefully developed, because if just one thing is not perfect the radio simply didn't work at all and the potential error will be hard to discover.

With both, board and coding finished the radio was tested and it didn't even turn on. The answer to this trouble was found in a code example provided by TI for the MSP430F6638, specifically in the *Universal Asynchronous Receiver/Transmitter(USART) initialization code* that resulted to differ slightly from the old MSP430 USART initialization.

Finally some small changes were done in order to adapt it to our project needs. With this a fully functional MAC layer working on the MSP430F6638 was achieved and just the potential coexistence with the USB was on the air.

### 2.5.5 802.15.4 & USB coexistence under FreeRTOS

This milestone's objectives are:

- Assess conflict-free coexistence of current implementation of both USB and 802.15.4 modules in MSP430, and
- manage sending data received from 802.15.4 via USB.

With both USB and 802.15.4 communication working separately we need to test that they can work together. There are 2 main risks; hardware, because any pins used in 802.15.4 can be used also in USB and software, because the time between a radio interruption and the next radio interruption could

be too short to send the data through USB.

The hardware risk was addressed much before the beginning of this milestone, and when we made the pin mapping we kept in mind this risk, thanks to that, this risk was avoided.

However the software risk was initially not avoided because the Shimmer sends data packets too fast and MSP430 can't manage this amount of information to send it through USB and some packets were lost. A little adjustment was necessary, the packets sent were concentrated in the start of the available time slots then, we spaced them, sending the same number of packets but with the same time between packet and packet, filling the whole available time slots.

Now, our system was finally able to send through USB the packets received in radio with no losses. This achievement was very important before development and testing the final application with several real-time restrictions.

### **2.5.6 MSP430 based device design**

- Board exhaustive analysis,
- Capture of the schematic, and
- PCB design and routing.

### **2.5.7 Final Validation and Release Candidate Version Development**

- Final validation of final applications with prototyping hardware
- Final validation of final applications with final hardware

## **2.6 Final Product**



# Chapter 3

## Software Development

### 3.1 Introduction

The development of a software application targeted at Android Operating System for mobile devices is the counter-part to the hardware research part of the project. This application was to substitute the already developed one for iOS devices, adding functionality extracted from feedback obtained from actual medical staff [!][Fran and EPFL\[!\]](#). The software must provide functionality to visualize ECG data from Bluetooth or 802.15.4 sources (the latter obtained via [!][our receiver node\[!\]](#)) in realtime, as well as to save that data into file logs for afterwards reading.

Android as a development platform provides a wide set of high abstraction level tools to emphasize robust and reusable design for low resource based, quick development cycles. Such benefits require the adequation of the software design and architecture to the constraints imposed by the Android development framework.

Given that none of the project team members had received any instruction on this framework, engaging the development of an Android application implied an important risk. Moreover, after the research and training steps concluded, follow up of that risk was not halted, as the quick, robust software development is only assured when building an standard Android application; dynamic, soft real-time functionality implementation is not discouraged, but also not guaranteed to work. Mobile devices development restrictions and common practices were also unknown to the team.

Even when the aforementioned eased development features are applicable,

mobile devices are harsh software environments due to, amongst others, memory and battery constraints, where processes have to handle being suspended by an incoming call or similar external events. These factors are specially critical for an application as the one developed in this project, which needs to continually parse and log data.

The application was also intended to act as a quick testing front-end for the prototypes produced by the parallel-conducted hardware research. By providing fully-functional application modules since early stages of development, hardware prototypes could be best-case and worst-case checked by directly connecting them to the Android device for data visualization. Visual verification proved to be a very effective method when working with large quantities of data which were more easily checked against their visual representation than value-by-value reading.

These factors lead to the adoption of an agile software development process focusing on functionality building while prototyping more high risk involving features. To avoid typical drawbacks of such methodologies, great emphasis was put on the application of characteristics found in *Iterative and Incremental processes*, namely, use case driven and risk focused development. That way, project scheduling was done addressing higher risks first while assuring expected functionality to be implemented on time thanks to the use case model.

## 3.2 Overview

In the following sections a complete view of the software development project will be presented, beginning with the requirements captured for the project. The use case scenarios identified from those requisites will be detailed next, followed by an explanation of the system architecture ?via 4+1 view model?. Then implementation details will be exposed and the chapter will finish with a short conclusion.

## 3.3 Requirements

The requirement capture process for the project considered three main stakeholders: the project masters (¿Nombres?), the EPFL[\*] and the project team members; and was done in two sessions. The first one produced the basic



requirement list which described the system and was used to schedule the earlier development iterations. This was so because of the strong time restrictions this software development project had to cope with. When the critical functionality was achieved and the hardware research was in a suitable state, the second requirements capture session was conducted. By then, the +EPFL representative (Fran) [Murcia Hospital representative?] had shown the state of the development to the stakeholder party of him, and collected feedback. Thus, the requirements produced by this second session were of a more user-oriented nature.

The functional and non-functional requirement lists are presented next.

### **3.3.1 Functional Requirements**

- R01 - Receive raw data via Bluetooth
- R02 - Receive raw data via 802.15.4
- R03 - Receive raw data from a log file
- R04 - Parse raw data into processed data
- R05 - Display processed data
- R06 - Log raw data
- R07 - Log processed data
- R08 - Scale View Vertically
- R09 - Scroll View Vertically
- R10 - Scroll View Horizontally

### **3.3.2 Non-functional Requirements**

The following non-functional requirements are identified:

- The application must display ECG data at 30fps.
- The application must run on a Motorola Xoom device.

### 3.4 Risk Analysis

Being the project mainly a hardware research project, and considering the software development part of it useless without successful results on the hardware part, a detailed process of risk analysis was mandatory to be conducted since the earlier stages of planning and development so as to avoid wasting manpower on futile work.

The risk list at the end of the project is as follows:

- **PR1.** Application functionality inferior to that featured by existing iOS application
- **HR1.** 802.15.4 receiver device delayed
- **HR2.** 802.15.4 receiver device unfeasible
- **MR1.** Mobile device unsuitable for target functionality
- **AR1.** Lack of instruction on Android development delays workflow
- **AR2.** Android providing subpar performance when handling required data
- **AR3.** Android rendering capabilities unable to handle required data

This risk analysis focused on two main risk sources: the parallel-conducted hardware research, and Android as a development platform. Project definition and team related risks were also considered.

The hardware research part of the project delivered the highest probability and impact rated risks. It was so because those risks were external to the software development project scope and thus could not be handled by any of the tools provided by any development methodology. At the same time, should such risks come to be, the impact on the software product would be, in most of cases, as catastrophic as turning the whole development useless thus causing its cancellation.

Regarding Android development only a subset of the final set of risks was assessed at first. Every risk in this subset dealt with the team lack of knowledge about the Android platform and was scheduled to be addressed foremost. A last risk was added to this group after the first research on mobile devices limitations regarding potential unfitness of such devices for near real-time display and handling of not-so-small data packages, and that risk handling

plan proved to be key to the successful outcome of the project as the remaining subset of Android-related risks were linked to Android applications display performance.

The usual project definition and personal risks such as incorrect deadline scheduling or inability to reach critical milestones on time were pondered, increasing their impact rates as the application would be needed by the hardware device to secure a successful outcome for the project.

A detailed view of each assessed risk is provided next, including risk evolution throughout the project lifetime.

**PR1.** Application functionality inferior to that featured by existing iOS application

**Probability:** Moderate

**Impact:** Very High

**Description:** Failure to provide an expanded set of features in the Android application when compared with the iOS application renders the software part of the project invalid on its own. It could, then, only be valid as demo software for USB receiver device showcasing. If the device is not finished, then the whole software development project will have been futile. The key marker for this risk is inability to generate valid software modules throughout the development that provide required functionality. Failure to reach milestones and use case realizations on time is other important marker. Preventive measures were taken to avoid the occurrence of this risk since the beginning of the development by a functionality building focused project scheduling for the first development phases.

**This risk was marked as surpassed at the reviewing meeting of Iteration 2 as all key functionality had been implemented, as planned.**

**HR1.** 802.15.4 receiver device delayed

**Probability:** High

**Impact:** High

**Description:** Being the production of the 802.15.4 receiver device dependant on the hardware research part of the project a delay on the estimated milestones for that part of the project is likely to occur. Should that happen, hardware research and development will need to be prioritized over this software project. That could lead to big delays in software production. To prevent the rising of further problems derived from those potential delays,

the software development process must always work with non-solid, ready-to-change deadlines and milestones. Application functionality is to be ranked in order of importance of implementation to be prepared, in case of an unexpectedly big delay, to leave less important functionality out of the scope of the project. Markers to be followed up are: unsuccessful output from hardware research (a new branch of the potential technologies tree has to be explored), failure to reach hardware development or research milestones and delays in the acquisition of tools or devices needed for the hardware project. Preventive measures considered are: detailed follow up of the hardware research development, reducing the software development team if manpower is needed in the hardware area, and planning assuming delays on component acquisition.

**HR1 was monitored throughout the whole software development project, and marked as surpassed at the reviewing meeting of Iteration 5.**

**HR2.** 802.15.4 receiver device unfeasible

**Probability:** Medium

**Impact:** Critical

**Description:** Until hardware research results are successfully delivered there is no guarantee of the viability of the 802.15.4 receiver device. This software development project loses most of its value if such device is not developed, as the iOS application already exists. Developing an Android application with an equal feature set is also a valid objective, so this risk does not render the development invalid: the full team will then work on software development, and requirements will be restated to include more final-user oriented functionality and/or features from the *future* set. This risk can be monitored with the following markers: unsuccessful output from hardware research and failure to reach hardware development or research milestones. Being both external to this software project, no preventive measures can be applied apart from scheduling allowing smaller team sizes for the software area.

**The probability of the risk was reduced to low after the reviewing meeting for Iteration 3, when the critical hardware research had concluded with positive results. HR2 was marked as surpassed when the production of a device prototype was finished and tested [?TIME?].**

**MR1.** Mobile device unsuitable for target functionality

**Probability:** Low

**Impact:** Critical

**Description:** Even when mobile devices technical specifications have increased significantly in the previous years, specially regarding CPU power and rendering capabilities, the soft-realtime requirements of the project in terms of data manipulation and visual representation involve a low probability risk of the application being unsuitable for such devices. The risk probability is decreased by the fact that similar featured applications exist both for iOS and Android powered devices. Even so, the 802.15.4 receiver device USB interface doesn't allow for this risk not to be reckoned. Preventive measures considered: quick prototyping of critical functionality to discard unfeasibility, conduction of performance tests, both rendering and data handling related, in the target device and testing of USB-Android communication as soon as possible in the project schedule.

As performance tests were conducted on early builds of the application, the need of further research on this risk arised as the data handling performance in the target device was low, but not as low as the rendering performance. Thus, this risk was unfolded into risks AR2 and AR3, both related to Android performance when handling the aforementioned tasks. This risk follow up was then halted, as it was no longer needed.

**AR1.** Lack of instruction on Android development delays workflow

**Probability:** High

**Impact:** Moderate

**Description:** None of the team members has received any instruction on Android development and throughout research is not viable because of time restrictions. It is reasonable to foresee potential delays in the development because of the parallel instruction-development flow, as well as the need to rewrite parts of the system rendered obsolete when further knowledge is acquired. Application malfunctioning, unexpected behaviours and low performance are markers to be tracked. As a preventive measure a short instruction time will be scheduled at the beginning of the project, but every team member is responsible to continue his instruction throughout the whole project. Application builds are to be checked for big differences against canon Android applications behaviour.

The risk was marked as surpassed after Iteration 3, as critical functionality had already been implemented and tested, though Android instruction was not halted.

**AR2.** Android providing subpar performance when handling required data

**Probability:** Moderate

**Impact:** High

**Description:** The benefits of the high-level, single application model provided by Android are such in behalf of the sacrifice of performance. In this project soft-realtime requirements are present, and the system needs to process around 250 ECG wave samples [quotation needed] (among other data) per second. Android code reutilization and class based programming suggested practices, the absence of an explicit memory management API and the employment of the Garbage Collector only complicate the achievement of such requirements. Special care will need to be put on the development and performance checks are to be conducted regularly on generated builds to ensure the avoidance of this risk. If evidence is found of Android inability to provide the required performance (and there is no way of attributing the failure to the team's lack of ability), low-level Android development will be considered. As the probability of this last scenario to occur is quite low, no research will be conducted in low-level Android development until mandatory.

**The risk was verified to be occurring during Iteration 2 testing phase. Lack of care on memory management was found to be the problem, and was solved in Iteration 3. The risk was marked as surpassed after the review meeting for Iteration 4.**

**AR3.** Android rendering capabilities unable to handle required data

**Probability:** Low

**Impact:** High

**Description:** The Android Operating System runs on quite a wide range of devices, each with its own technical specifications. Providing the single application development model that Android features requires many software layers, many of them of high abstraction level. The risk exists, thus, that the rendering required by the project couldn't be achieved within the involved time restrictions. The target device for the project is fixed (see Non-functional Requirements Subsection [link!]) as a Motorola Xoom. This device employs a dedicated Tegra2 GPU [quotation needed] which should suffice, so risk probability is chosen as *low*. Performance tests in the display module are to be conducted, though, so as to make sure that a correct usage of the available resources is being done. If low rendering performance is detected, Android native level rendering API, Renderscript, is to be looked into as a remedy, once the code is assured to be optimized.

**Risk probability was increased to *Moderate* during Iteration 3**

as low performance was detected but was considered not critical enough to apply the Renderscript solution. The risk was marked as surpassed in the reviewing meeting of Iteration 4.

Thanks to this risk analysis the project schedule was developed in such a manner that it prioritized risk suppressing and the decision was taken to plan only the first two of the five intended development iterations, leaving the other three as drafts to allow them to evolve at par with the uncanceled risks. Use case realization order was also selected based on this risk analysis, ensuring that higher risk involving features were developed (or at least prototyped) as early as possible.

Use cases for the project are presented next, followed by the system architecture description, to allow a finer understanding of the software development project schedule and related decisions, which will be detailed in the next section.

## 3.5 Use Cases

The project use cases are now presented following the *use case common style* described by Martin Fowler [Fowler, 2004], as the relaxed template allows for quicker document producing than more detailed descriptions such as those presented by Cockburn [Cockburn, 2001].

Actor listing is omitted in all the descriptions, because of the following implicits:

- The main actor for the use case is the user
- The only other actor is the data emitter node, when applicable. It can refer to the Bluetooth emitter device or the USB 802.15.4 receiver device.

Please note that the 802.15.4 emitter node is not considered an actor as the communication with such device is handled by the USB 802.15.4 receiver, which actually is the considered actor.

### 3.5.1 UC1. View data from Bluetooth

**Description** The user wish to receive and visualize data from a Bluetooth ECG node in real time. He will start the communication, visualize real-time

received data and finish the connection once done.

This use case captures requisites R01, R04, R05, R06, and R07.

**Preconditions** The application is in the main menu screen.

**Main flow**

1. User indicates his will to start Bluetooth data visualization.
2. The system prompts for the node to connect to.
3. User specifies the desired node.
4. The system manages connection to the node. If unable to establish the connection, see AF1.
5. The Bluetooth node sends data to the system.
6. The system shows processed data to the user. Received data is also logged.
7. The user can now adjust view parameters (See UC4)
8. User chooses to finish data visualization.
9. The system closes active connections and stops data visualization.
10. The system returns to the main menu.

**Alternative Flow 1** The system cannot establish connection to the Bluetooth node selected by the user.

1. The system notifies the user about the problem.
2. The system returns to the main menu.

### **3.5.2 UC2. View data from USB Receiver**

**Description** The user wish to receive and visualize data from an 802.15.4 ECG node in real time. He will start the communication, visualize real-time received data and finish the connection once done. The data from the node will be received via the USB 802.15.4 receiver device.

This use case captures requisites R02, R04, R05, R06, and R07.



**Preconditions** The application is in the main menu screen.

**Main flow**

1. User indicates his will to start USB receiver data visualization.
2. The system asks the user to connect the USB receiver.
3. User connects the USB receiver.
4. The system manages connection to the USB receiver. If unable to establish the connection, see AF1.
5. The USB receiver device sends data to the system.
6. The system shows processed data to the user. Received data is also logged.
7. User can now adjust view parameters (See UC4)
8. User chooses to finish data visualization.
9. The system closes active connections and stops data visualization.
10. The system returns to the main menu.

**Alternative Flow 1** The system cannot establish connection to the USB receiver device.

1. The system notifies the user about the problem.
2. The system returns to the main menu.

### **3.5.3 UC3. View data from log file**

**Description** The user wishes to read a log file created from a real time visualization session. He will specify the log file to load, visualize stored data and finish visualization once done.

This use case captures requisites R03, R04 and R05.

**Preconditions** The application is in the main menu screen.

### **Main flow**

1. User indicates his will to start log data visualization.
2. The system prompts for the log file to load.
3. User specifies the desired file.
4. The system reads the selected log file.
5. The system shows logged data to the user.
6. User can now adjust view parameters (See UC4)
7. User chooses to finish data visualization.
8. The system stops data visualization.
9. The system returns to the file selection menu.
10. User selects to return to main menu. Else follow from step 3.
11. The system returns to the main menu.

### **3.5.4 UC4. Adjust view parameters**

**Description** When visualizing ECG data the user wishes to adjust view parameters such as plot vertical scale, plot vertical scroll and plot horizontal scroll.

This use case captures requisites R08, R09, R10.

**Preconditions** The application is displaying ECG data.

### **Main flow**

1. User indicates his will to change the vertical scale.
2. The system updates plot vertical scale.
3. User indicates his will to change plot vertical scroll.
4. The system updates plot vertical scroll.
5. If the displayed data is read from a log file, see AF1.

**Alternate Flow 1** The user is able to control horizontal scroll parameter.

1. User indicates his will to change the horizontal scroll.
2. The system updates plot horizontal scroll.

## 3.6 Design and Architecture

The design of the software application is done targeting easy extension of the functionality which allows an incremental realization of the identified use cases and quick, isolated prototyping of new features in a manner in which already developed ones are not affected.

The Android Software Development Kit being the base technology employed in the development, the Android Application Framework conditions the basic architecture of the system. Particularly this framework imposes the utilization of a derivate of the Activity class as the entry point for the application. The idea is that an application is composed of various Activities, providing each of them “a screen with which users can interact in order to do something” .

Cite Android  
Dev Guide

The correct usage of the activities model in the Android framework includes declaration of them in the application manifest (see X [for reference](#)) and a number of other steps which are excessively formal for the target comfort and speed-of-operation levels regarding development of extensions. Specifically the application gives support for a number of data sources, namely Bluetooth, log file and USB device, each one with it’s own needs in terms of user interfaces and interaction. Moreover, new data sources would possibly require different interfaces than those already developed.

Actually refer-  
ence something

In such an scenario the decision is made for the architecture of the application to override Android activities model, employing only a single Activity which will implement a stack of user interfaces each with its own logic and behaviour, in the same manner Android does with the activities but allowing more flexible development. The inclusion of a new interface or set of interfaces is, thus, simplified, and every application entity is given the ability to present it’s own menu to the user. This is specially useful when including new data sources with special interface requirements to the application.

An overview of the application architecture is presented in Figure 3.1.

Explain here  
the package di-  
vision (Activ-  
ity + View +  
Data + Model  
Realizations)  
and place im-  
age?




Figure 3.1: Architecture overview

At the core level the main Activity, the View package and the Data package are present, as well as three packages (Bluetooth, Filereader, USB) which will be dealt with later. These components provide the basic elements which compose the model over which actual functionality is built, and are to be seen as the tools available for the overlaying software layer which is addressed next.

The main Activity of the application assumes the role of the central coordinator and is responsible for creation and management of area specific managers, application level data and handling of the aforementioned user interfaces stack. It is also responsible, as the application's entry point, of the presentation and behaviour management of the main application menu which gives access to actual functionality, delegating in the specific managers.

Of all those tasks, the most important are the initialization and eventual finalization of data visualization flows in collaboration with the appropriate area specific manager. Throughout the process, mainly controlled by the activity, components required for the visualization process are initialized, delegating area specific tasks to the manager. Eventually, the manager assumes the control of the application flow, leaving the activity as a dispatcher of input events.

These managers are part of the so-called, in the project terminology, a model; and the activity can be portrayed as the model manager. Conceptually a model is a set of software entities which live in the application and handle the data flow from a given data source towards a data holder, including or not, the visualization of such data. A model contains a Manager which is the entity responsible of the handling of the rest of the model entities. Please note that this model scheme is specific to the domain of the project and is not a general one.

Remove this note? Leave this note?




Figure 3.2: Data-flow model realization

This conceptual model scheme is provided by a subset of the classes and interfaces shown in Figure 3.1. For actual functionality building a realization of the model is to be developed, giving actual meaning to the scheme. In the scope of the project four model realizations have been implemented and will be detailed later.

Rename model to FlowModel?

A model realization is composed of implementations of the following elements from the core level exposed before: 1) a Manager, which handles user interaction and required preparations; 2) a DataSource that manages raw data obtention from the actual source, and processing and sending of such data to a 3) DataHolder, which stores and handles the data in any required way and, if data visualization is required 4) an implementation of a view thread.

More detailed approaches to all the concepts exposed in this introduction are built throughout the following subsections.

### 3.6.1 View Package

The set of classes encompassed in the View package (see Figure 3.1) provides both a base rendering architecture in an update-render loop style not initially present in the Android framework and the specialization of such architecture for the specific project domain, i.e. plotting of the electrocardiogram wave and its relevant points.

Regarding rendering Android provides a set of common employed visual elements. These implementations try to simplify the developer's work by giving customizable solutions to common scenarios, such as rendering a list of elements or a drop-down selection object, in a visually pleasing way. All these elements are part of the hierarchy of Android's View class, and implement a composite pattern for rich menu-building.

reference to  
View in an-  
dev?

The soft real-time rendering imposed by the project restrictions requires a specific View class derivative: the SurfaceView. This kind of View provides a bitmap surface where pixel-level rendering is allowed. A set of rendering tools is also provided by Android. The architecture developed on top of that view extends the SurfaceView to an AnimationView and delegates the rendering to an AnimationThread. This last entity is the one providing the update-render loop employed for the real-time rendering. The drawback of employing a SurfaceView as the base is that such element doesn't provide common employed functionality as scrolling or zooming.

The actual, domain specific rendering functionality providing threads are implemented employing the aforementioned layer as a base. The precise entities are DynamicViewThread and StaticViewThread, and are referred in this document as implementations of the view thread, even if such class does not exist in the project. These two classes implement the required behaviour for dynamic and static data visualization respectively, and obtain the data to be shown from a data source entity. Such entity is dealt with in the following section. The reason for different entities to exist for static and dynamic rendering is also detailed there.

### 3.6.2 Data Management Package

This package contains data storage and handling related entities. Differentiation between two types of data managed by the application depending on their purpose is mandatory. On one hand is the application level data, which is specific or non-specific information shared by the whole application. On

the other hand is the data received from a source that must be visually presented to the user or stored for later visualization. Providing software tools allowing the modelling that data flow is the key task of this package.

The class `Data` acts as a centralized application level data storage. It is a singleton and is accesible by every entity in the system. It also provides synchronization methods for correct inter-thread communication.

The rest of the entities of the `Data` package are employed in the aforementioned model scheme, and specify the expected behaviour of each element involved in a data flow. As indicated before, specialization of this entities is required for the realization of the model.




Figure 3.3: `DataManager` interface

`DataManager` is the interface to be implemented by the model controller. It is responsible for the configuring, starting and halting the data flow provided by its controlled entities. It also participates in the process of initialization of the visualization of a data flow by communicating a `DataHolder` with the respective `DataSourceThread`.

[Link to this process](#)

A `DataSourceThread` is a thread which provides data to other entities in the system, generally to a `DataHolder`. It is a specialization of `Thread` with functionality to start and stop the flow of data. This data is usually received from an external entity, such as a USB device or a file, and concrete implementations might require an actual communication between the two, forcing the `DataSourceThread` to send data to the other end of the connection. Because of that, any specialization of this class must also listen to petitions of writing to its data provider when available.

The processing of raw data sent by the data providers to the `DataSourceThreads` is expected to be done in the latter, so the data transfered throughout the




Figure 3.4: DataSourceThread class

Reference Dat-  
aParser

application is of a processed nature. To this end the `DataParser` entity is available.

The expected receiver of the data sent by a `DataSourceThread` is a `DataHolder`. This interface provides domain-specific data handling abstract methods and definitions. An implementation of a `DataHolder` must handle the reception of ECG wave samples, delineation points, synchronization points and heart-beat-rate values.




Figure 3.5: DataHolder interface

The actual way in which that data is employed depends on the concretion of the interface, and might or might not involve visual representation. When visual representation is required, the view thread implementation should also implement the `DataHolder` interface.

Is it clear that  
there are two  
modes of oper-  
ation?

There are two different specifications for the data holders: `DataHolder` and `StaticDataHolder`. This is so because of the two modes of operation of the application. One is real-time data visualization and the other is log file visualization. The former is called dynamic visualization and the latter static visualization, and the behaviour of their `DataHolders` is not the same.



Dynamic visualization represents data received in realtime by the DataSourceThread. The DataSourceThread obtains the data from an external entity, processes it and sends it to the DataHolder. This data usually arrives in groups of variable size, and is provided to the DataHolder in single units once processed. In this scenario the DataHolder is the view thread, and handles data however considers optimal. In the implementations developed in this project a fixed amount of data is held and older information is replaced by the new one as it arrives.

Static visualization, on the other hand, involves reading a file, usually megabyte sized. The receiving of big quantities of data in single units by the data holder, as would occur if the original DataSource specification was employed, could lead to severe slow-downs and application performance would be affected. To avoid such issues, the StaticDataHolder specification is developed.

A StaticDataHolder does not actually hold the data: it delegates that task to its DataSourceThread. The data source will provide the StaticDataHolder with a reference to the actual data. This way big amounts of data transferring is avoided. The inheritance relation between DataHolder and StaticDataHolder is imposed by the architecture, which require a DataHolder to be passed to a DataSourceThread.

### **3.6.3 Utilities Package**

This package contains reusable components providing very specific functionality so they are considered utilities: RealTimeDataParser, StaticDataParser and Viewport.

A data parser is the entity responsible of the processing of the raw data obtained by a DataSource into the domain specific data that the application manages. There are two implementations, one for real-time data reception, RealTimeDataParser, and the other for static data reading, i.e. a log file. The real-time data parser is also responsible of storing the received raw data the a log file.

A data parser is intended to be contained in a DataSourceThread. It receives the bytes of raw data and, upon successful identification of a valid data element, notifies the corresponding DataHolder entity of the arrival. In a theoretic, performance-independant model, this behaviour would be incorrect: the data parser would leave the processed data *in* the DataSourceThread,

which would then make the transfer of information to the data holder. This kind of implementation is not valid with the soft realtime requirements of the project, as the data source - data parser - data source - data holder flow would be a severe bottle-neck.

The Viewport entity is a container for the visualization area settings. It represents the window in which the data plotting is done, and holds information about size and position of it. It also contains data about the horizontal and vertical scale of the rendering and handles modification of all these parameters. It is employed by the view thread hierarchy.

### 3.6.4 Data Flow Model Realizations

Having explained the data flow model scheme and the core level architectural elements employed in its construction, model realizations implemented are detailed next. For each realization, the concretion of each model element will be exposed including particular details of such implementation.

#### Bluetooth Model

Bluetooth  
(TM)?

The Bluetooth model is a real-time visualization targeted data flow where the actual data provider is a Bluetooth node. As visualization is an objective, this model realization employs a DataManager, a DataSource, a DataHolder and a DynamicViewThread; the latter two being implemented in the same entity. An overview of the realization is shown in Figure 3.6.

The specialization of the DataManager is the BluetoothManager. It prompts the user for the device to connect to and handles connection by employing two threads: ConnectThread and ConnectedThread. After discovering the available nodes, it locates the node indicated by the user and launches the ConnectionThread.

This ConnectThread is the thread which actually manages the connection by obtaining it's endpoints in the form of a socket. If successful, passes the socket to the manager and finishes it's execution. The manager then launches the ConnectedThread, which receives the socket and data flow starts. The reason for employing a thread for establishing the connection is to avoid blocking the application while connecting.

ConnectedThread is the DataSourceThread implementation and contains an entity of DataParser. It receives data sent by the Bluetooth device through




Figure 3.6: Bluetooth Model Realization

the socket, parses it and notifies the DataHolder implementation, which is the DynamicViewThread. The DataParser in DataSourceThread is a Real-TimeDataParser and stores the data in a log file while processing.

### File Reader Model

This model realization implements visual representation of ECG data stored in a log file. It is a static view model, in which the user controls what section of the temporal log is shown on-screen, and thus employs an StaticViewThread instead of a dynamic one.

The manager in the file reader model is the FileManager class. It presents available log files to the user, handles the selection of one, instantiates the file reading thread and connects this with the view thread. When the user finalizes the visualization of the selected log, the FileManager returns to the log selection menu instead of going directly to the main menu. This is an example of the versatility of the aforementioned view stack scheme, which




Figure 3.7: File Reader Model Realization

lets specific managers present as many menus to the user as necessary.

FileDataSourceThread is the thread that reads the chosen file and processes its data. It implements both the DataSource and the DataHolder interfaces, so it acts as the emitter and the receiver of the processed data. The view thread obtains a reference to this same data, thus avoiding big amounts of data transferring in the static view model as explained before. This is an example of the flexibility sought by the architecture design.

The FileDataSourceThread, thus, manages data reading, storage and manipulation. The data is read from files with the aid of this package's FileConverter entity. These files can be of big size, so they shouldn't be fully loaded into memory. A partial load is mandatory in such cases, and the next chunk of data is to be read when the "visualization window" approaches. All this management is done by the FileDataSourceThread.

When the view is controlled by the user, i.e. horizontal scroll, the event is passed directly into this thread from the StaticViewThread. FileDataSourceThread then updates the portion of the data to be shown. The up-

dated information is consulted by the view thread in the next rendering step. That way, were further file reading required, it could be done in a transparent-to-view manner.

Data processing is done employing an instance of `StaticDataParser` in the `FileDataSourceThread`.

The `FileConverter` entity provides functionality to transform a given file to a `Stream`, `ArrayList` or array of bytes, the latter being the expected input format for the available data parsers.

## USB Model

The USB model manages data reception from an USB device and eventual visual representation for the user. It is a real-time data flow, and thus employs a dynamic view thread. An overview of the model is shown in Figure 3.8.




Figure 3.8: USB Model Realization

`DeviceManager` is the realization of the model's Manager. This entity handles connected USB devices enumeration and selection by the user. If only one device is available, connection is directly established with it. Differing from the Bluetooth realization implementation, the actual connection to the device is handled by the `DeviceManager` in a blocking manner. It is so because

USB connection resolution takes very little time and the delay is virtually imperceptible for the user.

Once the connection is established, the DeviceManager launches a UsbComThread. This thread is the specialization of DataSourceThread and obtains the raw data from the USB device, processes it employing an instance of DynamicDataParser and sends the results to the view thread. This is a DynamicViewThread entity.

Extra things  
here? (see  
source file)

## **3.7 Implementation Details**

In this section a detailed description of the development is presented. The evolution of the application architecture and functionality; design, architectural and implementation decisions and explanations of the circumstances in which they were made, as well as the development of the project schedule are exposed in chronological order.

The section is arranged following the five iterations of the project, developing each one by presenting the target objectives and expected deadlines for the iteration, detailing application evolution with emphasis on use case realization and risk suppressing achievements and demonstrating the state of the project as assessed on the reviewing meeting conducted at the end of the iteration.

### **3.7.1 Project Scheduling**

Before diving into the description of the development process an overview of the project schedule is mandatory.

As was mentioned in the previous sections, an agile software development methodology has been applied to the project, even if artifacts from more ordered and structured methodologies have been employed to avoid losing focus on the critical aspects of the development.

Software development project being dependant on parallel-conducted hardware research, project assets, both personal and development resources, being shared with the hardware research having the former higher priority, and team's lack of formation regarding Android development are some of the factors which lead to the adoption of such a mixed methodology. As they have

been already exposed in previous sections, they won't be detailed here.

In such an scenario, a fully developed, eight month covering schedule was unfeasible, at least with the imposed time restrictions which didn't allow much time to be spent on planning. The decision was then made to plan only the earlier project iterations, assuring critical functionality identified from use case development to be implemented as soon as possible as well as higher threat involving risk suppression to be realized.

Actual software project development begun October 15, 2011, being the final deadline set on June 15, 2012. That deadline could not be pushed any further, so an estimated deadline was set on May 31, 2012, leaving half a month for further work or recovering from delays, and seven and a half months of development time.

Time was needed for the hardware research to start providing results, and no work could be done in the meantime on related application parts. Considering also that even in the most optimistic scenarios no actual work with the 802.15.4 USB receiver couldn't be done until mid February [link to hw planning or something], the schedule had to assume that the first four months of software development would need to cover the implementation of most of the features, leaving the rest of the development time for implementing hardware-related requirements, which couldn't actually be scheduled until hardware research was evaluated.

The adopted schedule dealt with the aforementioned facts by proposing five iterations, from October 15 to May 30, complying with the fifteen days reserved for contingencies. The first three iterations had a duration of two months, the third one a single month, and the remaining one was fifteen days long.

Having the previously developed iOS application as starting point, and being achieving at least the same feature list of that a critical target of the project, the first two iterations were planned so as to realize **UC1** and **UC3** which captured requisites expressing such feature list.

The next iterations were just scrapped as no accurate estimation could be done on the state of the project by those dates. Thus, the third iteration was planned to cover the implementation of the remaining features, namely, communication with the 802.15.4 receiver device, the fourth one reserved for polishing the application and the fifth one left for testing and validation.

The fourth iteration was to shrink if objectives were achieved quickly to leave more time for validation.

The scheduled distribution of work for each iteration is as follows:

It	Dates	Activity
1	Oct 15 - Dec 15	Application base and Bluetooth module
2	Dec 15 - Feb 15	Log module and initial USB module
3	Feb 15 - Apr 15	Final USB implementation
4	Apr 15 - May 15	Polishing
5	May 15 - May 30	Validation
-	May 30 - Jun 15	Reserved

Which can be seen as the following expected use case realization dates:

It	Dates	Realized Use Case
1	Oct 15 - Dec 15	UC1, UC4 (first version)
2	Dec 15 - Feb 15	UC3, UC2 (first version)
3	Feb 15 - Apr 15	UC2 (final)
4	Apr 15 - May 15	UC4 (final)
5	May 15 - May 30	Validation
-	May 30 - Jun 15	Reserved

Throughout the development, as expected, this schedule had to be adapted as the hardware research advanced to assess the arise of difficulties and subsequent changes to its planning. Instead of providing a fixed snapshot of the altered schedule at the end of the project, a detailed description of each iteration is presented next, including modifications to the planning and the motivation for making them.

### **3.7.2 Iteration 1**

The main objectives for this first iteration were

- the instruction of the team on Android development,
- the lay out of an initial version of the application architecture and
- the implementation of the Bluetooth receiver module.

The allotted time for the iteration was of two monts, from October 15 to December 15. During this period the hardware research didn't require much



resources [link to hw], so in practical terms the full team was employed in the software project.

In order to minimize risk AR1[quote?link?], the instruction of the team on Android development becomes the key objective for the iteration. Development starts with the implementation of the designed base architecture for the application, so it serves as a powerful learning resource. The notion of that implementation as disposable is not abandoned throughout iteration time and it is always considered as a prototype to evolve or be substituted by a more refined version once team's knowledge of Android increases.

Having the basic architectural framework developed, implementation of the Bluetooth receiver module begins. Special care is put both on design and implementation, as this functionality has to be ready as soon as possible, and little time will be available for reimplementation further in the development.

Testing of both architecture and Bluetooth module is conducted during the whole iteration, specially in the final weeks, so by the end of the iteration Bluetooth module is validated and expected to be stable until the scheduled architectural redesign.

As the Android formation phase takes less time than expected and hardware research doesn't allow advancing into next iteration at the time iteration objectives are achieved, an extra implementation effort is put to begin implementation of UC4. Adjust View Parameters.

In the review meeting for the iteration the following conclusions are obtained:

- Basic architecture implementation is done.
- Android is confirmed to be a very comfortable development environment even if non-standard functionality is not that easily achieved. Instruction is planned to continue.
- Realization of UC1-View data from Bluetooth is finished. Related user interface is not final, but it is functional, and is to be updated on further iterations.
- Realization of UC4-Adjust view parameters is done in relation to Bluetooth visualization. As remaining modules are developed, further work is to be done in this field.

### **3.7.3 Iteration 2**

The main objectives for the second iteration were

- the development of the USB communication module and
- the implementation of the Log visualization module.

Log writing improved. USB module done as USB device, valid for arduino and first msp tests Log reading implemented, scrolling and such. Tests results indicate low performance, huge memory requirements. With the basic interface, first Prototype with (except usb host == 802.15.4) full functionality implemented. That nice and all. Shown to masters and feedback applied. On time ?

Took a loong break here for hardware development

### **3.7.4 Iteration 3**

This is the first just-drafted iteration. Starting from the fully functional prototype, architectural and performance fixes were mandatory. Also, given the positive state of the hw research scheduling was done for the rest of the iterations.

The main objectives for this third iteration were

- the redesign of the application architecture,
- the achievement of required performance in data management and
- the scheduling of the rest of the project time.

(Scheduling seems strange as an objective)

Architecture redesigned targeting easy adaptation and versatility inside the scope of the application. Redesigned visualization initialization flow. Performance increased significantly and memory usage reduced THROUGHOUTLY in realtime view. Fixes in modules according to new architecture. Talk about scheduling??

### **3.7.5 Iteration 4**

Final implementation iteration. Final performance increasing fixes and user interface implementation, as well as user-friendliness globally increased.

USB Host here!

The main objectives for this third iteration were

- the implementation of USB host communication,
- the achievement of required performance in rendering and,
- the implementation of user-friendly interfaces.

This ends the implementation phase, user-friendliness could be better but what gives. Performance left 50-50 because it was already ok (30fps not 60fps). One iteration left, devoted to testing.

### **3.7.6 Iteration 5**

Testing and validation with the real thing.

Hey, us of the future, I hope everything's ok up there!

## **3.8 Closure**



# Chapter 4

## Results

### 4.1 Final state

### 4.2 Potential additions and expansions

### 4.3 Findings



# Appendices





# Appendix A

## Utilities and tools



# Bibliography

- [1] *Real-Time Wavelet-Based Electrocardiogram Delineation System with Automatic Arrhythmia Detection*  
Embedded Systems Laboratory, ESL  
École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL  
<http://esl.epfl.ch/cms/lang/en/pid/46016>
  
- [2] *Unauthorized modification of iOS as a major source of instability, disruption of services, and other issues*  
Apple Support  
September 27, 2010  
<http://support.apple.com/kb/ht3743>
  
- [3] *IEEE Std 802.15.4<sup>TM</sup>-2006*  
(Revision of IEEE Std 802.15.4-2003)  
IEEE Computer Society  
June 7, 2006
  
- [4] *Android Open Accessory Development Kit*  
Android Developers  
<http://developer.android.com/guide/topics/usb/adk.html>
  
- [5] *Android Open Accessory API and Development Kit (ADK)*  
Mike Lockwood, Erik Gilling & Jeff Brown  
May 10, 2011  
<http://www.google.com/events/io/2011/sessions/android-open-accessory-api-and-development-kit-adk.html>
  
- [6] *IEEE 802.15.4/ZigBee<sup>TM</sup> USB Dongle*  
Integration, Adaptive Modules

[http://www.adaptivemodules.com/assets/File/integration\\_802-15-4\\_usb%20dongle.pdf](http://www.adaptivemodules.com/assets/File/integration_802-15-4_usb%20dongle.pdf)

- [7] *TI presents World's first platform bringing ZigBee to Android smartphones and tablets.*

Texas Instruments

March 04, 2011

[http://www.ti.com/ww/in/news\\_detail/2011/news\\_detail\\_20110304.html](http://www.ti.com/ww/in/news_detail/2011/news_detail_20110304.html)

- [8] *mbed: Rapid Prototyping with Microcontrollers*

ARM Holdings

<http://mbed.org/>

- [9] *TI MSP430. Getting started*

Texas Instruments

[http://www.ti.com/llds/ti/microcontroller/16-bit\\_msp430/getting\\_started.page](http://www.ti.com/llds/ti/microcontroller/16-bit_msp430/getting_started.page)

- [10] *MSP430 Family Instruction Set Summary*

Texas Instruments

[http://www.ti.com/sc/docs/products/micro/msp430/userguid/as\\_5.pdf](http://www.ti.com/sc/docs/products/micro/msp430/userguid/as_5.pdf)

- [11] *MSP430F663x Family Mixed Signal Microcontroller Datasheet*

Texas Instruments

<http://www.ti.com/lit/ds/symlink/msp430f6638.pdf>