Low-power Wireless ECG Monitoring System for Android Devices

Pablo Fernández
Rafael de la Hoz
Miguel Márquez

June 11, 2012

Todo list

Abstract

Keywords

Pablo Fernández, Rafael de la Hoz and Miguel Márquez authorize Complutense University of Madrid to spread and use this report with academical and non-comercial purposes, provided that its authors shall be explicitly mentioned.

June 11, 2012

Pablo Fernández Rafael de la Hoz Miguel Márquez

Acknowledgements

Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Project description

This project exposes the research conducted for the development of an USB 802.15.4 receiver device for Android based systems employed in a fully functional electrocardiogram monitoring system encompassed in the field of in-home healthcare, as well as the development process involved in the realization of this whole system.

Electrocardiogram (ECG) monitoring consists on the capture and interpretation of the activity of the heart [cit.needed] during a period of time, and continuous, remote ECG monitoring has become one of the main applications of wireless body sensor networks. Great interest has arisen recently among industrial and academic research parties in production of low-power, ambulatory ECG monitoring systems.

In order to maximize portability, such systems have started to employ the widely available smartphone devices as frontends, reducing the amount of extra devices carried by the user to just the ECG capturing node. In 2011 the École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne presented a real-time personal ECG monitoring system which displayed data in an iPhone via Bluetooth.

Being inspired by the results obtained by the aforementioned project, this certain endeavour seeks taking the inherently good low cost and low power aspects of that to the next level by the employment of a less energy requiring wireless personal area network protocol, namely IEEE 802.15.4, and the more accessible Android based platforms.

The system provides the user with a visual representation of his/her ECG wave highlighting relevant points of this in order to simplify its comprehension. Information about heart-beat-rate is also displayed. All this data is stored in realtime in a transparent to the user manner for later visualization with emphasis put in easy handling of the generated files.

This functionality is provided by the three devices that are part of the system: the ECG delineation node, the USB 802.15.4 receiver and the Android device through the front-end application. The ECG delineation node is connected to the body sensor network and is responsible for capturing and analyzing the electrocardiogram wave, as well as encoding and wirelessly sending the data. The USB 802.15.4 receiver is plugged to the Android system and manages data reception following the aforementioned protocol. Finally the Android based application is the real-time data decoder and acts as the frontend to the user, managing connections and storing and displaying received data.

Development of the Android application, realization of the USB 802.15.4 receiver device, employment of an already-existant ECG delineation node and successful intercommunication between all these elements are the project goals.

As stated before the Android application is the front-end with which the user interacts with the whole system. It is designed following Android common practices as the objective is for it to be of simple use with a smooth, if not nil, learning curve. The decision to develop the application for Android platforms was made according to three main reasons:

First, the fact that Android has been in the top three of the most used mobile operating systems rankings since 2010 [quote!] added to the recent growth of the availability of smartphone devices [quotequote] makes targeting the Android platform a must when the objective is making the system reachable for as most people as possible.

Second, and following the same line of reasoning, the lower cost, in general terms, of Android supporting devices, or at least the wide range of prices of these, specially when compared to other operating systems supporting devices such as Apple's line of iOS devices [average price w/quote here] is to be taken into account.

And finally the comfortable, high-level development environment available for Android application production [quote] provides enough facilities for any-

one interested in expanding or contributing code to Android part the project to do so in an easy way. Moreover, this environment is delivered free of charge and is of an open-source nature and multiplatform [quote], whereas iOS platform development kits usage requires at least the ownership of an specific machine and operating system [quote].

Regarding the ECG delineation node, it has been mentioned that the objective of this project is the employment of an already existing one. That is so because both the delineator node and the body sensor network that captures the data are complex systems and the development of them would be the scope of a full project. Specifically the delineator node obtained in the aforementioned EPFL project [quote], with modifications from a collaboration between Complutense University of Madrid (UCM) and the EPFL [quote], was applied.

This node was originally developed as a ultra-lowpower ECG delineator with the ability to wirelessly send data through Bluetooth protocol [quote]. The collaboration between UCM and EPFL, among other things, provided the node with functionality to send data using IEEE 802.15.4, but only at the level required to do some measurement and estimations [quote]. Actual 802.15.4 utilization was yet to be a reality, but it was an excellent starting point towards the achievement of the current project objectives.

The key part of the system and the most important risk involving objective of the project is the 802.15.4 USB receiver device for Android platforms. It is a necessity as generally Android devices have no support for low cost wireless communication protocols such as 802.15.4, while providing other higher-cost protocols such as Bluetooth or WiFi. Development of an Android accesory providing the required functionality is, then, a must, as the most battery and time consuming operation in the delineator node is the utilization of the Bluetooth stack, as previously mentioned researchs [1] and [2] concluded.

Correctly reference papers

Other projects exist with similar objectives in mind, even if they are not targeted at the field of biometrics and personal monitoring. The reason for not following or employing those is twofold: first, at the time of the beginning of the project those initiatives were either unfinished or stalled, furthermore they were isolated, generally single-man projects with no official backend or guarantees of conclusion. Second, following the line of achieving a low cost, low sized device the Android system is to act as the host device in the USB communication, thus removing the size-increasing battery requirements for the receiver as the host role provides the power in such communications.

In short, this project tries to advance a step further the availability of wireless body sensor networks applied to personal electrocardiogram monitorization, by employing actual, already available, not-so-expensive technologies in an effective manner. That is necessarily good by nature, and the main motivation for braving with the project, aside from those, more pragmatic, exposed in the next section, is the wish for it to be useful, some day, for someone.

1.2 Project driver

The main motivation for developing this project was the fact that it meant the gathering of almost every branch of this career. From its very beginning, this work involved both software and hardware development, researching on unknown tools and platforms as well as high and low-level design and programming.

Besides, if successful, it would be likely it could become a real product and be useful both in a professional and particular scope, which added a practical end for the work to be carried out. Something like this could be made thanks to the special features –such as less power consumption and required investment– 802.15.4-compliant technologies provide which wider spread ones lack (Bluetooth, for instance). It is also expected that technologies based on IEEE 802.15.4 specification like ZigBee will increase their importance in the medium term due to their potential applications in domotics (home automation).

In addition, not only developing applications for portable devices but accessories are mainstream nowadays; thus, getting in touch with these activities could provide us with extra experience at leading edge practices, what would broaden our areas of expertise and, consequently, increment our chances to access the labour market.

However, certain areas of the project would mean working on unprecedent techniques –as it will be detailed later on within this section– and dealing with tools which were unknown for us at that moment. Hitches like these could lead to the unfulfillment of the project, yet they could also add extra value to it if they were overcome.

1.3 State of the art

- *EPFL Project*
  As a precedent of the present project, the École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) – represented by its Embedded Systems Laboratory (ESL)–, along with the Complutense University of Madrid (UCM), developed a wireless ECG monitoring system [1], similar to the one has been built up during this project.

  Just as ours, this system also used Shimmer™as monitoring, transmitter platform; and the obtained data could be displayed in portable computing devices. Nonetheless, the list of similarities ends there. The application responsible for rendering the ECG waves was meant to be used over iOS devices, particularly iPhone. This fact led to several additional restrictions, such as mandatory usage of Bluetooth as wireless transmission method as well as the need of "jailbreaking" the device itself. This process allows the user to gain root access to the OS, and it was needed in order that a explicitly installed Bluetooth stack allowed the device to receive the emitted data properly. However, according to the manufacturer [2], jailbreaking the device nulls its warranty. Therefore, the employment of Android in our project is partially motivated by this sort of limitations other platforms usually impose.

  *Our project collaborates with EPFL, from whom we have received feedback as well as hardware and software requirements. In fact, the aforementioned iOS application *settled most of the requirements for the Android one in our project, although there were added some extra ones –such as making logs from received data so they can be read again later–.

- *IEEE 802.15.4*
  This standard describes the physical and Media Access Control (MAC) layers for low-rate wireless personal area networks. It is intended to be implemented into embedded devices, so as to build up short-range networks –10 meters, typically– with narrow bandwith, up to 250kbps, among other possibilites with lower transfer rates. It is a relatively new standard since its first version was approved in 2003, although the following revision was employed during this development, which was approved in 2006 [3]

802.15.4 is specially suitable for this kind of project due to its low power consumption. In fact, ZigBee, which uses this standard as its low-level layer, presents a series of advantages over Bluetooth, underlying technology of the previously mentioned EPFL project:

- **Lower power consumption:** without going into detail, it can be stated that Bluetooth requires more power than ZigBee does. For example, Bluetooth is constantly emitting information, consequently consuming power, while 802.15.4 allows to enable the radio only when it is needed. These statements are properly justified and explained at subsection 2.3.4, 802.15.4.
- **Bandwidth:** Bluetooth offers much wider bandwidth, up to 3Mbps, meanwhile ZigBee only offers up to 250kbps. This, however, is not a relevant disadvantage because our needs are not so high.
- **Host number:** ZigBee allows to build networks with up to 65535 hosts, subnetworks with 255 hosts. On the ohter hand, Bluetooth can only support as much as 8 hosts within a network.

ZigBee, though, is not employed as a whole within this project, but 802.15.4 standard as its basis. Nevertheless, its advantages over Bluetooth remains the same, with the additional one that it does not compromise soft real-time developments as the complete stack does.

- *Android Accessory Development*
  As of May, 2011, there were no easy nor official methods to develop accessories capable of communicating with Android running devices. At that certain time, the release of the Android Open Accessory Development Kit (also known as "ADK") [4] was announced in San Francisco, within the context of Google I/O, developers conference arranged by Google [5].

  ADK consists of an USB microcontroller board based on Arduino (Arduino Mega2560 to be precise) and a series of software libraries which add specific functionalities and support for other hardware add-ons, typically known as *shields*, that equip the accessory with sensors or interactive elements which broaden its capabilities. Shields are plugged to the board through its numerous input/output pins, which also allow the connection of personally crafted hardware additions –allowing that way to create tailored behaviours, following the Arduino's "Do It

Yourself" (DIY) spirit.

With the release of that kit, Android project opened itself to the development of all kind of new accessories which would add potential and functionalities it lacked.

As well as this kit, the following release of Android 3.1 API version completed the accessory ecosystem with the inclusion of directly supported host and device USB modes –this support was also backported to Android v2.3.4; only the device mode, though–. By doing so, Google completely cleared the way for the development of Android-compatible accessories, which was previously reduced to the underlying, quite complete but not enough, Linux kernel driver support.

- *ZigBee dongles*
  In spite of the fact that there were available devices which implemented the complete ZigBee stack at the time of the start of this project, they were only designed for being connected to personal computers, some models with OS restrictions as well. A typical model of this sort is presented in [6]
  Moreover, even if they were to be compatible with our target device, the only available dongles fully implemented the ZigBee stack, which also made them unsuitable for this project due to the relatively high latency that fact imposed –as it can be read at subsection 2.3.4, 802.15.4, soft-realtime needs required the usage of the 802.15.4 MAC layer on its own–.

- *Communication with Android using ZigBee*
  One year ago, around mid-2011, there were very few projects which were working on this sort of communication, between Android and 802.15.4 radio-equipped devices. Concretely, the only project of this kind we were aware of was one from Texas Instruments, which was stated to be pioneer in this field (as it can be seen in [7]). Despite that, several differences stands between this project and ours. For instance:

  – **USB communication:** Texas Instruments developed its own Android driver, namely "virtual COM port", so they could directly connect their ZigBee Network Processor (ZNP) to the Android device through USB. Instead, Android USB host mode is used in this project in order to establish the connection between

the receiver and the device. Because of using this method, USB communication between MSP430 microcontroller and the Android device has to be specifically implemented and tuned.

- **Android platform:** TI's project employed Android 2.2, while we are using version 3.1 in ours, due to the aforementioned need of USB host mode support this API provides. In addition, received data is used by our Android application, while TI employed ZigBee communication for less specific ends, such as controlling other devices like PCs, lamps or obtaining data from certain sensors.
- **Wireless communication:** in order that the ECG delineation could be done in real-time (namely soft real-time, as it will be explained later), this project does not use the complete ZigBee stack, but its 802.15.4 MAC layer. This restriction requires the radio –in particular, TI CC2420 radio module– has to be programmed specifically. Meanwhile, Texas Instruments made use of its TI CC2530 system on chip (SoC), which fully implemented ZigBee stack.
- **USB dongle:** as it was mentioned before, TI directly plugged their ZNP to an OMAP4 Blaze thanks to their driver. However, so that the same result could be obtained, we had to connect the radio, CC2420 module, to the MSP430 board –*nombre de la placa–, which was equipped with a USB interface. Furthermore, miniaturisation of this board was designed afterwards to make it an usable dongle.

In other words, Texas Instruments' project was actually able to build up working communications of this kind by the time ours was starting; all the same, special requirements like real time needs entail additional challenges for this project to overcome.

1.4 Document overview

Over the following pages, this document will present the details about the project it refers to. Beginning with a thorough explanation about the employed hardware and the work it required, which can be found at the "Hardware and communications" chapter; next, a deep description and analysis of the Android application's design and implementation; and, finally, an exposition of the obtained results, potential expansions and findings.

Chapter 2

Hardware and communications

2.1 Introduction

The hardware research and development part of the project objective is covering a main need: production of an external device that enables communication between an Android system and a *shimmer through 802.15.4. Such a device should be a portable and low-powered device that can be plugged via the widely used USB On-The-Go (USB OTG) to a host Android system, acting the device as a slave.

It has to be small sized because of the target application environment: a particular who requires constant, in-home, ambulatory monitorization. In that scenario unobtrusive operation is a main need, and usual life style activity modification is to be minimized. And it has to be low-powered, because were the power cost of application higher than that of the Bluetooth technology, a main advantage of 802.15.4 is lost.

The ability to communicate through USB is required because, at the time, it is the most low battery consuming method to interact with Android powered platforms for any external device[quote here wlan and bt costs].

And finally it should be able to act as the slave in the USB connection to avoid the need of an extra power source for the device that would increase the cost and size of the product.

In order to achieve such goals, and being aware of the substancial amount of research involved in this part of the project, the decision is made to adopt a milestone driven development which simplified scheduling and helped fo-

cusing on specific tasks while maintaining a global view of the evolution and the objectives of the project.

2.2 Overview

Before diving any further into the development a section describing technologies involved in the research process is presented, as such information will be key to the understanding of the rest of the chapter and will be throughoutly referenced during the exposition.

Then a description of the hardware research and development process is given, followed by detailed explanation of each projected milestone, including objectives pursued, lines of research developed, results of each one and conclusions obtained. Estimation based decision making being crucial for the correct outcome of the project, special care will be put to explain the motivations for each decision made. The chapter concludes with an exposition of the results of the research and subsequent development.

2.3 Technology Research

This part of the project involves a lot of terms and references a number of technologies and concepts which are important to be acquainted with in order to achieve a full understanding of the current chapter. These explanations will not be presented interlaced with the rest of the sections due to the unmanageable size they would acquire leading to a loss of focus which can only act against full comprehension of the exposed content.

2.3.1 Google ADK & Arduino

Android Open Accessory Development Kit, referred as "Google ADK" or "ADK" for now on, is a tool set which allows the development of accessories capable of interacting with Android-running devices. It consists of an Arduino board (particularly MegaADK, which is based on the ATmega2560 board) and a series of libraries for interacting with an optional set of hardware add-ons ("shields"). There are other compatible kits with different boards, like NXP-based mbed [8], yet Arduino MegaADK is the one used here.

The Google ADK functioning is actually very simple. Regarding the software, it needs of the developing of both an Android application with accessory communication and the board's firmware, which models the behaviour of the accessory. Once the board is flashed with its firmware, it has to be connected to a power source (through a dedicated wire or Type-B USB) and the Android device. If everything is correct, the latter detects the former and, therefore, the accessory starts its operation.

Typically, the capabilities of the Arduino board are broaden with the addition of extra shields, so that way it can make use of external sensors and agents. The board has multiple input and output pins at the developer's disposal as well, so unforeseen behaviours can be achieved.

2.3.2 MSP430

MSP430 refers to an entire family of 16-bit CPU microcontrollers from Texas Instruments [9]. Its most relevant features are:

- **Very low power consumption:** its several low-power modes make the MSP430 family specially suitable for developing embedded systems. Moreover, its brief wakeup transitions from this operating modes are also noteworthy, since these lapses stay around the microsecond (μs) range.
- **RISC-based Architecture:** its instruction set is particularly narrow [10], and thus simple. Reduced instruction sets ease programming when compared to complex ones, yet this advantage is not too decisive because of the reason presented next.
- **Simple programming:** Most family members are programmable trough JTAG, which makes the debugging process less difficult along with the possibility of swapping assembly for C.
- **Wide support and resources:** Texas Instruments provides code examples, software IDEs and thorough documentation as well as it offers extra developing tools like training boards.

However, it also suffers from some lacks. For instance, MSP430 devices are not equipped with external memory bus, what makes flash memory and RAM extensions impossible. In particular, the MSP430F66xx family, which the device used within this project belongs to, is provided with only 128-256KB of flash storage and 16KB of RAM –with an extra of 2KB whenever it is not

using USB [12, p. 2]–. This amount of RAM may be too limited for certain usages (not for this project's particular requirements, though).

Concretely, the microcontrollers and boards used in this project are:

- **Microcontrollers:** *MSP430F5438A* vs. *MSP430F6638*
  Both of them are characterized by their low power consumption as well as their voltage range, from 1.8V to 3.6V. Although they share most of their specs, there are some points where they differ:

  1. **System Clock Frequency:** in the case of the MSP430F5438A microcontroller it is up to 25MHz, whereas MSP430F6638's clock only reaches 20MHz.
  2. **Wakeup time lapse:** meanwhile TI claims MSP430F5438A wakes from standby mode in less than 5μs, MSP430F6638 needs less than 3μs.

  However, the most important difference lies in the USB support the second microcontroller provides, which is the reason why it was chosen for the accessory development. At any rate, full technical specification can be found at [11] and [12] for MSP430F5438A and MSP430F6638, respectively.

- **Boards:** *MSP-EXP430F5438* vs. *MSP-TS430PZ100USB*
  MSP-EXP430F5438 [13] is a prototyping board designed to make use of microcontrollers from the family of MSP430F5438 and stands out for featuring the following sensors and input/output elements: USB, JTAG, 5-position joystick, 2 push-buttons, dot-matrix LCD display, accelerometer... (full list of features in [13]). Furthermore, it also equips the *CC2420*, 2.4 GHz 802.15.4 radio module, which make this board specially convenient for this project's requirements. This module is directly connected to the microcontroller allowing their communication through SPI. In addition, its USB connectivity provides a very useful serial output for debugging.

  Nonetheless, despite the wide possibilities the previous board offers, the MSP-TS430PZ100USB [14] is selected. This board lacks serial port output and CC2420 compatible sockets, so the connection is to be done by hand and nor any of the other aforementioned artifacts available in the MSP-EXP430F5438 is present. But it provides the key feature required by the project: the ability to communicate the microprocessor with the board's USB connector.

2.3.3 Shimmer

2.3.4 802.15.4

2.3.5 FreeRTOS

Pedir información y referencias a joaquin

2.3.6 USB device & USB host

USB host [15], as oppose to USB accessory, is an operation mode which determines how a USB-equipped device interacts with another one. The two connected devices are differently named according to the role they assume in a host or accessory mode connection, namely USB host and USB device. This designation is based on the role each device is playing rather than the sort it belongs to. In particular, one end is named USB host if its apparatus is responsible of supplying power to the one at the other end.

The main difference between both modes lies within the direction the power supply follows or, more specifically, the role the Android running device adopts: Android device acts as host in USB host mode, whereas it acts as device in accessory mode. However, there is no difference in data transfer between them, as it remains bidirectional in both modes. It is typical that the device with larger power consumption (usually the Android device) is the USB host since it probably has a power source of any kind, although this is no always this way.

Regarding this project, this matter applies in the way both the Android and the receiver devices are connected and which one assumes the USB host role. It would result particularly desirable that the Android device acted as host so that the receiver could be fed from its battery –which would make the collection really portable, non-dependent on the accessory power source– . However, it depends on the platform that this arrangement can be possible.

Although certain Android devices running version 2.3 were capable of offering USB host support, this version only officially supports USB accessory mode; whereas USB host mode is only available by default since version 3.1. Thus, in order to get the required behaviour the usage of one of this special devices or, preferably, a device running a 3.1 Android version or newer.

2.4 Description

The hardware related investigation is the main section of the research part of the project. Not that there wasn't any research involved in other areas, but some critical elements of this part had never been researched before. At the beginning of the project specific objectives were established and main milestones elected, but absolutely no clue or direction for most of those milestones was available. Moreover, in some cases the feasibility of the proposed goals was unknown. Specifically the achievement of the very important objective of USB communication between an [TI's] MSP430 and an Android powered device assuming the latter the role of master and acting the former as a slave was something not done before and therefore no information was available even in the Texas Instrument support site.

This whole development and research poses some quite interesting challenges as it involves working nearly at every abstraction layer present on device development, ranging from schematic capture and PCB design to operating system related development. The main issues to be resolved are:

- **802.15.4 radio reception:** data packets are emitted from the monitoring Shimmer^{TM}and are to be received and dealt by the accessory. In order to do so, FreeRTOS has to be equipped with a working implementation of the radio stack, which involves implementing part of ZigBee's MAC layer, described by IEEE 802.15.4, as it is the underlying standard which the emitter nodes are based on.

- **MSP430 USB handling:** just as the radio stack, FreeRTOS is not prepared to make use of the USB interface with which the MSP430 board –namely TS430PZ100USB– is equipped. Thus, it is necessary to add the essential code in order to incorporate this functionality to the OS. This issue, in the same way as the previous one, is a critical part for the system to work properly since it may likely be a source of errors unless it is perfectly made –for instance, it could add transmission lags, packet corruption or loss–.

- **MSP430-Android communication:** this issue is particularly relevant as no project has ever claimed to feature this kind of connection before. Hence, it means an additional challenge to be overcome since it will involve the modification or complete development of an specific driver for the MSP430-equipped device. This challenge is imposed by choosing the USB host alternative so that the Android device powers the accessory. On the other hand, USB device may ease this issue due

to the already resolved communication system with accessories made by Google, yet it implies the accessory will need an additional power source. Fortunately, it finally turned out not to be so tough as it was expected and the goals related to this issue were successfully fulfilled, as it can be read at subsection 2.5.2, MSP430 for Android USB Host Comunication.

Over the following lines within the next section, the aforementioned challenges are detailed in the context of their own development stages. In addition, objectives and results of each one of these stages are also presented.

2.5 Milestones

Due to the fair amount of time a essentially researching work like this hardware development will require, the foreseen schedule is subject to changes which may completely alter it regardless of how irrelevant they may seem, and thus avoid the success of the project.

Keeping this risk in mind, the hardware development was planned as a sequence of milestones, ordered by increasing complexity. Every milestone introduces a new technology, each one of them capable of covering the needs of the project in a more complete way. In other words, the previously presented issues are to be resolved as these stages are overcome.

The schedule, then, starts with the usage of the Google Open Accessory Development Kit, based on Arduino, in order to make a prototype as first approach and, in this way, be able to parallelize software and hardware development –notice that both of them depend on each other–. This choice is made because of the well-known soft learning curve Arduino presents as well as the already prepared connection the Google ADK provides between accessories and Android devices.

The next step in the development, once the application has been proven to communicate with a data-providing accessory, consists of making Android capable of detecting and communicate via USB with an MSP430-equipped device. This stage can be qualified as very critical, since almost every part of the development depends on it.

The following milestone arises as a consequence of the FreeRTOS port which was specified before: its target device, namely MSP430F5438A, does not support USB communication, and by extension the port itself. Thus, the work

for this stage consists of providing FreeRTOS with USB support, which is to be employed with the new target device, MSP430F6638, which is member of the MSP430F66xx family with highest performance, along with USB support.

Next, the planning set the development of the communication between the emitter nodes and the device. The previously existing FreeRTOS port already supports the IEEE 802.15.4 standard MAC layer, so the work in this milestone involves needed modifications in order to get the port work properly with the new platform MSP430F6638. Although the first versions of the schedule considered this next step at the same time of the previous one, in later revisions it was decided to keep them separated for the sake of stability, derived from isolating both developments.

Due to the division between the two previous stages, both developments, USB and radio stacks, are to be done separately. In order to resolve the likely communication problems this decision can cause, the next iteration the planning considers is reserved for validating and fixing their coexistence may provoke.

Finally, the schedule adds two extra stages: the first, which may be dropped due to eventualities, considers the design of a miniaturized version of the receiver device so as to dispense with the TS430PZ100USB board, which is rather big and little portable. The second one is essential as it is reserved for possible needed fixings and refinements.

All these stages are now detailed over the following subsections.

2.5.1 Arduino for Android USB Device Comunication

The objectives for this first milestone objectives are:

- Acquire a suitable Android device prototyping environment,
- manage correct communication between Android and a prototype device, and
- develop an application emulating desired behaviour.

This milestone is set upon the consideration that it is a good approach in order to familiarize the team with communications between Android and USB devices. It also comes motivated by the previously supposed effort the

connection between Android and an MSP430-equipped device involves, since it has not been done before as well as Android USB host mode support has been out for only two months.

The process involved in the procurement of each objective is exposed next.

1. *Acquire a suitable Android device prototyping environment*
   The first step in order to develop this USB device consists of looking for a platform which is able to provide the needed components and libraries so as to make the connection with Android feasible. Although several devices are available to serve as Android accessories (as it is explained at subsection 2.3.1), Google ADK is the chose one since it is based on Arduino: a considerable amount of libraries and documentation is to be expected. Particularly, USB host mode library is the main reason for choosing it.

2. *Manage correct communication between Android and a prototype device*
   Once the target platform is chosen, the next step to be done consists of developing some test firmware for the Arduino as well as modifying consistently the Android application so that a proper communication between both devices can be established and proven. A period of learning and adaptation is required for the team to achieve this.

3. *Develop an application emulating desired behaviour*
   For this milestone to be fulfilled, it is required that the firmware developed for the Arduino can emulate the behaviour of an actual sample-receiving device. Thus, the microcontroller board is programmed in order that it sends formatted data as the Android application is expecting to receive. In this way, it is visually verifiable that the data transmission is performing properly.

Although Google refers its ADK as an accessory developing platform, it is noticeable that it is in fact used as a prototyping tool, and hence this development is not to be employed as a part of the final state of the system. As opposed to this, this stage of the project is considered as training transition to more technical developments to be engaged later.

2.5.2 MSP430 for Android USB Host Comunication

Objectives for this stage are:

- Supply MSP430 with USB protocol application functionality,

- research Android USB protocol related functionality,
- make Android OS recognize MSP430 when plugged, and
- manage communication between Android and MSP430 via USB.

Due to the need of USB support, both the microcontroller and its board models are required to be as new as possible, since older models lack this feature. Therefore, the MSP430F6638 microcontroller as well as the MSP-TS430PZ100USB board are selected for this development, as it is explained at subsection 2.3.2, MSP430.

Prototyping with Arduino during the previous milestone constitutes the preparation for the development to be done in the present one. Yet, whereas Android and Google ADK are designed to interact with one another, Android is not prepared for supporting a device like an MSP430 out of the box. So, in order to communicate both devices, an API from Texas Instruments [16] is the only available tool, though it is designed for Windows OS.

Among all the contents the TI API includes, its examples result particularly useful for the project requirements. Concretely, examples about CDC (Communications Device Class) protocol set the needed basis to fulfill the objectives for this milestone. Nonetheless, several examples does not seem to function, not even in Windows, its target operating system. Thus, the next step consists of studying both the examples and documentation from TI so as to fulfill the objectives.

1. *Supply MSP430 with USB protocol application functionality*

   As it was previously said, TI API contains a huge amount of information in the shape of:

   - **Extense documentation:** about the API itself and features of TI devices.
   - **Sample Windows Applications:** made to communicate Windows PCs with external devices or enumeration tools.
   - **Suite of examples:** each of them implements a combination or one of the following USB protocols: Communications Device Class (CDC), Human Interface Device (HID) or Mass Storage Class (MSC).

The same problems, though, keep these examples from working with the target MSP430 device. As a result of an intense investigation the trouble is found to lie within one of the device's clocks which, according to the API documentation, may need an specific tuning –particularly, 4MHz–. Moreover, in spite of the fact that the board seems functional, it actually lacks that certain clock. As expected, TI example properly works with the addition of the 4MHz clock.

In addition to the previous hitch, another one arises upon changing the current workstation. Every work during this development is carried out within a Windows virtual machine running over Windows, yet the examples stops working when the host machine is changed for another one running GNU/Linux, particularly Ubuntu. Despite having achieved the present objective, this fact reduces the expectations for the next one since Android, the target OS, is also based on Linux kernel.

2. *Research Android USB protocol related functionality*

As it is expected because of its performance with Ubuntu, Android is not able to recognize the target device, and hence the example cannot be tested. In order to solve this inconvenience, two solutions are considered: search for the proper driver, if any, and modify it or develop it from scratch.

Nevertheless, the search concludes with no results, so it is assumed that this particular driver does not exist. The most similar resource found consists of a driver for MAC OS X, which would have to be consistently modified in order to make it work. However, this idea is dropped due to the advice obtained from a qualified source that suggests exploring other methods to make the communication feasible apart from developing a driver, which may be too harsh and spend too much time. A quite considerable risk is assumed by doing so as there are no warranties of finding a proper solution.

Fortunately, further research drives to a successful alternative: it is found that Android actually implements HID protocol, which is also supported by MSP430 through the Texas Instruments API. Finally, upon loading the proper HID application into the MSP430 from the TI API this objective reaches its fulfillment.

3. *Make Android OS recognize MSP430 when plugged*

   Once both two first objectives of this milestone are achieved, MSP430 recognition by Android is inmediate when the connection is set since all the needed work is already done.

4. *Manage communication between Android and MSP430 via USB*

   At this point, the work still to be done consist of modifying the Android application in order that it can read data from the receiver device. However, this is not a trivial work as Android USB host communication is highly dependant on the device which it has to read from. Thorough investigation over the API manuals is required to discover every detail and make it work.

Therefore, as it can be deduced from the previously explained happenings, this stage draws a great amount of risk to the hardware development due to several critical points it involves which further required tasks are to be based on. In other words, part of this project's probabilities of success greatly depends on the feasibily of the present objectives, so this milestone completely conditions the planning. Once it is finished, it will certainly allow to tune the schedule with better accuracy.

2.5.3 USB in FreeRTOS

This milestone's objectives are:

- Check the proper working of FreeRTOS with the new microcontroller,
- validate the feasibility of the usage of USB API along with FreeRTOS in MSP430,
- correctly integrate USB API into FreeRTOS, and
- manage USB data sending in FreeRTOS.

Despite having already achieved communication between Android and MSP430 device through USB, there is still work about this since it is only a test version which does not take FreeRTOS into account. In fact, FreeRTOS, as it is explained at subsection 2.3.5, will be responsible of managing the operation of the microcontroller in addition to the radio. So, adapting the USB functionality and equipping FreeRTOS with it arises as the next relevant stage of the project, required to make the receiver device possible.

1. *Check the proper working of FreeRTOS with the new microcontroller*

   Prior to starting with this milestone's core objective, FreeRTOS needs to be adapted in order to make it work with the new microcontroller, namely MSP430F6638, since it is addressed to a different model, the MSP430F5438A. This change implies the modification at several points of the code of the operating system so that its proper working can be assured.

2. *Validate the feasibility of the usage of USB API along with FreeRTOS in MSP430*

   The following objective to be achieved consists on incorporating the TI USB API to FreeRTOS, focusing in its completion rather than its perfect working. This is not a trivial task since, for instance, the length of the API is about the same size as the code of FreeRTOS. The most relevant inconvenience that endangers this work is the considerable amount of resources, , which FreeRTOS may need for performing its tasks. However, this is a matter of properly balancing the distribution of these resorces, and thus it can be achieved by paying special attention and care to it.

   Remove this last sentence?

   Question Pferv about this

3. *Correctly integrate USB API into FreeRTOS*

   Although the USB API is already functional and integrated into FreeRTOS, this integration is made without caring about keeping the compatibility with already supported microcontrollers, and hence losing this feature. Therefore, the next step consists of restoring its support for other devices like MSP430F5438A. Nonetheless, as the original FreeRTOS port already supported different devices such as the one mentioned and Shimmer^{TM}, this work is not as hard as it should be if carried out analogously.

4. *Manage USB data sending in FreeRTOS*

   Once the USB functionality is properly integrated into FreeRTOS, it is required to check whether the communication it provides correctly stablishes and the trasmitted data does not suffer from corruption or loss. The method used to test this consists of running a special program on FreeRTOS which sends a known character sequence so the input and

output sequence can be checked as equal. As they are, in fact, the same sequence, this objetive along with the entire milestone are fulfilled.

With the work carried out during this stage, communication between the receiver and the Android device is completed, fact that limits the work that is left to to the management of the wireless reception through 802.15.4. Wireless communication will be dealt over the following two milestones.

2.5.4 802.15.4 in FreeRTOS

This milestone's objectives are:

- Validate current implementation of 802.15.4 in FreeRTOS in MSP430 testing board,
- manage connection to the CC2420 radio module to target MSP430 device,
- port implementation of 802.15.4 to target MSP430 device, and
- prepare such implementation for actual usage.

developed by jrecas, indicate?

The next step is the achievement of wireless 802.15.4 receiving in the MSP430 board through the CC2420 radio module. An existing port of the MAC layer for the FreeRTOS running in the MSP430 is taken as the starting point. The main advantage of employing it is that it's the same code running in the 802.15.4 emitter utilized in the project. In any case, before actual usage a correct validation of the software is mandatory. Once validation is completed, the MAC layer is to be implemented into the target MSP430 device and tweaked for actual usage. That is the ultimate goal of this milestone.

1. *Validate current implementation of 802.15.4 in FreeRTOS in MSP430 testing board*

   Before addressing the delicate task of implementing the 802.15.4 MAC layer port into the target MSP430 device, validation of this port is conducted in the testing MSP430F5438A board. This decision is motivated by the fact that this board provides serial port output and out of the box CC2420 connection.

   The testing of the MAC layer provides negative results, as although 802.15.4 receiving functionality is present in the port, the OS is not

actually notified about it and the received data is lost. Moreover, problems in the receiving of packages of maximum size, which are the ones sent by the 802.15.4 emissor, are also detected.

The amendment of the aforementioned problems takes no longer that expected, and the porting of the 802.14.4 support to the target MSP430 device begins. The original developer of both the FreeRTOS and the MAC layer is notified about the detected problems, and the fixes are also implemented on the 802.15.4 emissor.

this would be jrecas

2. *Manage connection to the CC2420 radio module to target MSP430 device*

   The target MSP430PZ100USB board provides no CC2420 radio module connection socket, so the port of the validated 802.15.4 module to this board requires manual connection handling of the radio device. The MSP430 features a 100-pin footprint, and a complete study of all the 100 pins target usage and on-board availability becomes mandatory for the identification of the pins that the CC2420 will be connected to. Special care is put to avoid collisions between the USB and the radio modules regarding hardware resources.

   Successful identification of the required pins done, a manual soldering of the CC2420 radio module is executed and subsequent testing can continue.

by dixon

3. *Port implementation of 802.15.4 to target MSP430 device*

   The inclusion of the 802.15.4 MAC layer into the target MSP430 device involves modifing the FreeRTOS pin mapping code, which is a specially sensitive task. The code is carefully modified taking the mapping code for the already tested MSP430F5438A as the base. Special care is put in the task as any mistake would cause the radio module to fail at initialization and following error tracking would be very time consuming.

   Once the FreeRTOS pin mapping is complete, no further work is, initally, required in the port process.

4. *Prepare 802.15.4 implementation for actual usage*

   Having both the hardware and the software part of the 802.15.4 module port completed, actual testing is conducted on the target MSP430 device, resulting in an initial absolute failure. The radio module nor even turning on, an in-depth research on the subject becomes the main priority.

   The solution is found in one of the TI code examples for the target MSP430, which provides a different code for the Universal Receiver/Transmitter (USART) initialization routine than the one employed in the testing MSP430F5438A. Substitution of this code in the FreeRTOS implementation solves the problem and further testing indicates that the radio module implementation has concluded.

The rest of the milestone allotted time is then employed in final test conducting resulting in small changes to the code to fully adapt it for the project needs but no critical changes are required. By the end of the milestone time a fully functional 802.15.4 module is achieved in the target MSP430 device, although actual validation of the correct coexistence of the radio and USB modules is to be conducted in the next project phase.

Comentar que este trabajo se hizo en colaboración con joaquin?

2.5.5 802.15.4 & USB coexistence under FreeRTOS

This milestone's objectives are:

- Assess conflict-free coexistence of current implementation of both USB and 802.15.4 modules in MSP430, and
- manage sending data received from 802.15.4 via USB.

Having both the USB communication and the 802.15.4 receiving working over FreeRTOS when isolated, the next task assumed is the testing of them both working together. While they should theoretically coexist without conflicts, the manual mapping of the processor pins performed in the last milestone involves a risk this won't happen. Once such hardware validation has concluded, software validation is also to be conducted by the development of a first version of the USB sending program.

1. *Assess conflict-free coexistence of current implementation of both USB and 802.15.4 modules in MSP430* The hardware risk was adviced much before the begining of this milestone, and when we made de pin mapping we keep in mind this risk, thanks that, this risk was avoided.

Rafa left here

2. *Manage sending data received from 802.15.4 via USB* After develop an aplication to send data recived trought USB is noticed that, however the software risk was initialy not avoided because the Shimmer send data paquets too fast and MSP430 can't manage this amount of information to send it trought USB and some packets was lost. A little adjusts was necesary, the packets sent was concentred in the start of the available time slots then, we spaced it, sending the same number of packets but with the same time between packet and packet, filling the whole aviable time slots.

Now, our system was finally able to send trought USB the packets recived in radio with no losses. This achievment was very important before develop and test the final aplication with several real-time restrictions.

2.5.6 MSP430 based device design

- Board exhaustive analysis,
- Capture of the schematic, and
- PCB design and route.

2.5.7 Final Validation and Release Candidate Version Developmentn

- Final validation of final aplications with prototyping hardware
- Final validation of final aplications with final hardware

2.6 Final Product

Primer parrafo, finalmente, despues de toda esta investigación podemos afirmar que es lo que tenemos, un dispositivo totalmente diseñado por nosotros,

de un tamaño reducido y comodo de usar, y que es capaz de comunicarse tanto con un android(a partir de la 3.1)(moviles y tablets) como con widows y es capaz de recibir paquetes por la radio siguiendo el estandar 802.15.4.
De hecho el hardware que lleva lo hace potencialmente usable para cualquiera que requiera un modulo USB que reciba señales de radio por 802.15.4 y las mande por USB por lo que su potencial es mucho mayor que el que se le da en el ambito concreto del proyecto y podría tener muchos más usos que el dado.
En el ambito concreto del proyecto, el producto nos sirve como medio para que el android pueda recibir la onda ECG capturada y enviada por el shimmer, es interesante por tanto que el tamaño del dispositivo diseñado es realmente pequeño por lo que será comodo para llevar para las personas que por tener un problema necesiten monitorizarse, además gracias a su bajo consumo la vida util de nuestro dispositivo android no se verá muy reducida con su uso, y más importante aún gracias a esto el shimmer no necesita el bluetooth y puede enviar las señales por radio de manera que consume mucho menos y ve alargado su tiempo entre recargas de 5 o 6 horas a 5 o 6 dias. Especialmente interesante de este dato es el hecho de que con la radio el shimmer supera el umbral del día de funcionamiento por lo que cargando el dispositivo por la noche(sin necesidad de desconectarlo de los sensores, para no interrumpir la monitorización) el paciente podría estar monitorizado indefinidamente, en nuestro caso nuestro paciente podría incluso irse de fin de semana sin preocuparse de llevar el cargador de la batería.
Fuera del ambito del proycto, este dispositivo podría convertirse en un estandar ya que permite recibir cualquier onda 802.15.4 y pasarsela tanto a android como a windows, además con unas sencillisimas modificaciones en el código el dispositivo puede asumir el rol de ser él el que manda los datos que recibe por USB por lo que disponiendo de dos de ellos, podríamos comunicar dos aparatos cualquiera(android o windows) por 802.15.4, como por ejemplo hacer unos walkies de bajo consumo para android o..(aqui más chorradas)
Finalizar con las conclusiones sobre el desarrollo:
La investigacion es muchas veces imprevisible y lo que llevas semanas sin conseguir progresos queda resuelto en un buen día, por lo que los desarrollos en este campo no tienen sentido sin planificaciones flexibles como la que planteamos.
Trabajo futuro en esto, sería como mucho hacer el código que he comentado antes que haga el paso inverso y reciba por USB y mande por radio pero si de verdad interesa se puede hacer antes de la presentación, pero poco más
Como dificultades cabría mencionar que la documentación de TI no es todo lo clara que merece su tamaño, por lo que a veces resultaba complicado, o inlcuso podemos decir que una dificultad era soldar piezas diminutas a la hora

de hacer el prototipo pero que eso se resolvió gracias a que lo hizo carlos.

Chapter 3

Software Development

3.1 Introduction

The development of a software application targeted at Android Operating System for mobile devices is the counter-part to the hardware research part of the project. This application was to substitute the already developed one for iOS devices, adding funcionality extracted from feedback obtained from actual medical staff [!]Fran and EPFL[!]. The software must provide functionality to visualize ECG data from Bluetooth or 802.15.4 sources (the latter obtained via [!]our receiver node[!]) in realtime, as well as to save that data into file logs for afterwards reading.

Android as a development platform provides a wide set of high abstraction level tools to emphasize robust and reusable design for low resource based, quick development cycles. Such benefits require the adequation of the software design and architecture to the constrains imposed by the Android development framework.

Given that none of the project team members had received any instruction on this framework, engaging the development of an Android application implied an important risk. Moreover, after the research and training steps concluded, follow up of that risk was not halted, as the quick, robust software development is only assured when building an standard Android application; dynamic, soft real-time functionality implementation is not discouraged, but also not guaranteed to work. Mobile devices development restrictions and common practices were also unkown to the team.

Even when the aforementioned eased development features are applicable,

mobile devices are harsh software environments due to, amongst others, memory and battery constrains, where processes have to handle being suspended by an incoming call or similar external events. This factors are specially critical for an application as the one developed in this project, which needs to continually parse and log data.

The application was also intended to act as a quick testing front-end for the prototypes produced by the parallel-conducted hardware research. By providing fully-functional application modules since early stages of development, hardware prototypes could be best-case and worst-case checked by directly connecting them to the Android device for data visualization. Visual verification proved to be a very effective method when working with large quantities of data which were more easily checked against their visual representation than value-by-value reading.

These factors lead to the adoption of an agile software development process focusing on functionality building while prototyping more high risk involving features. To avoid typical drawbacks of such methodologies, great emphasis was put on the application of characteristics found in *Iterative and Incremental processes*, namely, use case driven and risk focused development. That way, project scheduling was done addressing higher risks first while assuring expected functionality to be implemented on time thanks to the use case model.

3.2 Overview

In the following sections a complete view of the software development project will be presented, beginning with the requirements captured for the project. The use case scenarios identified from those requisites will be detailed next, followed by an explanation of the system design and architecture. Then implementation details will be exposed and the chapter will finish with a short conclusion.

3.3 Requirements

The requirement capture process for the project considered three main stakeholders: the project masters (¿Nombres?), the EPFL[*] and the project team members; and was done in two sessions. The first one produced the basic

requirement list which described the system and was used to schedule the earlier development iterations. This was so because of the strong time restrictions this software development project had to cope with. When the critical functionality was achieved and the hardware research was in a suitable state, the second requirements capture session was conducted. By then, the EPFL representative had shown the state of the development to the stakeholder party of him, and collected feedback. Thus, the requirements produced by this second session were of a more user-oriented nature.

Leave this? Did we got actual feedback?

The functional and non-functional requirement lists are presented next.

3.3.1 Functional Requirements

- R01 - Receive raw data via Bluetooth
- R02 - Receive raw data via 802.15.4
- R03 - Receive raw data from a log file
- R04 - Parse raw data into processed data
- R05 - Display processed data
- R06 - Log raw data
- R07 - Log processed data
- R08 - Scale View Vertically
- R09 - Scroll View Vertically
- R10 - Scroll View Horizontally

3.3.2 Non-functional Requirements

The following non-functional requirements are identified:

- The application must display ECG data at 30fps.
- The application must run on a Motorla Xoom device.

3.4 Risk Analysis

Being the project mainly a hardware research project, and considering the software development part of it useless without successful results on the hardware part, a detailed process of risk analysis was mandatory to be conducted since the earlier stages of planning and development so as to avoid wasting manpower on futile work.

The risk list at the end of the project is as follows:

- **PR1.** Application funcionality inferior to that featured by existing iOS application
- **HR1.** 802.15.4 receiver device delayed
- **HR2.** 802.15.4 receiver device unfeasible
- **MR1.** Mobile device unsuitable for target functionality
- **AR1.** Lack of instruction on Android development delays workflow
- **AR2.** Android providing subpar performance when handling required data
- **AR3.** Android rendering capabilities unable to handle required data

This risk anaylisis focused on two main risk sources: the parallel-conducted hardware research, and Android as a development platform. Project definition and team related risks were also considered.

The hardware research part of the project delivered the highest probability and impact rated risks. It was so because those risks were external to the software development project scope and thus could not be handled by any of the tools provided by any development methodology. At the same time, should such risks come to be, the impact on the software product would be, in most of cases, as cathastrophic as turning the whole development useless thus causing it's cancellation.

Regarding Android development only a subset of the final set of risks was assessed at first. Every risk in this subset dealt with the team lack of knowledge about the Android platform and was scheduled to be addressed foremost. A last risk was added to this group after the first research on mobile devices limitations regarding potential unfitness of such devices for near real-time display and handling of not-so-small data packages, and that risk handling

plan proved to be key to the successful outcome of the project as the remaining subset of Android-related risks were linked to Android applications display performance.

The usual project definition and personal risks such as incorrect deadline scheduling or unability to reach critical milestones on time were pondered, increasing their impact rates as the application would be needed by the hardware device to secure a successful outcome for the project.

A detailed view of each assessed risk is provided next, including risk evolution throughout the project lifetime.

PR1. Application functionality inferior to that featured by existing iOS application
Probability: Moderate
Impact: Very High
Description: Failure to provide an expanded set of features in the Android application when compared with the iOS application renders the software part of the project invalid on its own. It could, then, only be valid as demo software for USB receiver device showcasing. If the device is nor finished, then the whole software development project will have been futile. The key marker for this risk is unability to generate valid software modules throughout the development that provide required functionality. Failure to reach milestones and use case realizations on time is other important marker. Preventive measures were taken to avoid the occurence of this risk since the beginning of the development by a functionality building focused project scheduling for the first development phases.
This risk was marked as surpassed at the reviewing metting of Iteration 2 as all key functionality had been implemented, as planned.

HR1. 802.15.4 receiver device delayed
Probability: High
Impact: High
Description: Being the production of the 802.15.4 receiver device dependant on the hardware research part of the project a delay on the estimated milestones for that part of the project is likely to occur. Should that happen, hardware research and development will need to be prioritized over this software project. That could lead to big delays in software production. To prevent the rising of further problems derived from those potential delays,

the software development process must always work with non-solid, ready-to-change deadlines and milestones. Application functionality is to be ranked in order of importance of implementation to be prepared, in case of an unexpectedly big delay, to leave less important functionality out of the scope of the project. Markers to be followed up are: unsuccessful output from hardware research (a new branch of the potential technologies tree has to be explored), failure to reach hardware development or research milestones and delays in the acquisition of tools or devices needed for the hardware project. Preventive measures considered are: detailed follow up of the hardware research development, reducing the software development team if manpower is needed in the hardware area, and planning asuming delays on component acquisition.
HR1 was monitored throughout the whole software development project, and marked as surpassed at the reviewing meeting of Iteration 5.

HR2. 802.15.4 receiver device unfeasibe
Probability: Medium
Impact: Critical
Description: Until hardware research results are successfully delivered there is no guarantee of the viability of the 802.15.4 receiver device. This software development project loses most of its value if such device is not developed, as the iOS application already exists. Developing an Android application with an equal feature set is also a valid objective, so this risk does not render the development invalid: the full team will then work on software development, and requirements will be restated to include more final-user oriented functionality and/or features from the *future* set. This risk can be monitored with the following markers: unsuccessful output from hardware research and failure to reach hardware development or research milestones. Being both external to this software project, no preventive measures can be applied apart from scheduling allowing smaller team sizes for the software area.
The probability of the risk was reduced to low after the reviewing meeting for Iteration 3, when the critical hardware research had concluded with positive results. HR2 was marked as surpassed when the production of a device prototype was finished and tested [?TIME?].

MR1. Mobile device unsuitable for target functionality
Probability: Low

Impact: Critical
Description: Even when mobile devices technical specifications have increased significantly in the previous years, specially regarding CPU power and rendering capabilities, the soft-realtime requirements of the project in terms of data manipulation and visual representation involve a low probability risk of the application being unsuitable for such devices. The risk probability is decreased by the fact that similar featured applications exist both for iOS and Android powered devices. Even so, the 802.15.4 receiver device USB interface doesn't allow for this risk not to be reckoned. Preventive measures considered: quick prototyping of critical functionality to discard unfeasibility, conduction of performance tests, both rendering and data handling related, in the target device and testing of USB-Android communication as soon as possible in the project schedule.
As performance tests were conducted on early builds of the application, the need of further research on this risk arised as the data handling performance in the target device was low, but not as low as the rendering performance. Thus, this risk was unfolded into risks AR2 and AR3, both related to Android performance when handling the aforementioned tasks. This risk follow up was then halted, as it was no longer needed.

AR1. Lack of instruction on Android development delays workflow
Probability: High
Impact: Moderate
Description: None of the team members has received any instruction on Android development and throughout research is not viable because of time restrictions. It is reasonable to foresee potential delays in the development because of the parallel instruction-development flow, as well as the need to rewrite parts of the system rendered obsolete when further knowledge is acquired. Application malfunctioning, unexpected behaviours and low performance are markers to be tracked. As a preventive measure a short instruction time will be scheduled at the beginning of the project, but every team member is responsible to continue his instruction throughout the whole project. Application builds are to be checked for big differences against canon Android applications behaviour.
The risk was marked as surpassed after Iteration 3, as critical functionality had already been implemented and tested, though Android instruction was not halted.

AR2. Android providing subpar performance when handling required data
Probability: Moderate
Impact: High
Description: The benefits of the high-level, single application model provided by Android are such in behalf of the sacrifice of performance. In this project soft-realtime requirements are present, and the system needs to process around 250 ECG wave samples [quotation needed] (among other data) per second. Android code reutilization and class based programming suggested practices, the absence of an explicit memory management API and the employment of the Garbage Collector only complicate the achievement of such requirements. Special care will need to be put on the development and performance checks are to be conducted regularly on generated builds to ensure the avoidance of this risk. If evidence is found of Android inability to provide the required perfomance (and there is no way of attributting the failure to the team's lack of ability), low-level Android development will be considered. As the probability of this last scenario to occur is quite low, no research will be conducted in low-level Android development until mandatory.
The risk was verified to be occuring during Iteration 2 testing phase. Lack of care on memory management was found to be the problem, and was solved in Iteration 3. The risk was marked as surpassed after the review meeting for Iteration 4.

AR3. Android rendering capabilities unable to handle required data
Probability: Low
Impact: High
Description: The Android Operating System runs on quite a wide range of devices, each with its own technical specifications. Providing the single application development model that Android features requires many software layers, many of them of high abstaction level. The risk exists, thus, that the rendering required by the project couldn't be achieved within the involved time restrictions. The target device for the project is fixed (see Non-functional Requirements Subsection [link!]) as a Motorola Xoom. This device employs a dedicated Tegra2 GPU [quotation needed] which should suffice, so risk probability is chosen as *low*. Performance tests in the display module are to be conducted, though, so as to make sure that a correct usage of the available resources is being done. If low rendering performance is detected, Android native level rendering API, Renderscript, is to be looked into as a remedy, once the code is assured to be optimized.
Risk probability was increased to *Moderate* during Iteration 3

as low performance was detected but was considered not critical enough to apply the Renderscript solution. The risk was marked as surpassed in the reviewing meeting of Iteration 4.

Thanks to this risk analysis the project schedule was developed in such a manner that it prioritized risk suppressing and the decision was taken to plan only the first two of the five intended development iterations, leaving the other three as drafts to allow them to evolve at par with the uncancelled risks. Use case realization order was also selected based on this risk analyisis, ensuring that higher risk involving features were developed (or at least prototyped) as early as possible.

Use cases for the project are presented next, followed by the system architecture description, to allow a finer understanding of the software development project schedule and related decisions, which will be detailed in the next section.

3.5 Use Cases

The project use cases are now presented following the *use case common style* described by Martin Fowler [Fowler, 2004], as the relaxed template allows for quicker document producing than more detailed descriptions such as those presented by Cockburn [Cockburn, 2001].

Actor listing is omitted in all the descriptions, because of the following implicits:

- The main actor for the use case is the user
- The only other actor is the data emitter node, when applicable. It can refer to the Bluetooth emmitter device or the USB 802.15.4 receiver device.

Please note that the 802.15.4 emitter node is not considered an actor as the communication with such device is handled by the USB 802.15.4 receiver, which actually is the considered actor.

3.5.1 UC1. View data from Bluetooth

Description The user wish to receive and visualize data from a Bluetooth ECG node in real time. He will start the communication, visualize real-time

received data and finish the connection once done.

This use case captures requisites R01, R04, R05, R06, and R07.

Preconditions The application is in the main menu screen.

Main flow

1. User indicates his will to start Bluetooth data visualization.
2. The system prompts for the node to connect to.
3. User specifies the desired node.
4. The system manages connection to the node. If unable to establish the connection, see AF1.
5. The Bluetooth node sends data to the system.
6. The system shows processed data to the user. Received data is also logged.
7. The user can now adjust view parameters (See UC4)
8. User chooses to finish data visualization.
9. The system closes active connections and stops data visualization.
10. The system returns to the main menu.

Alternative Flow 1 The system cannot establish connection to the Bluetooth node selected by the user.

1. The system notifies the user about the problem.
2. The system returns to the main menu.

3.5.2 UC2. View data from USB Receiver

Description The user wish to receive and visualize data from an 802.15.4 ECG node in real time. He will start the communication, visualize real-time received data and finish the connection once done. The data from the node will be received via the USB 802.15.4 receiver device.

This use case captures requisites R02, R04, R05, R06, and R07.

Preconditions The application is in the main menu screen.

Main flow

1. User indicates his will to start USB receiver data visualization.
2. The system asks the user to connect the USB receiver.
3. User connects the USB receiver.
4. The system manages connection to the USB receiver. If unable to establish the connection, see AF1.
5. The USB receiver device sends data to the system.
6. The system shows processed data to the user. Received data is also logged.
7. User can now adjust view parameters (See UC4)
8. User chooses to finish data visualization.
9. The system closes active connections and stops data visualization.
10. The system returns to the main menu.

Alternative Flow 1 The system cannot establish connection to the USB receiver device.

1. The system notifies the user about the problem.
2. The system returns to the main menu.

3.5.3 UC3. View data from log file

Description The user wishes to read a log file created from a real time visualization session. He will specify the log file to load, visualize stored data and finish visualization once done.

This use case captures requisites R03, R04 and R05.

Preconditions The application is in the main menu screen.

Main flow

1. User indicates his will to start log data visualization.
2. The system prompts for the log file to load.
3. User specifies the desired file.
4. The system reads the selected log file.
5. The system shows logged data to the user.
6. User can now adjust view parameters (See UC4)
7. User chooses to finish data visualization.
8. The system stops data visualization.
9. The system returns to the file selection menu.
10. User selects to return to main menu. Else follow from step 3.
11. The system returns to the main menu.

3.5.4 UC4. Adjust view parameters

Description When visualizing ECG data the user wishes to adjust view parameters such as plot vertical scale, plot vertical scroll and plot horizontal scroll.

This use case captures requisites R08, R09, R10.

Preconditions The application is displaying ECG data.

Main flow

1. User indicates his will to change the vertical scale.
2. The system updates plot vertical scale.
3. User indicates his will to change plot vertical scroll.
4. The system updates plot vertical scroll.
5. If the displayed data is read from a log file, see AF1.

Alternate Flow 1 The user is able to control horizontal scroll parameter.

1. User indicates his will to change the horizontal scroll.

2. The system updates plot horizontal scroll.

3.6 Design and Architecture

The design of the software application is done targeting easy extension of the functionality which allows an incremental realization of the identified use cases and quick, isolated prototyping of new features in a manner in which already developed ones are not afected.

The Android Software Development Kit being the base technology employed in the development, the Android Application Framework conditions the basic architecture of the system. Particularly this framework imposes the utilization of a derivate of the Activity class as the entry point for the application. The idea is that an application is composed of various Activities, providing each of them "a screen with which users can interact in order to do something" .

Cite Android Dev Guide

The correct usage of the activities model in the Android framework includes declaration of them in the application manifest (see X for reference) and a number of other steps which are excessively formal for the target comfort and speed-of-operation levels regarding development of extensions. Specifically the application gives support for a number of data sources, namely Bluetooth, log file and USB device, each one with it's own needs in terms of user interfaces and interaction. Moreover, new data sources would possibly require different interfaces than those already developed.

Actually reference something

In such an scenario the decision is made for the architecture of the application to override Android activities model, employing only a single Activity which will implement a stack of user interfaces each with its own logic and behaviour, in the same manner Android does with the activities but allowing more flexible development. The inclusion of a new interface or set of interfaces is, thus, simplified, and every application entity is given the ability to present it's own menu to the user. This is specially useful when including new data sources with special interface requirements to the application.

An overview of the application architecture is presented in Figure 3.1.



Figure 3.1: Architecture overview

At the core level the main Activity, the View package and the Data package are present, as well as three packages (Bluetooth, Filereader, USB) which will be dealt with later. These components provide the basic elements which compose the model over which actual functionality is built, and are to be seen as the tools available for the overlaying software layer which is addressed next.

The main Activity of the application assumes the role of the central coordinator and is responsible for creation and management of area specific managers, application level data and handling of the aforementioned user interfaces stack. It is also responsible, as the application's entry point, of the presentation and behaviour management of the main application menu

which gives access to actual functionality, delegating in the specific managers.

Of all those tasks, the most important are the initialization and eventual finalization of data visualization flows in collaboration with the appropiate area specific manager. Throughout the process, mainly controlled by the activity, components required for the visualization process are initialized, delegating area specific tasks to the manager. Eventually, the manager assumes the control of the application flow, leaving the activity as a dispatcher of input events.

These managers are part of the so-called, in the project terminology, a model; and the activity can be portrayed as the model manager. Conceptually a model is a set of software entities which live in the application and handle the data flow from a given data source towards a data holder, including or not, the visualization of such data. A model contains a Manager which is the entity responsible of the handling of the rest of the model entities. Please note that this model scheme is specific to the domain of the project and is not a general one.

Remove this note? Leave this note?



Figure 3.2: Data-flow model realization

This conceptual model scheme is provided by a subset of the classes and in-

Rename model to FlowModel?

terfaces shown in Figure 3.1. For actual functionality building a realization of the model is to be developed, giving actual meaning to the scheme. In the scope of the project four model realizations have been implemented and will be detailed later.

A model realization is composed of implementations of the following elements from the core level exposed before: 1) a Manager, which handles user interaction and required preparations; 2) a DataSource that manages raw data obtention from the actual source, and processing and sending of such data to a 3) DataHolder, which stores and handles the data in any required way and, if data visualization is required 4) an implementation of a view thread.

More detailed approaches to all the concepts exposed in this introduction are built throughout the following subsections.

3.6.1 View Package

The set of classes encompassed in the View package (see Figure 3.1) provides both a base rendering architecture in an update-render loop style not initally present in the Android framework and the specialization of such architecture for the specific project domain, i.e. plotting of the electrocardiogram wave and its relevant points.

Regarding rendering Android provides a set of common employed visual elements. These implementations try to simplify the developer's work by giving customizable solutions to common scenarios, such as rendering a list of elements or a drop-down selection object, in a visually pleasing way. All this elements are part of the hierarchy of Android's View class, and implement a composite pattern for rich menu-building.
The soft real-time rendering imposed by the project restrictions requires a specific View class derivate: the SurfaceView. This kind of View provides a bitmap surface where pixel-level rendering is allowed. A set of rendering tools is also provided by Android. The architecture developed on top of that view extends the SurfaceView to an AnimationView and delegates the rendering to an AnimationThread. This last entity is the one providing the update-render loop employed for the real-time rendering. The drawback of employing a SurfaceView as the base is that such element doesn't provide common employed functionality as scrolling or zooming.

reference to View in android?

The actual, domain specific rendering functionality providing threads are implemented employing the aforementioned layer as a base. The precise en-

tities are DynamicViewThread and StaticViewThread, and are referred in this document as implementations of the view thread, even if such class does not exist in the project. This two classes implement the required behaviour for dynamic and static data visualization respectively, and obtain the data to be shown from a data source entity. Such entity is dealt with in the following section. The reason for different entities to exist for static and dynamic rendering is also detailed there.

3.6.2 Data Management Package

This package contains data storage and handling related entities. Differentiation between two types of data managed by the application depending on their purpose is mandatory. On one hand is the application level data, which is specific or non-specific information shared by the whole application. On the other hand is the data received from a source that must be visually presented to the user or stored for later visualization. Providing software tools allowing the modelling that data flow is the key task of this package.

The class Data acts as a centralized application level data storage. It is a singleton and is accesible by every entity in the system. It also provides syncronization methods for correct inter-thread communication.

The rest of the entities of the Data package are employed in the aforementioned model scheme, and specify the expected behaviour of each element involved in a data flow. As indicated before, specialization of this entities is required for the realization of the model.



Figure 3.3: DataManager interface

DataManager is the interface to be implemented by the model controller. It is responsible for the configuring, starting and halting the data flow provided

Link to this process

by its controlled entities. It also participates in the process of initialization of the visualization of a data flow by communicating a DataHolder with the respective DataSourceThread.

A DataSourceThread is a thread which provides data to other entities in the system, generally to a DataHolder. It is a specialization of Thread with functionality to start and stop the flow of data. This data is usually received from an external entity, such as a USB device or a file, and concrete implementations might require an actual communication between the two, forcing the DataSourceThread to send data to the other end of the connection. Because of that, any specialization of this class must also listen to petitions of writing to its data provider when available.



Figure 3.4: DataSourceThread class

Reference DataParser

The processing of raw data sent by the data providers to the DataSourceThreads is expected to be done in the latter, so the data transfered throughout the application is of a processed nature. To this end the DataParser entity is available.

The expected receiver of the data sent by a DataSourceThread is a DataHolder. This interface provides domain-specific data handling abstract methods and definitions. An implementation of a DataHolder must handle the reception of ECG wave samples, delineation points, synchronization points and heart-beat-rate values.

The actual way in which that data is employed depends on the concretion of the interface, and might or might not involve visual representation. When visual representation is required, the view thread implementation should also implement the DataHolder interface.

There are two different specifications for the data holders: DataHolder and



Figure 3.5: DataHolder interface

StaticDataHolder. This is so because of the two modes of operationof the application. One is real-time data visualization and the other is log file visualization. The former is called dynamic visualization and the latter static visualization, and the behaviour of their DataHolders is not the same.

Is it clear that there are two modes of operation?

Dynamic visualization represents data received in realtime by the DataSourceThread. The DataSourceThread obtains the data from an external entity, processes it and sends it to the DataHolder. This data usually arrives in groups of variable size, and is provided to the DataHolder in single units once processed. In this scenario the DataHolder is the view thread, and handles data however considers optimal. In the implementations developed in this project a fixed amount of data is held and older information is replaced by the new one as it arrives.

Static visualization, on the other hand, involves reading a file, usually megabyte sized. The receiving of big quantities of data in single units by the data holder, as would occur if the original DataSource specification was employed, could lead to severe slow-downs and application performance would be affected. To avoid such issues, the StaticDataHolder specification is developed.

A StaticDataHolder does not actually hold the data: it delegates that task to its DataSourceThread. The data source will provide the StaticDataHolder with a reference to the actual data. This way big amounts of data transferring is avoided. The inheritance relation between DataHolder and StaticDataHolder is imposed by the architecture, which require a DataHolder to be passed to a DataSourceThread.

3.6.3 Utilities Package

This package contains reusable components providing very specific functionality so they are considered utilities: RealTimeDataParser, StaticDataParser and Viewport.

A data parser is the entity responsible of the processing of the raw data obtained by a DataSource into the domain specific data that the application manages. There are two implementations, one for real-time data reception, RealTimeDataParser, and the other for static data reading, i.e. a log file. The real-time data parser is also responsible of storing the received raw data to a log file.

A data parser is intended to be contained in a DataSourceThread. It receives the bytes of raw data and, upon successful identification of a valid data element, notifies the corresponding DataHolder entity of the arrival. In a theoric, performance-independant model, this behaviour would be incorrect: the data parser would leave the processed data *in* the DataSourceThread, which would then make the transfer of information to the data holder. This kind of implementation is not valid with the soft realtime requirements of the project, as the data source - data parser - data source - data holder flow would be a severe bottle-neck.

The Viewport entity is a container for the visualization area settings. It represents the window in which the data plotting is done, and holds information about size and position of it. It also contains data about the horizontal and vertical scale of the rendering and handles modification of all these parameters. It is employed by the view thread hierarchy.

3.6.4 Data Flow Model Realizations

Having explained the data flow model scheme and the core level architectural elements employed in its construction, model realizations implemented are detailed next. For each realization, the concretion of each model element will be exposed including particular details of such implementation.

Bluetooth Model

Bluetooth (TM)?

The Bluetooth model is a real-time visualization targeted data flow where the actual data provider is a Bluetooth node. As visualization is an objective, this model realization employs a DataManager, a DataSource, a DataHolder

and a DynamicViewThread; the latter two being implemented in the same entity. An overview of the realization is shown in Figure 3.6.



Figure 3.6: Bluetooth Model Realization

The specialization of the DataManager is the BluetoothManager. It prompts the user for the device to connect to and handles connection by employing two threads: ConnectThread and ConnectedThread. After discovering the available nodes, it locates the node indicated by the user and launches the ConnectionThread.

This ConnectThread is the thread which actually manages the connection by obtaining its endpoints in the form of a socket. If successful, passes the socket to the manager and finishes its execution. The manager then launches the ConnectedThread, which receives the socket and data flow starts. The reason for employing a thread for establishing the connection is to avoid blocking the application while connecting.

ConnectedThread is the DataSourceThread implementation and contains an

entity of DataParser. It receives data sent by the Bluetooth device through the socket, parses it and notifies the DataHolder implementation, which is the DynamicViewThread. The DataParser in DataSourceThread is a Real-TimeDataParser and stores the data in a log file while processing.

File Reader Model

This model realization implements visual representation of ECG data stored in a log file. It is a static view model, in which the user controls what section of the temporal log is shown on-screen, an thus employs an StaticViewThread instead of a dynamic one.



Figure 3.7: File Reader Model Realization

The manager in the file reader model is the FileManager class. It presents available log files to the user, handles the seleccion of one, instantiates the file reading thread and connects this with the view thread. When the user finalizes the visualization of the selected log, the FileManager returns to the log selection menu instead of going directly to the main menu. This is an example of the versatility of the aforementioned view stack scheme, which lets specific managers present as many menus to the user as necessary.

FileDataSourceThread is the thread that reads the chosen file and processes its data. It implements both the DataSource and the DataHolder interfaces, so it acts as the emitter and the receiver of the processed data. The view thread obtains a reference to this same data, thus avoiding big amounts of data transferring in the static view model as explained before. This is an example of the flexibility sought by the architecture design.

The FileDataSourceThread, thus, manages data reading, storage and manipulation. The data is read from files with the aid of this package's FileConverter entity. This files can be of big size, so they should not be fully loaded onto memory. A partial load is mandatory in such cases, and the next chunk of data is to be read when the "visualization window" approaches. All this management is done by the FileDataSourceThread.

When the view is controlled by the user, i.e. horizontal scroll, the event is passed directly into this thread from the StaticViewThread. FileDataSourceThread then updates the portion of the data to be shown. The updated information is consulted by the view thread in the next rendering step. That way, were further file reading required, it could be done in a transparent-to-view manner.

Data processing is done employing an instance of StaticDataParser in the FileDataSourceThread.

The FileConverter entity provides functionality to transform a given file to a Stream, ArrayList or array of bytes, the latter being the expected input format for the available data parsers.

USB Model

The USB model manages data reception from an USB device and eventual visual representation for the user. It is a real-time data flow, and thus employs a dynamic view thread. An overview of the model is shown in Figure 3.8.

DeviceManager is the realization of the model's Manager. This entity handles connected USB devices enumeration and selection by the user. If only one device is available, connection is directly stablished with it. Differing from the Bluetooth realization implementation, the actual connection to the device is handled by the DeviceManager in a blocking manner. It is so because USB connection resolution takes very little time and the delay is virtually imperceptible for the user.



Figure 3.8: USB Model Realization

Once the connection is stablished, the DeviceManager launches a UsbComThread. This thread is the specialization of DataSourceThread and obtains the raw data from the USB device, processes it employing an instance of DynamicDataParser and sends the results to the view thread. This is a DynamicViewThread entity.

USER INTERFACES! New subsection with diagrams and all? as an Appendix?

3.7 Implementation Details

In this section a detailed description of the development is presented. The evolution of the application architecture and functionality; design, architectural and implementation decisions and explanations of the circumstances in which they were made, as well as the development of the project schedule are exposed in chronological order.

The section is arranged following the five iterations of the project, developing each one by presenting the target objectives and expected deadlines for the iteration, detailing application evolution with emphasis on use case realization and risk suppressing achievements and demonstrating the state of the project as assessed on the reviewing meeting conducted at the end of the

iteration.

3.7.1 Project Scheduling

Before diving into the description of the development process an overview of the project schedule is mandatory.

As was mentioned in the previous sections, an agile software development methodology has been applied to the project, even if artifacts from more ordered and structured methodologies have been employed to avoid losing focus on the critical aspects of the development.

Software development project being dependant on parallel-conducted hardware research, project assets, both personal and development resources, being shared with the hardware research having the former higher priority, and team's lack of formation regarding Android development are some of the factors which lead to the adoption of such a mixed methodology. As they have been already exposed in previous sections, they won't be detailed here.

In such an scenario, a fully developed, eight month covering schedule was unfeasible, at least with the imposed time restrictions which didn't allow much time to be spent on planning. The decision was then made to plan only the earlier project iterations, assuring critical functionality identified from use case development to be implemented as soon as possible as well as higher threat involving risk suppression to be realized.

Actual software project development begun October 15, 2011, being the final deadline set on June 15, 2012. That deadline could not be pushed any further, so an estimated deadline was set on May 31, 2012, leaving half a month for further work or recovering from delays, and seven and a half months of development time.

Time was needed for the hardware research to start providing results, and no work could be done in the meantime on related application parts. Considering also that even in the most optimistic scenarios no actual work with the 802.15.4 USB receiver couldn't be done until mid February [link to hw planning or something], the schedule had to assume that the first four months of software development would need to cover the implementation of most of the features, leaving the rest of the development time for implementing hardware-related requirements, which couldn't actually be scheduled until hardware research was evaluated.

The adopted schedule dealt with the aforementioned facts by proposing five iterations, from October 15 to May 30, complying with the fifteen days reserved for contingencies. The first three iterations had a duration of two months, the third one a single month, and the remaining one was fifteen days long.

Having the previously developed iOS application as starting point, and being achieving at least the same feature list of that a critical target of the project, the first two iterations were planned so as to realize **UC1** and **UC3** which captured requisites expressing such feature list.

The next iterations were just scrapped as no accurate estimation could be done on the state of the project by those dates. Thus, the third iteration was planned to cover the implementation of the remaining features, namely, communication with the 802.15.4 receiver device, the fourth one reserved for polishing the application and the fifth one left for testing and validation. The fourth iteration was to shrink if objectives were achieved quickly to leave more time for validation.

The scheduled distribution of work for each iteration is as follows:

It	Dates	Activity
1	Oct 15 - Dec 15	Application base and Bluetooth module
2	Dec 15 - Feb 15	Log module and initial USB module
3	Feb 15 - Apr 15	Final USB implementation
4	Apr 15 - May 15	Polishing
5	May 15 - May 30	Validation
-	May 30 - Jun 15	Reserved

Which can be seen as the following expected use case realization dates:

It	Dates	Realized Use Case
1	Oct 15 - Dec 15	UC1, UC4 (first version)
2	Dec 15 - Feb 15	UC3, UC2 (first version)
3	Feb 15 - Apr 15	UC2 (final)
4	Apr 15 - May 15	UC4 (final)
5	May 15 - May 30	Validation
-	May 30 - Jun 15	Reserved

Throughout the development, as expected, this schedule had to be adapted as the hardware research advanced to assess the arise of difficulties and subsequent changes to its planning. Instead of providing a fixed snapshot of the altered schedule at the end of the project, a detailed description of each iteration is presented next, including modifications to the planning and the motivation for making them.

3.7.2 Iteration 1

The main objectives for this first iteration were

- the instruction of the team on Android development,
- the lay out of an initial version of the application architecture and
- the implementation of the Bluetooth receiver module.

The alloted time for the iteration was of two monts, from October 15 to December 15. During this period the hardware research didn't require much resources [link to hw], so in practical terms the full team was employed in the software project.

In order to minimize risk AR1[quote?link?], the instruction of the team on Android development becomes the key objective for the iteration. Development starts with the implementation of the designed base architecture for the application, so it serves as a powerful learning resource. The notion of that implementation as disposable is not abandoned throughout iteration time and it is always considered as a prototype to evolve or be substituted by a more refined version once team's knowledge of Android increases.

Having the basic architectural framework developed, implementation of the Bluetooth receiver module begins. Special care is put both on design and implementation, as this functionality has to be ready as soon as posible, and little time will be available for reimplementation further in the development.

Testing of both architecture and Bluetooth module is conducted during the whole iteration, specially in the final weeks, so by the end of the iteration Bluetooth module is validated and expected to be stable until the scheduled architectural redesign.

As the Android formation phase takes less time than expected and hardware research doesn't allow advancing into next iteration at the time iteration

objectives are achieved, an extra implementation effort is put to begin implementation of UC4. Adjust View Parameters.

In the review meeting for the iteration the following conclusions are obtained:

- Basic architecture implementation is done.
- Android is confirmed to be a very comfortable development environment even if non-standard functionality is not that easily achieved. Instruction is planned to continue.
- Realization of UC1-*View data from Bluetooth* is finished. Related user interface is not final, but it is functional, and is to be updated on further iterations.
- Realization of UC4-*Adjust view parameters* is done in relation to Bluetooth visualization. As remaining modules are developed, further work is to be done in this field.

3.7.3 Iteration 2

The main objectives for the second iteration are

- the development of the USB communication module and
- the implementation of the Log visualization module.

The hardware reserarch having provided successful results regarding the initial prototypes of the USB accessory, the scheduled USB module implementation for this iteration is mantained, but delayed until further testing can be done in the hardware area. In that scenario this iteration begins by the addressing of the implementation of the log visualization module.

This sentence sounds odd, to be fair/kind.

Module design and implementation are to change little in following iterations to allow the scheduled architecture rewriting to be realized and trying to minimize the possibility of risk PR1. This situation and the fact that a lot of resources are required in the hardware area makes the consecution of this objective fill most part of the iteration time.

Full expected functionality is implemented, including the remaining features from UC4-Adjust view parameters, but validation testing indicates low performance in log visualization caused by big memory requirements. Effort needs to be put into the other iteration objective, so log visualization is

halted at this point, scheduling the development of required optimizations for the next iteration.

Having the USB accessory prototype in an usable state, development of the USB communication module begins. At this point the USB accessory could only operate assuming the role of host in the connection, so the disposability of the module is acknowledged. Nevertheless, this implementation is a must if the project goals are to be achieved, as it serves as first-hand instruction regarding USB development in Android. Moreover, if the hardware project is unable to produce an USB slave accessory, with the developed module the project would not have fully failed. Eventually this development has proven being key for the first tests with the actual target hardware platform.

During the implementation of the USB communication module the log writing is improved, so, except for the aforementioned performance optimizations, the log part of the application is finished.

With the basic interface, disposable architecture and fully functional data flow modules, full application functionality is implemented by the end of the iteration, and in the review meeting the following conclusions are obtained:

- Full functionality has been implemented in the application and the product of this iteration is, as expected, the first prototype of the application.
- Realization of UC2-*View data from USB Receiver* is realized until hardware research advances. Current implementation can act as the actual version of the use case realization if hardware research is not fulfilled.
- Realization of UC4-*Adjust view parameters* is now finished. All required view controls are implemented.
- Risk PR1-*Application functionality inferior to that featured by existing iOS application* is marked as surpassed, as key functionality is implemented.
- Risk MR1-*Mobile device unsuitable for target functionality* is identified to require further monitorization and is unfolded into risks AR2 and AR3.
- Risk AR2-*Android providing subpar performance when handling required data* is confirmed to occur and is to be solved in the next iteration.

3.7.4 Iteration 3

This is the first just-drafted iteration of the original scheduling. Taking the prototype obtained in the previous iteration, architectural redesign and performance optimizations are mandatory. Performance optimizations are identified to be required in two areas: data management and data rendering. Only data management related optimizations are addressed in this iteration.

Iteration objectives are as follow:

- redesign of the application architecture,
- achievement of required performance on data management, and
- scheduling of the rest of the project time.

The main lack of the basic architecture of the protoype is that it was implemented to serve as a quick prototyping base for the data flow modules. The instruction and knowledge about the platform and the way of operation obtained in the previous iterations are applied in the new architecture design.

This design is done targeting easy inclusion of new data flow modules allowing them to employ their own user interfaces, while providing core level software entities for building those modules. This process produces the architecture as exposed in section 3.6.

A detailed analysis is conducted on the data management related operations in the application, identifying the ubiquitous application of the object oriented model proposed by Java added to the subpar efficiency of the Garbage Collector as the main cause of performance loss. The alteration of the programming paradigm and the employment of as basic software entities as possible, substituting classesused to, e.g. contain the four parameters of a wave delineation point, by more basic structures, like the same four numbers stored independently, proved to be the most effective methods of avoiding low performance.

Reference something? Could be added a screenshot from that Eclipse performance analysis tool?

Following such lines of operation, performance regarding data management is utterly improved on spite of a less developer-friendly programming environment. During this process the data flow modules (Bluetooth, USB and Log Viewer) are also tweaked.

Perhaps "avoiding continuous object instantiation" better?

The positive results obtained both in the software and hardware areas allow a solid review of the project schedule. The decision is made to keep the division of the remaining project time in two iterations, leaving the last, fifteen days period for unforseen difficulties. Of the two iterations, the first is to be devoted to implementation of the USB host communication as hardware project estimates completion of a first prototype halfway the iteration; and the second, and last, iteration is planned to be employed in final testing and validation of the application against hardware prototype.

The following conclusions are obtained from this iteration's reviewing meeting:

- The architecture of the application is finished and validated.
- User interface is yet to be final and is to be addressed at the following iteration.
- Identified solutions for performance issues are to be applied in the rendering area.
- Risk AR1-*Lack of instruction on Android development delays workflow* is marked as surpassed as the team feels comfortable enough with Android development.
- Risk AR3-*Android rendering capabilities unable to handle required data* probability is increased to Moderate and is to be addressed in the next iteration.
- Risk HR2-*802.15.4 receiver device unfeasibe* probability is reduced to Low as hardware research is providing positive results.

3.7.5 Iteration 4

This iteration is scheduled to be the last implementation iteration, and it's objectives are:

- the implementation of USB host communication,
- the achievement of required performance in rendering and,
- the implementation of actual user interfaces.

The USB slave accessory prototype is produced on time by the hardware project, and implementation of the actual USB communication assuming the Android device the host role is done in very short time, leaving room for consecution of the rest of the iteration objectives and following validation.

Employment of the identified working solutions for performance issues in the rendering area doesn't provide the expected results, and further research is required. The bottle-neck in the rendering process is indentified to be the context change required by the rendering tools provided by Android. Meticulous research on Android developer resources provides no other solution than minimizing the number of calls to the rendering methods each step. Emphasis is put to the realization of this task, but to no avail.

The problem is the big amount of data required to be drawn each rendering step. A mechanism is developed to minimize the number of lines drawn by joining similar valued wave points, and the performance is improved, but not at the desired level. Nevertheless further work in this area is postponed as the achieved performance lies in the range required by the non-functional requirements of the project.

Implementation of the actual user interfaces to be employed in the application is addressed next. The design of these has been done throughout the last two iterations. The implementation process takes more time than expected as Android user interface framework is quite specific, and requires adequation to certain rules that have to be studied beforehand.

The remaining time of the iteration is devoted to testing and validation of the application, which has reached a near final state. Conclussions from the review meeting follow:

- The Android application is finished and pending validation with the actual USB receiver device.
- The application's user interface is in a final state, but were changes required they could be easily implemented as the UI is isolated from the data flow part.
- Performance regarding rendering is not optimal, but it is in the range defined by the requisites.
- Until validation can begin, the full team can be employed in the hardware project.

- Risk HR2-*802.15.4 receiver device unfeasible* is marked as surpassed as the viability of the receiver has been proved by the hardware research.

3.7.6 Final Validation

The final effort in this software project extends over the allotted time for both the fifth iteration and the reserved final period. It is fully devoted to testing and validation of both the application and the receiver device. Even if the initial schedule assigned only the fifth iteration to this process, delays on the hardware project force the elongation of the testing phase. The objectives for this period are:

reference hw chapter

- exhaustive testing of the Android application,
- validation of the application, the receiver and the delineator node acting as a whole, and
- the obtention of the final version of the system by implementing the required amendments identified by the testing procedure.

Software related testing is conducted throughout all this final step causing minor fixes to be done to the application. No relevant software related issues arise during the testing or the validation phases.

Risk HR1-*802.15.4 receiver device delayed* occurrence is the cause of the elongation of the validation iteration, but has no actual effect on the software project. Even when the receiver is completed the risk tracking is continued until validation concludes, were hardware complications to arise during the process.

Maybe in a prototype stage, still unknown at Jun, 8

The final, review meeting conclusions are as follow:

- Risk HR1 is marked as surpassed.
- The Android application is successfully validated and, thus, completed.

3.8 Closure

The software development part of the project has been able to produce the required Android application, with all planned functionality implemented and including user friendly interfaces. It is a rather general purpose application inside of the domain to which it is restricted, and at it's final state it would

need some specialization in order to be actually useful. This is so because the requisite analysis process wasn't developed focusing an immediate professional employment of the application, but a replacement for that of the EPFL project which inspired this one.

Anyhow, during the architecture design and subsequent implementation phases great emphasis is put for the application to provide the tools to act as a framework over which more specific ECG montoring application development can be realized.An interesting example of this is that, at the current state, the application implements visualization of data received from an USB device. In this project, the USB device has been the 802.15.4 USB receiver, but any other device capable of encoding the data in the expected way is valid as well.

Something from Marian feature list here?

Long story short, the software development finished providing successful results in form of a general purpose ECG monitoring application for Android devices which can serve as the base for more specific developments, which was exactly the target objective for the software project.

Chapter 4

Results

4.1 Final state

The production of a fully functional, low cost and low sized ECG monitoring system which employs an Android device as the user interface, and it's USB 802.15.4 receiver is completed.

Describe employing battery, size requirements actual data

HW: The goal of an external 802.15.4 receiver device is fulfilled. Employing it the system is able to render ECG data emitted by a delineator node. Bluetooth is also available, as well as log saving and further reading.

Concrete results: X x Y x Z sized device consuming W1 watts of power (actual data, please) which compared to Bluetooth consumption (W2 watts, ...) is pretty low cost, all of this powered by the Android device, and the receiver being plug and play no installation procedure is required.

Regarding the Android application: the software development project has produced a an Android application providing all required functionality, (namely visualization of ECG data from Bluetooth and USB nodes, log saving and reading, view controlling) designed and implemented in a robust, expandable manner. Moreover, the application provides a domain-specific framework for the inclusion of new data sources (like WiFi or NFC) or different source data specifications (e.g. 0xDA != sample).

4.2 Potential additions and expansions

Potential additions are to target the software frontend application. The developed Android application is a (domain specific) general purpose monitor-

ing frontend that should provide a solid framework for further developments.

Professional multipatient monitoring could come in two flavours:

Visual-less multipatient monitoring in which a computer receives data from a variable number of wireless delineation nodes, e.g. employing the 802.15.4 receiver, storing it and acting as a server, or directly sending the log files to the actual server. The Android device would then download the log from the server and the own frontend application developed in the scope of this project could be used as the visualization device.

The other option is simultaneous multipatient monitorization in an Android device. The monitorization application on the device would allow switching between patient ECG wave visualization while logging all received data, which could then be uploaded to a server.

(Both of these expansions could find an employment in acutal medical environment.)
More improvements can include the implementation of more detailed log navigation functionality, including information about the actual recording time and searching of specific time moments. Inclusion of event data into the log (like body weakness sensation or feeling of dizziness) could also be useful. (This two are for personal monitorization and inhome healthcare)

Message sending when certain events occur (low or high hbr or arrythmia detection), text message, email, even a phone call could help constant monitorization requiring people. GPS information could be included in the message for quick localization of the affected person by the healthcare personal.

4.3 Findings

Ideas: importance of monitorization systems for cardiovascular diseases affected people. Great potential of development in this area. Low cost, low sized, user focused designed, an thus, comfortable application system development is a ineherntly good goal, as are of great help for CVD affected people.

Appendices

Appendix A

Utilities and tools

Bibliography

[1] *Real-Time Wavelet-Based Electrocardiogram Delineation System with Automatic Arrhythmia Detection*
Embedded Systems Laboratory, ESL
École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL
`http://esl.epfl.ch/cms/lang/en/pid/46016`

[2] *Unauthorized modification of iOS as a major source of instability, disruption of services, and other issues*
Apple Support
September 27, 2010
`http://support.apple.com/kb/ht3743`

[3] *IEEE Std 802.15.4™-2006*
(Revision of IEEE Std 802.15.4-2003)
IEEE Computer Society
June 7, 2006

[4] *Android Open Accessory Development Kit*
Android Developers
`http://developer.android.com/guide/topics/usb/adk.html`

[5] *Android Open Accessory API and Development Kit (ADK)*
Mike Lockwood, Erik Gilling & Jeff Brown
May 10, 2011
`http://www.google.com/events/io/2011/sessions/android-open-accessory-api-and-development-kit-adk.html`

[6] *IEEE 802.15.4/ZigBee™ USB Dongle*
Integration, Adaptive Modules

http://www.adaptivemodules.com/assets/File/integration_802-15-4_usb%20dongle.pdf

[7] *TI presents World's first platform bringing ZigBee to Android smartphones and tablets.*
Texas Instruments
March 04, 2011
http://www.ti.com/ww/in/news_detail/2011/news_detail_20110304.html

[8] *mbed: Rapid Prototyping with Microcontrollers*
ARM Holdings
http://mbed.org/

[9] *TI MSP430. Getting started*
Texas Instruments
http://www.ti.com/lsds/ti/microcontroller/16-bit_msp430/getting_started.page

[10] *MSP430 Family Instruction Set Summary*
Texas Instruments
http://www.ti.com/sc/docs/products/micro/msp430/userguid/as_5.pdf

[11] *MSP430F543xA, MSP430F541xA Mixed Signal Microcontroller Datasheet*
Texas Instruments
http://www.ti.com/lit/ds/symlink/msp430f5438a.pdf

[12] *MSP430F663x Family Mixed Signal Microcontroller Datasheet*
Texas Instruments
http://www.ti.com/lit/ds/symlink/msp430f6638.pdf

[13] *MSP - EXP430F5438*
Texas Instruments
http://www.ti.com/tool/msp-exp430f5438

[14] *MSP - TS430PZ100USB*
Texas Instruments
http://www.ti.com/tool/msp-ts430pz100usb

[15] *USB Host and Accessory*
Android Developers

http://developer.android.com/guide/topics/usb/
index.html

[16] *MSP430 USB Developers Package*
Texas Instruments
http://www.ti.com/tool/msp430usbdevpack