Computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors

Power iteration

Power iteration

Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ has a full set of eigenvalues

$$\lambda_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m$$

Suppose that

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geqslant |\lambda_3| \geqslant \cdots \geqslant |\lambda_m|$$

NB: $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, otherwise λ_1^* is also an eigenvalue.

Power iteration

Only compute λ_1 .

Iteration:

- 1. Take arbitrary $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$.
- 2. Iterate:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_k \\ \lambda^{(k)} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{x}_{k+1} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{x}_k \rangle} \quad \left(\equiv \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{A} | \mathbf{x}_k | \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{x}_k \rangle} \right), \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots \end{cases}$$

Then, $\lambda^{(k)} \to \lambda_1$, $k \to \infty$.

Power iteration: why does it work

Consider

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = c_1 \, \mathbf{e}_1 + c_2 \, \mathbf{e}_2 + \dots + c_m \, \mathbf{e}_m$$

where $\{e_k\}$ are normalized eigenvectors of A:

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{e}_k = \lambda_k \mathbf{e}_k \qquad k = 1, \dots, m$$

Then,

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{A}^k \, \mathbf{x}_0 = \lambda_1^k \, c_1 \, \mathbf{e}_1 + \lambda_2^k \, c_2 \, \mathbf{e}_2 + \dots + \lambda_m^k \, c_m \, \mathbf{e}_m$$

$$= \lambda_1^k \left[c_1 \, \mathbf{e}_1 + \underbrace{\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^k \, c_2 \, \mathbf{e}_2 + \dots + \left(\frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_1}\right)^k \, c_m \, \mathbf{e}_m}_{\rightarrow \ 0 \, \text{as} \, k \, \rightarrow \, \infty} \right]$$

Power iteration

Questions:

- 1. What if $c_1=0$ (i.e. ${\bf x}_0\perp {\bf e}_1$)? numerical errors will generate $\neq 0$ components in the direction of ${\bf e}_1$
- 2. Numerical stability?
- 3. Rate of convergence? IOW, a priori error estimate?
- 4. A posteriori error estimate?

Stability

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{If } |\lambda_1|>1, & \lambda_1^k\to\infty & \text{ overflow} \\ |\lambda_1|<1, & \lambda_1^k\to0 & \text{ underflow} \end{array}$$

 \Rightarrow Need to keep \mathbf{x}_k normalized: $\|\mathbf{x}_k\| = 1$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$

Modified iteration:

$$egin{cases} \mathbf{y}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{x}_k \ \mathbf{x}_{k+1} = rac{\mathbf{y}_{k+1}}{\|\mathbf{y}_{k+1}\|} \leftarrow \mathsf{normalize} \ \lambda^{(k)} = \langle \mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{y}_{k+1}
angle \end{cases}$$

 $k=0,1,\cdots$

A priori error estimate

$$\frac{|\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_1|}{|\lambda_1|} \leqslant \text{const} \times \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^k$$

АКД §8.2

Convergence

$$\lambda^{(k)}$$
 converges to λ_1 .

Moreover, \mathbf{x}_k converges to the eigenvector \mathbf{e}_1 .

Convergence:
$$\sin \varphi_k \to 0$$
, $k \to \infty$
$$\cos \varphi_k = \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{e}_1 \rangle}{\|\mathbf{x}_k\| \cdot \|\mathbf{e}_1\|}$$

A posteriori error estimate

There is no general solution.

For symmetric matrices:

<u>Lemma</u>: Let $\lambda_* \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{x}_* \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is symmetric.

Then, \exists an eigenvalue λ of \mathbf{A} , such that

$$|\lambda - \lambda_*| \leqslant \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_* - \lambda_*\mathbf{x}_*\|}{\|\mathbf{x}_*\|}.$$

A posteriori error estimate

Therefore, an *a posteriori* error bound for the power iteration for symmetric matrices:

$$|\lambda_1 - \lambda^{(k)}| \leqslant \frac{\|\mathbf{y}_k - \lambda^{(k)}\mathbf{x}_{k-1}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}_{k-1}\|}$$

i.e. stop the iteration when the r.h.s is smaller then the predefined tolerance.

The rate of convergence; shifts

Convergence is slow is the spectral gap is small, $|\lambda_2 - \lambda_1| \ll \lambda_1$, since then $\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right| \approx 1$.

Shifts

Consider

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A} - \sigma \hat{\mathbf{1}}$$

with some $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. eigvals(B) = eigvals $(A) - \sigma$

Use the power iteration for **B**. Convergence is controlled by $\lambda_k - \sigma$. If $\lambda_1 - \sigma$ is the max eigenvalue of **B**, then select σ such that

$$\max_{k=2,\cdots,m} \left| \frac{\lambda_k - \sigma}{\lambda_1 - \sigma} \right| \Rightarrow \min$$

Krylov subspaces

Krylov subspaces

$$\mathcal{K}_r(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{x}_0) = \operatorname{span}\left(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{x}_0, \cdots, \mathbf{A}^{r-1}\mathbf{x}_0\right)$$

Power iteration generates a sequence of Krylov subspaces

$$\mathcal{K}_1 \subset \mathcal{K}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{R}^m$$

Note that also

$$\mathbf{A}\mathcal{K}_r \subset \mathcal{K}_{r+1}$$

Krylov subspace iterations

Power iteration generated vectors,

$$\mathbf{A}^{s}\mathbf{x}_{0}$$

are progressively closer to being linear dependent with $s=1,2,\cdots$.

Krylov subspace methods: form a basis of \mathcal{K}_r by reorthogonalization.

Suppose we know $\lambda_* \approx \lambda_s$, a good approximation to λ_s . How to compute \mathbf{e}_s , its eigenvector?

Idea 1

By definition, $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{e}_s=\lambda_s\mathbf{e}_s$, so try looking for a non-trivial solution of

$$(\mathbf{A} - \lambda_* \widehat{\mathbf{1}}) \mathbf{x} = 0$$

Since $\lambda_* \neq \lambda_s$, $\mathbf{A} - \lambda_* \widehat{\mathbf{1}}$ is non-degenerate \Rightarrow there is only the trivial solution $\mathbf{x} = 0$.

The algorithm:

$$(\mathbf{A} - \lambda_* \widehat{\mathbf{1}}) \mathbf{y}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \frac{\mathbf{y}_{k+1}}{\|\mathbf{y}_{k+1}\|}$$
(1)

for $k = 0, 1, \ldots$ and some initial condition \mathbf{x}_0 .

Never invert a matrix explicitly!

Formally:

$$\mathbf{y}_{k+1} = (\mathbf{A} - \lambda_* \widehat{\mathbf{1}})^{-1} \mathbf{x}_k$$

 \Rightarrow inverse iteration is nothing but a power iteration with the matrix $({\bf A}-\lambda_*\widehat{\bf 1})^{-1}$

How and why does it work?

Consider symmetric **A**. Let $\{\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}\}, \quad \alpha=1,\ldots,m$ is an orthonormal eigensystem of **A**.

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m c_{\alpha} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}; \quad \mathbf{y}_1 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m b_{\alpha} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}$$

The iteration (1) becomes:

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} (\lambda_{\alpha} - \lambda_{*}) b_{\alpha} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} c_{\alpha} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}$$

Due to orthonormality of $\mathbf{e}_{lpha} \Rightarrow$

$$b_{\alpha} = \frac{c_{\alpha}}{\lambda_{\alpha} - \lambda_{*}}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{y}_1 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \frac{c_\alpha}{\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_*} \mathbf{e}_\alpha = \frac{1}{\lambda_s - \lambda_*} \bigg[c_s \mathbf{e}_s + \sum_{\alpha \neq s} \frac{\lambda_s - \lambda_*}{\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_*} \mathbf{e}_\alpha \bigg]$$
"small" if $|\lambda_s - \lambda_*| \ll |\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_*|$, $\alpha \neq s$

Each iteration multiplies $\mathbf{e}_{\alpha \neq s}$ by

$$\frac{\lambda_s - \lambda_*}{\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_*} \ .$$

Modified inverse iteration

Inverse iteration requires a good approximation to the eigenvalue.

Try using the Rayleigh ratio

$$\lambda^{(k)} = \langle \mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_k \rangle,$$

$$\left(\mathbf{A} - \lambda^{(k)} \widehat{\mathbf{1}} \right) \mathbf{y}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k,$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \frac{\mathbf{y}_{k+1}}{\|\mathbf{y}_{k+1}\|}$$

for $k=0,1,\ldots$ and $\|\mathbf{x}_0\|=1$

Modified inverse iteration

Modified inverse iteration converges fast if the angle $(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{e}_s)$ is small.

How to get $\mathbf{x}_0 pprox \mathbf{e}_s$? E.g. a couple of power iterations.