

This assignment was to reproduce figure 4 (with colors encouraged) and table 2 from [Trends in U.S. Wage Inequality, Autor-Katz-Kearney](#) using provided data, extending through 2008.

1)



To reproduce panel A, I used the “detrend” function in R to generate a vector of the residuals from both the wage differential and the relative supply variable. I then used ggplot2 with the Economist theme to graph it.

For panel B, I observed from section III of the paper that the Katz-Murphy model used data from 1963-1987 and included real minimum wage (deflated using PCE) and prime-age male unemployment rate. Because the given data provided only the nominal minimum wage, I downloaded monthly PCE data from the FRED database. I generated annual PCE averages, which I used to convert the nominal minimum wage to real minimum wage (in 2008 dollars). I subsetting the data to 1963-1987 and ran an OLS model as specified in the paper. I then defined a function in R using the coefficients generated in this regression. I plugged in the 1963-2008 data into this function, which allowed me to generate a vector of the predicted values. I then graphed this with the actual CPS data using ggplot2.

(2)

**REGRESSION MODELS FOR THE COLLEGE/HIGH SCHOOL LOG WAGE GAP,  
1963–2005**

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
CLG/HS relative supply	-0.612 (0.128)	-0.339 (0.043)	-0.591 (0.068)	-0.562 (0.112)	-0.556 (0.094)
Time	0.027 (0.005)	0.016 (0.001)	0.026 (0.003)	0.029 (0.006)	0.020 (0.006)
Time <sup>2</sup> /100				-0.013 (0.006)	0.036 (0.012)
Time <sup>3</sup> /1000					-0.007 (0.002)
Time × post-1992			-0.010 (0.002)		
Constant	-0.217 (0.134)	0.059 (0.039)	-0.198 (0.068)	-0.189 (0.122)	-0.145 (0.103)
Elasticity Estimates:	-1.635	-2.95	-1.691	-1.778	-1.797
p-Values of Elasticity	0	0	0	0	0
Observations	25	46	46	46	46
R <sup>2</sup>	0.558	0.935	0.965	0.941	0.960

*Note:*

\* \*\* \*\*\* p<0.01

Standard errors in parentheses

I also noticed the constants in my models originally differed dramatically from those in the paper, so I changed the “year” variable to be 1-46 instead of 1963-2008. For model 3, I made a dummy variable “post 1992” and interacted it with the “year” variable.

(3) The third question asked to add a trend-break to each year to find the highest  $R^2$ . I iterated through this regression with different years by making the dummy variables for each year and the interaction term. I found that the highest  $R^2$  was with the break in 1993, with  $R^2 = 0.965$