The University of Texas at Austin ECO 348K (Advanced Econometrics) Prof. Jason Abrevaya Fall 2016

PROBLEM SET #4 (due Thursday, October 20th, 8am)

This problem set is based upon the Stata dataset **lee-moretti-butler.dta** available on the Canvas site. These data represent a subset of the data used in the 2004 paper "Do Voters Affect or Elect Policies? Evidence from the U.S. House" by David Lee, Enrico Moretti, and Matthew Butler in the *Quarterly Journal of Economics*. The data provide the results from U.S. Congressional (House of Representatives) elections between 1948 and 1990. Only elections with incumbents running are included within the data; uncontested elections (where vote share is 100%) have been dropped. The full sample size is 9,788.

The variables of interest are as follows:

- **democrat**: 1 if the Democratic candidate wins the *current* election, 0 otherwise (Republican wins)
- demvoteshare: fraction of votes for the Democratic candidate in the *current* election
 - Note that **democrat**=1 if and only if **demvoteshare**>0.5
- lagdemocrat: 1 if the Democratic candidate won the *previous* election, 0 otherwise (Republican won)
- lagdemvoteshare: fraction of votes for the Democratic candidate in the *previous* election
 - Note that lagdemocrat=1 if and only if lagdemvoteshare>0.5
- year: year of the current election
- pcturban: fraction of urban population in Congressional district
- pctblack: fraction of black population in Congressional district
- pcthighschl: fraction of HS graduates in Congressional district
- 1. Do a scatter plot of **demvoteshare** versus **lagdemvoteshare**. Do you see a discontinuity at **lagdemvoteshare** = 0.5? Imagining a line going through the left part of the scatter (for **lagdemvoteshare** < 0.5) and another line going through the right part of the scatter (for **lagdemvoteshare** > 0.5), what is your "eyeball" estimate of the magnitude of the jump?

- 2. What is the raw difference in **demvoteshare** between elections with a Democratic incumbent (**lagdemocrat** = 1) and those with a Republican incumbent (**lagdemocrat** = 0)?
- 3. Repeat Question 2, but now looking at smaller "window" widths. Specifically, you should look at the raw difference in **demvoteshare** but only on the subsample for which |lagdemvoteshare 0.5| < h, where h is the window width. Do this for h = 0.20, h = 0.10, h = 0.05, and h = 0.01. How do the sample sizes change? How do the estimated differences change?
- 4. How would you estimate the differences in Question 3 using a regression? Do it for both h = 0.05 and h = 0.01, using robust standard errors. What are 95% confidence intervals for the incumbency effect from both of these regressions? (Hint: Your dependent variable should be **demvoteshare**.)
- 5. For this question, return to using the full sample. Run the following Sharp RD regressions for the outcome **demvoteshare**, all with a jump discontinuity at **lagdemvoteshare** = 0.5, and report the estimated incumbency effect from each of the models: (Recall that interpretation of your regression results will be much easier if you center the running variable at its mean.)
 - (a) Linear on both sides of the RD cutoff, slopes identical on left and on right
 - (b) Linear on both sides of the RD cutoff, slopes differing
 - (c) Quadratic on both sides of the RD cutoff, same function on left and on right
 - (d) Quadratic on both sides of the RD cutoff, different function on left and on right
 - (e) Same as (d), but also include **year**, **pcturban**, **pctblack**, and **pcthighschl** as explanatory variables
- 6. For the regression in Question 5(d), generate the fitted values for **demvoteshare**. To get a visual representation of your estimated model, do a scatter plot of the fitted values versus the running variable.
- 7. Repeat Question 5(d) and Question 6 for the binary outcome **democrat** (that is, a linear probability model for **democrat**). What is the incumbency effect here (the effect on the probability of a Democratic victory)? Do the fitted values on your scatter plot remain between zero and one?
- 8. Using the simpler specification in Question 5(a), this question will assess whether 0.50 is the "right" cutoff value. Re-run the regression in 5(a), but using a different value for the cutoff R^* . Specifically, try doing $R^* = 0.44$, $R^* = 0.47$, $R^* = 0.50$ (original model), $R^* = 0.53$, and $R^* = 0.56$. How do the R-squared values for each of these regressions compare? What do you conclude?