**METHODOLOGY**

A two-stage regression model for detecting anchoring is specified in Beggs & Graddy (2009) who themselves cite Genesove & Mayer (2001). The same model is used to detect anchoring effects in later papers such as Hong et al. (2015), and in general, may be estimated for goods that exhibit unchanging hedonic quality over time – a key assumption of their work. Intuitively, their model identifies anchoring by looking at two sales of an item, say a painting, at different points in time. By controlling for hedonic characteristics (artist, medium, etc.) and unobserved inputs into the past price (bidding behavior), the difference between past price and hedonic quality can be isolated, and identified as the anchoring effect on current price.

Hedonic regressions are commonly used to estimate demand for highly heterogeneous items such as art, wine, and real estate as a function of their constituent attributes[[1]](#footnote-1) [[2]](#footnote-2). For example, the value of a painting may depend on its dimensions and authenticity, while a bottle of wine may be appraised based on its age and where it was grown. In the first stage of the model, Beggs & Graddy (2009) fit a hedonic regression of the sale prices of paintings on their hedonic variables, while also controlling for temporal effects. This yields a hedonic price prediction for each observation of a painting sale. For my replication work, I use the same variables that Beggs & Graddy use on the Impressionist and Contemporary datasets, respectively. For Impressionist art this includes painting date, length, width, medium of the artwork, indicators of authenticity (signed, monogrammed, stamped), and artist. For Contemporary art this includes painting date, length, width, medium, and artist. The temporal effects are modelled by half-year time dummies.

In the same vein as Beggs & Graddy, I take the natural log of prices and hedonic price prediction, which allows us to interpret the regression results as relative effects. Beggs & Graddy only fit this hedonic regression for observation pairs where a first sale and a second sale of the same item have been painstakingly verified against their presale catalogs. Because those are not available, in my replication analysis I make the assumption that duplicate observations in their Impressionist and Contemporary data refer to multiple sales of the same item. It is important to note that multiple hedonic price predictions at different times may differ for the same painting, since these are estimated based on the price index. The price index reflects demand for art, which varies over time.

In the second stage of the model, Beggs & Graddy specify the following regression in order to separate out anchoring from other effects.

Above, is the first sale of a painting at time and is the second sale at time. Beggs and Graddy fit several regressions where the response represents either the hammer price, an indicator for whether the item sells (which involves a probit transformation), or the presale estimate. The anchoring effect is captured in the term, which specifies how information from the past price (the anchor) differs the later hedonic price prediction and thus the dependent variable. The last term controls for unobservable non-hedonic effects on price. For example, if the past price was not only a function of the painting’s hedonic characteristics, but was also a function of bidding activity at the time, this will be controlled for in the term. Otherwise, not only reflects the impact by past price on the later hedonic prediction, but also past bidding activity and other non-hedonic factors inputted into. In the case of, the dependent variable (for a regression for hammer price), we see that those non-hedonic inputs would be contained in the error term. Furthermore, because hedonic prices may vary over time, is distinct from.
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