PMATH 340: Elementary Number Theory

Notes Taken By: Evan Bernard

Class Taught By: Professor Wentang Kuo

Winter 2020 University of Waterloo

Contents

| 1 | Pythagorean Triplets | | 1 |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----|
| | 1.1 | Integral Pythagorean Triplets | 1 |
| 2 Eu | | uler's Formula | |
| | 2.1 | Fermat's Little Theorem | 4 |
| | 2.2 | Euler's Totient Function | 5 |
| 3 Congruence Relations | | ngruence Relations | 9 |
| | 3.1 | Successive Squaring algorithm | 9 |
| | 3.2 | Bases in Congruence Relations | 10 |
| | 3.3 | Sums of Divisors with Phi | 12 |
| | 3.4 | Primitive Roots Modulo p | 13 |
| 4 | Sau | uares Modulo P | 20 |

Abstract

Number Theory is quite simply the study of integers. This course analyzes some interesting relationships between integers, and the implications of these relationships. You are expected to be familiar with what was taught in MATH 135, including but not limited to, *linear Diophantine equations*, euclidean algorithm, congruence and the Chinese remainder theorem.

Chapter 1

Pythagorean Triplets

Integral Pythagorean Triplets 1.1

Question: Find all integral Pythagorean triples.

In other words, find all integer triples a, b, c, such that a, b, c satisfy the Pythagorean formula, $c^2 = a^2 + b^2$. We may assume that a, b > 0 since if a = 0 or b = 0 then the solutions are known.

Find all triplets a, b, c such that $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c^2 = a^2 + b^2$

Examples:

$$5^2 = 3^2 + 4^2$$
$$13^2 = 5^2 + 12^2$$

$$17^2 = 8^2 + 15^2$$
$$25^2 = 7^2 + 24^2$$

$$25^2 = 7^2 + 24^2$$

Note that, given a Pythagorean triple a, b, c, and an integer d, then da, db, dc form another Pythagorean triplet.

Definition 1.1.0.1

A Primitive Pythagorean triplet, or PPT, is a triple of natural numbers a, b, c so that $c^2 = a^2 + b^2$ and gcd(a, b, c) = 1 since otherwise, you can divide by a common factor and find a smaller triplet.

We can now rewrite the question into a simpler question, which reduces the question into the essential component of it: Find all primitive Pythagorean triples.

Proposition 1.1.0.1

If (a, b, c) form a *PPT* so that $c^2 = a^2 + b^2$, then c is odd.

Proof

Suppose c is even. Then c = 2k for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

Case 1: both a and b are even.

Then $gcd(a, b, c) = 2 \neq 1$ thus a, b, c are not PPT

Case 2: both a and b are odd.

Then there exists $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that a = 2x + 1 and b = 2y + 1, and since $c^2 = a^2 + b^2$, we have that:

$$c^{2} = (2x+1)^{2} + (2y+1)^{2}$$

$$4z^{2} = 4(x^{2} + xy^{2} + y) + 2$$

$$4(z^{2} - x^{2} - x - y^{2} - y) = 2$$

$$2(z^{2} - x^{2} - x - y^{2} - y) = 1$$

This is a contradiction, and thus, our first assumption is invalid. Therefore c must be an odd integer.

So far, we know that if a, b, c is a PPT, then c is odd and without loss of generality, we may assume that a is even and b is odd.

By rearranging the Pythagorean formula, we get:

$$a = \sqrt{(c-b)(c+b)}$$

Proposition 1.1.0.2

If a is odd, then (c-b) and (c+b) are squares.

Proof

Let p be a prime divisor of a. Then,

$$p^2 \mid a^2$$
$$p^2 \mid (c+b)(c-b)$$

Suppose p divides one of (c+b) and (c-b) but not both, then (c+b) and (c-b) are squares by the *Unique Factorization Theorem*.

Therefore it is enough to show that gcd(c+b,c-b)=1.

Let d = gcd(c + b, c - b), then we have the following:

$$d \mid c+b$$

$$d \mid c-b$$

$$\implies d \mid (c+b) + (c-b) = 2c$$

$$\implies d \mid (c+b) - (c-b) = 2b$$

Proof (Cont.)

Thus, we have that,

$$d \mid gcd(2b, 2c)$$

$$d \mid 2gcd(b, c)$$

$$d = 1 \text{ or } d = 2$$

Suppose d = 2, we know that,

$$d \mid (c-b)$$
 and $d \mid (c-b)(c+b) = a^2$
 $\implies 2 \mid a^2$
 $\implies 2 \mid a$
 $\implies a \text{ is even}$

But we assumed that a was odd, so d must be equal to 1. Since d = gcd(c+b, c-b) and d = 1, (c-b) and (c+b) are coprime, and so are squares by the *Unique Factorization Theorem*.

Since we have that (c-b) and (c+b) are coprime and squares, we also have that $\exists s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ where gcd(s,t)=1 and $c-b=t^2$ and $c+b=s^2$

$$\Rightarrow c = \frac{s^2 + t^2}{2}$$

$$b = \frac{s^2 - t^2}{2}$$

$$a^2 = c^2 - b^2 = s^2 \cdot t^2$$

$$\Rightarrow a = s \cdot t$$

Theorem 1.1.0.3 (Pythagorean Triple Theorem)

Every primitive Pythagorean triple (a, b, c), where a, c are odd, b is even, can be obtained by $a = s \cdot t$, $b = \frac{s^2 - t^2}{2}$, $c = \frac{s^2 + t^2}{2}$, where $s, t \in \mathbb{N}, s > t$, and s, t are odd and coprime (qcd(s, t) = 1).

Example: s = 3, t = 1

$$b = \frac{3^2 - 1^2}{2} = 4$$

$$c = \frac{3^2 + 1^2}{2} = 5$$

$$a = 3 \cdot 1 = 3$$
A PPT is (3, 4, 5)

It's Important to note that this does not guarantee the result to be a PPT for any s and t satisfying the conditions, however, every PPT will have an s and t decomposition.

3

Chapter 2

Euler's Formula

2.1 Fermat's Little Theorem

Definition 2.1.0.1 (Congruence)

Let $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that a is *congruent* to b modulo m, if $m \mid (b - a)$. We use the following notation:

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m}$$

Theorem 2.1.0.1

If $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and gcd(c, m) = 1, then, $a \cdot c \equiv b \cdot c \pmod{m}$ $\implies a \equiv b \pmod{m}$

Question: Given $a \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}$, find an integer $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $a^{\gamma} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$

```
Theorem 2.1.0.2 (Fermat's Little Theorem (FlT)) \forall a, p \in \mathbb{Z}, prime p, \gcd(a, p) = 1 then, a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}
```

So part of the question is trivial with FlT, namely, when m is prime then we can simply let γ be m-1 and we've found a solution to the problem.

Finding an integer γ satisfying the equation for any integer m however, is much more difficult.

Definition 2.1.0.2 (Reduced Residue Class Set)

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the reduced residue class set as:

$$R_m = \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : 1 \le b \le m, \gcd(b, m) = 1\}$$

Example:

The residue class set
$$R_p$$
 for a prime number p is the following: $R_p = \{1, 2, 3, 4, ..., p-1\}$

Recall that the key step for proving FlT is to see that:

$$\{1, 2, 3, ..., p-1\} \equiv \{a, 2a, 3a, ...(p-1)a\} \pmod{p}$$
 for $p \nmid a$.

Example: a = 3, p = 5

$$R_5 = \{1,2,3,4\}$$
 if we abuse notation,
$$3 \cdot R_5 = \{3,6,9,12\}$$
 notice that when we take the mod 5 of each element we get,
$$3 \cdot R_5 \mod 5 \equiv \{3,1,4,2\}$$

which is exactly R_5 in a different order

2.2 Euler's Totient Function

Definition 2.2.0.1 (Euler's Phi/Totient Function) Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $\phi(m) := \#$ elements in R_m $\phi(m) = \#$ elements in $\{b \in \mathbb{Z}: 1 \le b \le m, \gcd(b, m) = 1\}$

Example 1:
$$\phi(p) = p - 1$$

Since $R_p = \{1, 2, 3, ..., p - 1\}$, there are $p - 1$ elements in R_p

Example 2:
$$\phi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1}$$

Constructing R_{p^k} , it's clear that there would be p^k elements in the set if we were to include the values of b which don't satisfy the condition that $gcd(b, p^k) = 1$. The question now is how many values of b are there which don't satisfy the condition? The only time $gcd(b, p^k) \neq 1$ is when $b \mid p^k$. Since p is prime, this is also when b is a multiple of p. There are exactly p^{k-1} multiples of p, and so the value of Euler's Phi Function is $p^k - p^{k-1}$.

```
Theorem 2.2.0.1 (Euler's Formula) Let a \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}, \gcd(a, m) = 1. Then, a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.
```

Remark: By Euler's formula, we can see that when m=p is prime then $a^{p-1}\equiv 1\pmod p$, so FlT is just a special case of Euler's formula.

Lemma 2.2.0.2

If gcd(a, m) = 1, then the following sets are congruent in mod m

$$a \cdot R_m = ab_1, ab_2, ..., ab_{\phi(m)}$$

 $R_m = b_1, b_2, ..., b_{\phi(m)}$

In other words, $R_m \equiv a \cdot R_m \pmod{m}$

Proof (Lemma 1.2.0.4)

It suffices to prove that any two numbers ab_j, ab_j are congruent to m if and only iff i = j, since this will show that $ab_1, ..., ab_{\phi(m)}$ all have a different module m.

Suppose $ab_i = ab_j \pmod{m}$. Since gcd(a, m) = 1, we can cancel out a, so $b_i \equiv b_j \pmod{m}$. But since $1 \leq b_i, b_j \leq m$, this implies that i = j.

Thus, we have that $R_m \equiv a \cdot R_m \pmod{m}$.

Proof (Euler's Formula)

By Lemma 1.2.0.4, we have that,

$$R_m \equiv a \cdot R_m \pmod{m}$$
 Suppose we have that,

$$R_m = \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : 1 \le b \le m, \gcd(b, m) = 1\}$$

$$a \cdot R_m = \{a \cdot b \in \mathbb{Z} : 1 \le a \cdot b \le m, \gcd(b, m) = 1\}$$

Now consider what we get if we take the product of all terms in these sets. Notice that,

$$\prod_{b \in R_m} b \equiv \prod_{b \in R_m} a \cdot b \mod m$$

Thus we have that,

$$\prod_{b \in R_m} b \equiv a^{\phi(m)} \cdot \prod_{b \in R_m} b \mod m$$

and since $\forall b \in R_m$, gcd(b, m) = 1, we're able to cancel out the cartesian products and are left with the following:

$$1 \equiv a^{\phi(m)} \ (mod \ m)$$

Example: Compute the remainder of 5^{10000} divided by 9

$$gcd(5,9) = 1$$
, so we use Euler's formula.
Notice that $\phi(9) = 6$
So, by Euler, $5^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$

Notice that
$$5^{10000} = (5^6)^{1666} \cdot 5^4$$

 $5^{10000} \equiv 1^{1666} \cdot 625 \pmod{9}$
 $5^{10000} \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$
So the remainder is 4.

To give some motivation for the next theorem, notice how in order to use Euler's formula, we need to determine $\phi(m)$, which of course becomes very difficult when m gets large. So we need a trick to determining $\phi(m)$ for large m.

```
Theorem 2.2.0.3 (Phi Function Theorem)
```

If $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and gcd(m, n) = 1, then we have that $\phi(m \cdot n) = \phi(m) \cdot \phi(n)$

Using this theorem in conjunction with what we know about $\phi(p)$ and $\phi(p^k)$ for prime numbers p, we can calculate $\phi(m)$ for large m values much faster by first putting m in its prime factorized form, and then calculating ϕ for each base number in m, and then multiplying the values together.

Example: Compute $\phi(1000)$

$$\phi(1000) = \phi(2^3 \cdot 5^3)$$

$$= \phi(2^3) \cdot \phi(5^3)$$

$$= (2^3 - 2^2) \cdot (5^3 - 5^2)$$

$$= 400$$

Proof (Phi Function Theorem)

We need to show that $\phi(m \cdot n) = \phi(m) \cdot \phi(n)$ when $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and gcd(m, n) = 1. Recall that $\phi(m)$ is just the number of elements in the reduced residue set R_m . The proof is easier if we compare R_{mn} to $R_m \times R_n$.

$$R_{mn} = \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : 1 \le b \le m \cdot n, \ gcd(b, m \cdot n) = 1\}$$

$$R_m = \{c \in \mathbb{Z} : 1 \le c \le m, \ gcd(c, m) = 1\}$$

$$R_n = \{d \in \mathbb{Z} : 1 \le d \le n, \ gcd(d, n) = 1\}$$

$$R_m \times R_n = \{(c, d) : c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, \ 1 \le c \le m, \ 1 \le d \le n, \ gcd(c, m) = 1, \ gcd(d, n) = 1\}$$

We need to show that the size of R_{mn} is equal to the size of $R_m \times R_n$. If we can construct a bijection between these two sets, then that would imply that they are of equal size as required.

Define a map:

$$f: R_{mn} \to R_m \times R_n$$
$$b \mapsto (c, d)$$
$$c \equiv b \pmod{m}$$
$$d \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

Proof (Phi Function Theorem cont.)

Since b is coprime to $m \cdot n$, b is coprime to both m and n, thus the mapping is well defined. The bijection is followed by the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT). Since CRT says that any

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv c \pmod{m} \\ x \equiv d \pmod{n} \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{m \cdot n}$, then,

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \equiv c \pmod{m} \\ x_0 \equiv d \pmod{n} \end{cases}$$

So a bijection between the two sets exists, and thus we have the they are equal in size, as required.

Corollary 2.2.0.3.1

$$\phi(m) = m \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{t} (1 - \frac{1}{p_i})$$

Example: Compute $\phi(1000)$

$$1000 = 2^3 \cdot 5^3$$

$$\phi(1000) = 1000(1 - \frac{1}{2})(1 - \frac{1}{5})$$

$$= 400$$

Proof (Corollary)

This result is found by dividing by the common factor of p^k for each base number in the prime factorized form of m, since

$$\phi(m) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} (p^{k_i} - p^{k_i - 1})$$

Where i is the index of the current prime number in the prime factorized form of m. Simply rearranging this formula will give you the result of the corollary.

Chapter 3

Congruence Relations

3.1 Successive Squaring algorithm

Question: Compute the remainder r of when 9^{53} is divided by 67. In other words, solve for r in $9^{53} \equiv r \pmod{67}$.

Motivation: Using theorems we know, such as the *congruence power theorem* from MATH135, we are required to use 53 as an exponent, which is far too large for us to compute. Thus, a better method is needed for computing these remainder problems for large exponents.

Theorem 3.1.0.1 (Method of Successive Squaring To Compute $a^k \mod m$)

Step 1: Write k as a sum of powers of 2

Step 2: Make a table of the powers of a modulo m using successive squaring Then,

$$a^k \equiv \prod_{i=1}^t (A_i)^{u_i} \mod m$$

Now that we have the resources to answer the question, lets go through the question.

Question: Compute the remainder r of when 9^{53} is divided by 67. In other words, solve for r in $9^{53} \equiv r \pmod{67}$.

Answer

Step 1: (Write the exponent as a sum of powers of 2)

$$53 = 2^5 + 21$$
$$53 = 2^5 + 2^4 + 2^2 + 2^0$$

The largest exponent is 5 in the rewritten number, and so for the next step, we will go up to $9^{2^5} = 9^{32}$

Step 2: (Create a table of the powers of 9 modulo 67)

Notice that,

$$9^{53} \equiv 9^{32} \cdot 9^{16} \cdot 9^4 \cdot 9^1 \pmod{67}$$
$$9^{53} \equiv 15 \cdot 22 \cdot 62 \cdot 9 \pmod{67}$$
$$9^{53} \equiv 24 \pmod{67}$$

Thus we have that the remainder when 9^{53} is divided by 67, is 24.

3.2 Bases in Congruence Relations

Question: Let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Solve the congruence relation for x:

$$x^k \equiv b \pmod{m}$$

Note that so far we know how to solve for k if we are given x, b and m, but now we are looking to solve for x when given k, b and m.

We can very easily solve it if k = 1, so lets suppose k > 1.

Theorem 3.2.0.1 (Solving For x in Congruence Relations)

Let $b, k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \ge 1$, $m \ge 1$ such that we have that gcd(b, m) = 1 and $gcd(k, \phi(m)) = 1$. Then, the following steps will give a solution to

$$x^k \equiv b \pmod{m}$$

Step 1: Compute $\phi(m)$

Step 2: Find positive integers $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ that satisfy $k \cdot u - \phi(m) \cdot v = 1$. Note: this step is from the linear diophantine equation theorem, which states that $a \cdot x + b \cdot y = c$ has a solution if $gcd(a,b) \mid c$. We can find solutions to this using the *Euclidean Algorithm*

Step 3: $x = b^u \pmod{m}$ is a solution to the relation

Proof

It's enough to show that $x = b^u$ is a solution.

$$(b^u)^k = b^{ku} = b^{1+\phi(m)v} = (b^{\phi(m)})^v b$$

By Euler's formula, $b^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, so,

$$(b^u)^k \equiv 1^v b \equiv b \pmod{m}$$

So, $x = b^u$ is a solution to the equation.

Remark: This theorem gives us a way to find the k^{th} root of b modulus m.

Example: Solve $x^{25} \equiv 7 \pmod{135}$.

Answer

Notice that gcd(7, 135) = 1 and $gcd(25, \phi(135)) = 1$

The conditions for our theorem are met, so we may use it.

We have the following linear diophantine equation:

$$25 \cdot u + 72 \cdot v = 1$$

Solved by E.Z.A, gives u = 49, v = 17.

By our theorem, we have that $x = 7^{49}$ is a solution. We can perform 7^{49} (mod 135) to find a smaller solution to our equation using successive squaring. Since an example of successive squaring is given on the previous page, this will be skipped. The answer after successive squaring is 52 (this is different than the answer given in class (88), but this is the correct answer), and so we know that $52^{25} \equiv 7 \pmod{135}$, as required.

Example: Solve $x^4 \equiv 7 \pmod{15}$

Answer

Notice that gcd(7,15) = 1 and $gcd(4,\phi(15)) = 4 \neq 1$, so we cannot use our theorem.

We can, however, use the Splitting Module Theorem from MATH 135.

By SMT, $x^4 \equiv 7 \pmod{15}$ if and only if $x^4 \equiv 7 \pmod{5}$ and $x^4 \equiv 7 \pmod{3}$.

Consider $x^4 \equiv 7 \pmod{5}$.

Notice that if x is a solution, it must be coprime to 5, since gcd(5,7) = 1. So, by FlT, we have that $x^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, however, $7 \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$, which is a contradiction, and so $x^4 \equiv 7 \pmod{5}$ has no solution.

Thus, $x^4 \equiv 7 \pmod{15}$ has no solution by SMT.

3.3 Sums of Divisors with Phi

Question: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d_1, d_2, ..., d_k$ be all positive divisors of n. What is the sum of $\phi(d_1) + \phi(d_2) + ... + \phi(d_k)$?

Answer

The sum is equal to n. This will be proven as a theorem, but for now lets consider the summation when n is prime.

Let n = p, where p is prime.

 $d_1 = 1$, $d_2 = p$ are the only divisors by the properties of primes. Thus we have,

$$\phi(1) + \phi(p) = 1 + p - 1 = p = n$$

Let $n = p^k$, where p is prime.

 $d_1 = 1, d_2 = p, d_3 = p^2, ..., d_k = p^k$ are the only divisors of n.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(1) + \phi(p) + \phi(p^2) + \ldots + \phi(p^k) \\ = 1 + (p-1) + (p^2-p) + \ldots + \phi(p^k-p^{k-1}) \\ \text{Notice the cancellation,} \\ = p^k = n \end{aligned}$$

We've shown that when n is prime or has a prime base, the sum of Euler's phi function of the divisors of n is equal to n. This will be helpful since every integer can be expressed as a product of primes.

Theorem 3.3.0.1 (Euler's Phi Function Summation Formula)

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{d|n} \phi(d) = n$$

Proof

Let $F = \sum_{d|n} \phi(d)$.

If we can show for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, if gcd(m, n) = 1, then $F(m \cdot n) = F(m) \cdot F(n)$, then we are done, since we can express any number as a product of primes, and we know that $F(p^K) = p^k$ for any prime p.

Let $m=p_1^{d_1}p_2^{d_2}...p_k^{d_k},$ and let $n=\gamma_1^{t_1}\gamma_2^{t_2}...\gamma_j^{t_j}$

Notice how since gcd(m,n)=1, all p_k are different from γ_j . By the *Divisors From Prime Factorization Theorem*, any divisor d of $m \cdot n$ has the form of a subset of the product of primes multiplied together, i.e, if we let $e=p_1^{\omega_1}p_2^{\omega_2}...p_l^{\omega_l}$ and $f=\gamma_1^{i_1}\gamma_2^{i_2}...\gamma_w^{i_w}$, where $e\mid m$ and $f\mid n$, then $d=e\cdot f$.

Thus, any divisor d of $m \cdot n$ is a product of e (a positive divisor of m) and f (a positive divisor of n), where gcd(e, f) = 1 since gcd(m, n) = 1.

Proof (cont.)

The converse of this is also true. If e is a positive divisor of m, and f is a positive divisor of n, then $e \cdot f$ is a positive divisor of $m \cdot n$, with gcd(e, f) = 1.

To sum up what we have so far, let $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_s\}$ be the set of all positive divisors of m, and let $\{f_1, f_2, ..., f_u\}$ be the set of all positive divisors of n. Then, $\{e_i \cdot f_j : 1 \le i \le s, 1 \le j \le u\}$ is the set of all positive divisors of $m \cdot n$. Notice that all $gcd(e_i, f_j)$ are coprime by definition.

$$F(m \cdot n) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{f=1}^{u} \phi(e_i \cdot f_j)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{f=1}^{u} \phi(e_i) \cdot \phi(f_j)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{s} \phi(e_i) \cdot \sum_{f=1}^{u} \phi(f_j)$$
$$= F(m) \cdot F(n)$$

3.4 Primitive Roots Modulo p

Question: Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, where gcd(a, m) = 1, what is the smallest positive integer k such that $a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$?

We know by Euler that $k = \phi(m)$ is a solution to the equation, so we can say that the smallest integer k always exists, and $k \leq \phi(m)$.

Definition 3.4.0.1 (Exponent of a modulo m)

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where gcd(a, m) = 1. The smallest exponent e such that $a^e \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ is called the exponent of e modulo e, and is denoted by e_e(e). Note that e_e(e) e(e).

Example: Compute $e_7(5)$. i.e., find the smallest integer e such that $5^e \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$.

Answer

First, compute $\phi(7) = 6$, so the possible values of $e_7(5)$ are between 1 and 6.

$$5^{1} \equiv 5 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{7}$$

 $5^{2} \equiv 25 \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$
 $5^{3} \equiv 4 \cdot 5 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$
 $5^{4} \equiv 6 \cdot 5 \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$
 $5^{5} \equiv 2 \cdot 5 \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$
 $5^{6} \equiv 3 \cdot 5 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$

So, the smallest exponent e which solves the congruence relation $5^e \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ is the maximum value it could have been, i.e, equal to $\phi(7) = 6$.

To give some motivation for the next proposition, notice how long it would take to calculate $e_m(a)$ for large values of $\phi(m)$. Surely, not all positive integers between 1 and $\phi(m)$ are possible values for e, so let's try to reduce the values from this range even further.

Proposition 3.4.0.1 (Exponent Divisibility Property)

Let $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, with gcd(a, m) = 1, and suppose there is a solution to the equation $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we have that

$$e_m(a) \mid n$$

In particular,

$$e_m(a) \mid \phi(m)$$

Remark: In our last example in which we calculated $e_7(5)$, we had to calculate the value of 5^n modulo 7 for all $1 \le n \le \phi(7)$. If we can prove this proposition, we would have only needed to calculate the value of 5^n modulo 7 for the divisors of $\phi(7)$, so 1,2 and 3.

Proof (Exponent Divisibility Property)

By definition, $a^{e_m(a)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Let $d = \gcd(e_m(a), n) = e_m(a)$, since $e_m(a)$ is primitive.

By the Linear Diophantine Equation Theorem, there exists two positive integers u, v such that $e_m(a) \cdot u - n \cdot v = d$. Then, $a^{e_m(a) \cdot u} = (a^{e_m(a)})^u \equiv 1^u \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$

$$1 \equiv a^{e_m(a) \cdot u} = a^{n \cdot u + d} = (a^n)^u \cdot a^d \equiv 1^u \cdot a^d \equiv a^d \pmod{m}$$

But, by definition, $d = e_m(a)$, and so we have $e_m(a) \mid n$ as required.

Definition 3.4.0.2 (Primitive Roots mod m)

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let there exist a positive integer e_m such that, for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ where gcd(a, m) = 1, we have that $a^{e_m} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, and e_m is the smallest positive integer satisfying this property.

Then, a positive integer g such that gcd(g, m) = 1 is called the primitive root mod m if $e_m(g) = e_m$

In particular, if m = p for a prime p, $e_p = p - 1$. A primitive root g of p satisfies $e_p(g) = p - 1$.

Theorem 3.4.0.2

For any prime p, there exists a primitive root modulo p. In other words, for any prime p, there exists $g \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $e_p(g) = p - 1 = \phi(p)$.

Remark: The definition for primitive roots here is different than the one in the textbook, however, they are the same for primes, which is what we will be focusing on in this course.

We would like to prove this theorem, but we need to define a useful function and lemma first.

Definition 3.4.0.3

Let p be prime and n be a divisor of $\phi(p) = p - 1$. We define

$$\psi(n) := \#\{a: \ 1 \le a < p, \ e_p(a) = n\}$$

In other words, $\psi(n)$ gives the number of values of a < p which satisfies the equation $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

Remark: The primitive root theorem is equivalent to $\psi(p-1) \geq 1$, so we will prove this instead.

Examples: Let p = 7

$$\psi(1) = \#\{1\} = 1 \text{ since } 1^1 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \text{ is the only solution under 7.}$$

 $\psi(2) = \#\{6\} = 1 \text{ since } 6^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \text{ is the only solution under 7.}$
 $\psi(3) = \#\{2, 4\} = 2 \text{ since } 2^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \text{ and } 4^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$

Notice that it seems to be true that $\phi(n) = \psi(n)$. We can easily verify for the examples we've done that this holds, but it's difficult to show it holds for all n, p. If it is true, then $\psi(p-1) = \phi(p-1) \ge 1$, proving the theorem is true. We need to introduce a theorem which will help us prove this.

Theorem 3.4.0.3 (Lagrange's Theorem)

Given a polynomial f(x) of degree $n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the number of solutions to the congruence relation $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for a prime p is at most n.

Proof

Let $x = a_1$, $1 \le a_1 < p$ be a solution to $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. We will be referring to the following congruence relation often, and so let \star represent $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

The factor theorem from MATH135 says that for any $c \in F$, we have

$$(x-c) \mid f(x) \iff f(c) = 0$$

Where F is a field. In our case, $F = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ for prime p, which is a field (this notation is the proper notation, it means the set of integers in modulo p).

So, since a_1 is a solution, we have that $(x - a_1) \mid f(x)$. If we repeat the process n times, we're left with the following

$$f(x) \equiv (x - a_1)(x - a_2)...(x - a_n)g_n(x)$$

Where $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ are solutions to \star . We need to show that there cannot be any more solutions, so lets assume we have another solution a^0 to \star . Then, we have $0 \equiv f(a^0) = (a^0 - a_1)(a^0 - a_2)...(a^0 - a_n)g_n(a^o) \pmod{p}$

Since we are in the field $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, it is also a domain (if ab = 0 then a = 0 or b = 0). Thus, we have that at least one factor of $f(a^0)$ is equal to 0, but we defined a^0 to be a unique solution, so none of $(a^0 - a_n) = 0$. This means that $g_n(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

By the factor theorem,

$$(x-a^0) \mid g(a^0)$$
 and also,
$$f(x) \equiv (x-a_1)(x-a_2)...(x-a_n)(x-a^0)g_n(x) \ (mod \ p)$$

This has degree n+1, contradicting our assumption from the theorem that the degree of the polynomial was n. Thus, the number of solutions to $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ is at most n.

Example: Consider $x^5 \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$

The solutions are, x = 1, 3, 4, 5, 9, so there are 5 solutions, and a degree of 5.

Lemma 3.4.0.4

Let p be a prime number. If $n \mid (p-1)$, then the congruence $x^n - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has exactly n solutions.

Proof

Let p - 1 = nk. We have that

$$x^{p-1} - 1 = x^{nk} - 1 = (x^n)^k - 1$$
$$= (x^n - 1)((x^n)^{k-1} + (x^n)^{k-2} + \dots + (x^n)^2 + (x^n)^1 + 1)$$

By FlT, the congruence $x^{p-1}-1\equiv 0\ (mod\ p)$ has p-1 solutions, ie. (1,2,3,...,p-1). Since $x^n-1\equiv 0\ (mod\ p)$ has at most n solutions by the previous theorem, and $(x^n)^{k-1}+(x^n)^{k-2}+...+(x^n)^2+(x^n)^1+1\equiv 0\ (mod\ p)$ has at most n(k-1) solutions, $x^n-1\equiv 0\ (mod\ p)$ must have exactly n solutions.

To sum up what we've done, we've proven the following proposition:

Proposition 3.4.0.5

If $n \mid p-1$ and $d_1, d_2, ..., d_r = n$ are all the divisors of n, then $\psi(d_1) + \psi(d_2) + ... + \psi(d_r) = n$.

Recall that ϕ also satisfies the above equation. Now we can finally use these propositions to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4.0.6

For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that $\psi(n) = \phi(n)$

Proof

Let p be a prime

Clearly, $\phi(1) = 1 = \psi(1)$.

Let q be a prime factor of p-1. Then, by the above proposition, $\psi(1) + \psi(q) = q$. Thus, $\psi(q) = q - \psi(1) = q - \phi(1) = q - 1 = \phi(q)$.

Let qr be a divisor of p-1, where q, r are prime. Then, by the above proposition,

$$\psi(qr) = qr - \psi(q) - \psi(r) - \psi(1) = qr - \phi(q) - \phi(r) - \phi(1) = \phi(qr)$$

Since we can express every n as the product of primes, we have that $\phi(n) = \psi(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 3.4.0.4 (Index of $a \mod p$ for base g)

Let p be a prime and g and primitive root of p (ie. $a^g \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$). Let a be a non-zero number modulo p. Then, there is a unique number i such that $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ such that $a \equiv g^i \pmod{p}$. The exponent i is called the index of a modulo p for the base g. Assuming that p and g have been specified, we write I(a) for the index.

Proposition 3.4.0.7

Let g be a primitive root of p. Then, every non-zero number modulo p is congruent to a power of g. More precisely, for any number $1 \le a < p$, we can pick exactly one of the powers $g, g^2, g^3, ..., g^{p-1}$ as being congruent to a modulo p.

Example: Let p = 13, and g = 2. Then,

Read back the numbers to get the indices.

Theorem 3.4.0.8 (Index Rules Theorem)

Let p be a prime and g a primitive root of p. Then,

- $I(ab) \equiv I(a) + I(b) \pmod{p-1}$ (product rule)
- $I(a^k) \equiv k \cdot I(a) \pmod{p-1}$ (power rule)

Proof (Index Rules)

Let g be a primitive root of p. By definition, we have that $g^{I(a)} \equiv a \pmod{p}$ and $g^{I(b)} \equiv b \pmod{p}$

$$g^{I(ab)} \equiv ab \pmod{p}$$

$$ab \equiv g^{I(a)}g^{I(b)} \pmod{p}$$

$$\implies g^{I(ab)} \equiv g^{I(a)+I(b)} \pmod{p}$$

$$\implies g^{I(ab)-(I(a)+I(b))} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$\implies I(ab) - (I(a)+I(b)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

Example: Let p = 13, g = 2, compute $12 \cdot 11 \mod 13$

Answer

Solving $12 \cdot 11 \equiv x \pmod{13}$

$$I(12 \cdot 11) \equiv I(12) + I(11) \pmod{12}$$

 $I(12) + I(11) \equiv 6 + 7 \pmod{12}$
 $6 + 7 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$

So, we have

$$12 \cdot 11 \equiv 2^{I(12 \cdot 11)} \pmod{13}$$

 $12 \cdot 11 \equiv 2 \pmod{13}$

Example: Let p = 13, g = 2, compute $11^{100} \mod 13$

Answer

Solving $11^{100} \equiv x \pmod{13}$

$$I(11^{100}) \equiv 100I(11) \pmod{12}$$

 $I(11^{100}) \equiv 100 \cdot 7 \pmod{12}$
 $I(11^{100}) \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$

So, we have

$$11^{100} \equiv 2^{I(11^{100})} \pmod{13}$$

 $11^{100} \equiv 2^4 \pmod{13}$
 $11^{100} \equiv 3 \pmod{13}$

Example: Let p = 13, g = 2, solve the linear congruence $11x \equiv 2 \pmod{13}$

Answer

Take the index of both sides,

$$I(11x) \equiv I(2) \pmod{12}$$

 $I(11) + I(x) \equiv I(2) \pmod{12}$
 $7 + I(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$
 $I(x) \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$
 $\implies x \equiv 12 \pmod{13}$

Remark: It turns out that it does not matter which primitive root we use, taking the primitive root g = 7, for example, produces the same set of solutions. It seems like using indices can greatly simplify the computation of linear congruence, however to use such a method, the index table must first be calculated.

Chapter 4

Squares Modulo P

Question: Given a number a, and prime p, does the congruence $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ have a solution?

Example: is 3 congruent to a perfect square modulo 11? ie. does $x^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$ have a solution?

Answer

As a naive first attempt, we will just calculate the congruence relation for all unique values of x.

```
\begin{array}{lll} 1^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{11} & 10^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{11} \\ 2^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{11} & 9^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{11} \\ 3^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{11} & 8^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{11} \\ 4^2 \equiv 5 \pmod{11} & 7^2 \equiv 5 \pmod{11} \\ 5^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{11} & 6^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{11} \end{array}
```

Clearly, when x = 5, 6, the relation $x^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$ holds.

It's no coincidence that $1^2 \equiv 10^2 \pmod{11}$ and $2^2 \equiv 9^2 \pmod{11}$ etc. It follows from the fact that we're taking $(p-b)^2$ for some b. Expanding that gives $p^2 - 2pb + b^2$, which is congruent to b^2 in mod p. Hence, for p-a=b, we have that $a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{p}$.

Definition 4.0.0.1 (Quadratic Residue and Non-Residue)

Let p be prime and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \nmid a$. If $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ has a solution, then a is called a quadratic residue modulo p. We use the notation QR. If $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ does not have a solution, then we call a a quadratic non-residue modulo p, and give the notation NR.

Example: The quadratic residues in modulo 11 are 1,3,4,5,9 since all of $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ have solutions for a = 1, 3, 4, 5, 9.

It turns out that determining the solution of $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ is very difficult, so we need some observations which will help us in finding the answer.

Proposition 4.0.0.1

Let p be an odd prime. Then, there are exactly

$$\frac{p-1}{2}$$
 quadratic residues modulo p , and $\frac{p-1}{2}$ quadratic non-residues modulo p

Proof

The quadratic residues modulo p are congruent to $1^2, 2^2, ..., ((p-1)/2)^2$. To prove that there are exactly (p-1)/2 quadratic residues modulo p, it is sufficient to prove that $1^2, 2^2, ..., ((p-1)/2)^2$ are distinct modulo p.

Suppose that $1 \le b_2 \le b_1 \le \frac{p-1}{2}$ and $b_1^2 \equiv b_2^2 \pmod{p}$. Then, we have that $p \mid b_1^2 - b_2^2 = (b_1 - b_2)(b_1 + b_2)$, and since p is prime, either $p \mid (b_1 - b_2)$ or $p \mid (b_1 + b_2)$. But $2 \le b_1 + b_2 \le \frac{p-1}{2} + \frac{p-1}{2} = p-1 < p$, so $p \nmid (b_1 + b_2)$

Thus, $p \mid (b_1 - b_2)$. But $0 \le (b_1 - b_2) \le \frac{p-1}{2} < p$, so we must have that $b_1 - b_2 = 0$ if $p \mid (b_1 - b_2)$. Hence we have that $b_1 = b_2$.

We have shown that all quadratic residues modulo p are of the form $1^2, 2^2, ..., ((p-1)/2)^2$ are distinct, and thus there are exactly $\frac{p-1}{2}$ of them.

Question: Suppose $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Can we determine whether or not $a_1 \cdot a_2$ is a quadratic residue on the basis of a_1 and a_2 ?

Theorem 4.0.0.2 (Quadratic Residue Rules V1)

Let p be an odd prime. We have the following, where QR denotes an integer which is a quadratic residue modulo p, and NR an integer which is a quadratic non-residue.

$$QR \cdot QR = QR$$
$$QR \cdot NR = NR$$
$$NR \cdot NR = QR$$

Proof (Quadratic Residue Rules V1)

We have 3 statements to prove:

- 1. $QR \cdot QR = QR$
- 2. $QR \cdot NR = NR$
- 3. $NR \cdot NR = QR$

We will begin by proving (1). Suppose we have two quadratic residues modulo p, namely a_1, a_2 . By definition, for some integers b_1, b_2 ,

$$b_1^2 \equiv a_1 \pmod{p}$$
 and $b_2^2 \equiv a_2 \pmod{p}$
 $\implies b_1^2 b_2^2 \equiv a_1 a_2 \pmod{p}$
 $\implies (b_1 b_2)^2 \equiv a_1 a_2 \pmod{p}$

Thus, a_1a_2 is a QR modulo p, as required.

Now, lets prove (2). Suppose we have a QR and NR a_1, a_2 respectively, modulo p. Assume that a_1a_2 is a QR modulo p. Then, we have that,

$$\exists b_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } b_2^2 \equiv a_1 a_2 \pmod{p}$$
But, since a_1 is a QR modulo p ,
$$\exists b_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } b_1^2 \equiv a_1 \pmod{p}$$

$$\Longrightarrow b_2^2 \equiv b_1^2 a_2 \pmod{p}$$

$$\Longrightarrow b_2^2 (b_1^2)^{-1} \equiv b_1^2 a_2 (b_1^2)^{-1} \equiv a_2 \pmod{p}$$

Thus, a_2 is a QR, which contradicts our assumption.

Finally, lets prove (3). Let A be the set of all QR's modulo p, and B be the set of all NR's modulo p. As we've seen, |A| = |B| = (p-1)/2. It's also clear that $A \cap B = \emptyset$, and $A \cup B = R_p$. Let c be a NR. Since $cA = \{ca : a \in A\}$, and each element in A is a QR, by (2), cA is a set of NR's, thus $cA \subseteq B$. But, we have that |A| = |B|, and |cA| = |A|, so we must have that cA = B. By a similar argument, we can also get that $cR_p = R_p$.

$$A \cup B = R_p \text{ and } A \cap B = \emptyset$$

$$B = R_p - A$$

$$cB = c(R_p - A)$$

$$cB = cR_p - cA$$

$$cB = R_p - B$$

$$cB = A$$

So, any NR c times an NR in B is in the set A, this is a QR, as required.

Definition 4.0.0.2 (Legendre Symbol)

Let p be an odd prime, and gcd(a, p) = 1. The Legendre symbol of a modulo p is given by

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) := \begin{cases} 1: a \in QR \\ -1: a \in NR \end{cases}$$

Examples: $\left(\frac{7}{11}\right) = -1$, since 7 is an NR modulo 11. $\left(\frac{9}{11}\right) = 1$, since 9 is a QR modulo 11.

Theorem 4.0.0.3 (Quadratic Residue Rules V2)

For all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and an odd prime p,

$$\left(\frac{ab}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \left(\frac{b}{p}\right)$$

Proof (Quadratic Residue Rules V2)