

1 Dimensional equations

The dimensional Boussinesq equations are

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla p + \frac{\rho'}{\rho_0} \mathbf{g} + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} \quad (2)$$

$$\partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T + w \nabla_{\text{ad}} = \chi \nabla^2 T' + \nabla \cdot [k \nabla \bar{T}] + Q \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\rho'}{\rho_0} = -|\alpha| T \quad (4)$$

Where ρ is the density, T is the temperature, \mathbf{g} is the gravitational acceleration, α is the coefficient of thermal expansion, ν and χ are the viscous and thermal diffusivity, k is a radiative diffusivity, and Q is a heating term. We have baked in an assumption that $\alpha < 0$ to make sign conventions more straightforward after substituting Eqn. 4 into Eqn. 2.

2 Convective Penetration argument

First some definitions:

1. $z = L_s$ is the the top of the convection zone according to the Schwarzschild criterion; it's the height where $\nabla_{\text{ad}} = \nabla_{\text{rad}}$.
2. L_{cz} is the top of the convection zone; it's roughly the top of the region where convection flattens $\nabla \rightarrow \nabla_{\text{ad}}$. We generally get $L_{\text{cz}} > L_s$.
3. The penetration depth is $\delta_p = L_{\text{cz}} - L_s$.
4. The flux carried by convection for $z < L_s$ is $F_{\text{conv,cz}} = Q\delta_H$, where Q is the magnitude of the internal heating and δ_H is the depth of the heating layer.
5. \bar{w} is the vertical profile of the characteristic (vertical) convective velocity, which is a constant w_{cz} for $z \leq L_{\text{cz}}$.

6. Similarly, $\overline{\delta T}$ is the vertical profile of the characteristic temperature perturbation.

And some key assumptions.

1. Convection flattens $\nabla \rightarrow \nabla_{\text{ad}}$ for $z \leq L_{\text{cz}}$ (baked into our definitions).
2. We assume a system in thermal equilibrium, at least in (adiabatic) convection zone with $z \leq L_{\text{cz}}$. Therefore $F_{\text{conv}}(z) = F_{\text{tot}}(z) - F_{\text{rad,ad}}(z)$, where F is a flux and $F_{\text{rad,ad}}$ is the radiative flux along the adiabatic gradient.
3. We assume $F_{\text{conv}} = \overline{wT} \approx \overline{w}\overline{\delta T}$. Combined with our previous assumption, we get

$$\overline{\delta T} \approx \frac{F_{\text{tot}}(z) - F_{\text{rad,ad}}(z)}{\overline{w}}. \quad (5)$$

As we increase in height, the conductivity and thus $F_{\text{rad,ad}}(z)$ also increases. This means that $\overline{\delta T}$ has the opposite sign of \overline{w} for $z > L_s$.

We presume that buoyancy breaking is the dominant mechanism which brings convective motions to a stop in this adiabatic layer. In other words, we assume that, if we drop the nonlinear, pressure, and viscous terms from Eqn. 2, we describe the dynamics reasonably well,

$$\frac{d\overline{w}}{dt} = \frac{\overline{\rho'}}{\rho_0}(-g\hat{z}) = \alpha g \overline{\delta T} = \alpha g \frac{F_{\text{tot}}(z) - F_{\text{rad,ad}}(z)}{\overline{w}}. \quad (6)$$

If we multiply both sides by \overline{w} and absorb it into the the derivative, then apply the chain rule with $d/dt = d/dz(dz/dt) = d/dz\overline{w}$, we retrieve,

$$\frac{1}{2}d\overline{w}^3 = \alpha g [F_{\text{tot}}(z) - F_{\text{rad,ad}}(z)] dz. \quad (7)$$

We now replace $F_{\text{rad,ad}}(z) = k_0(z)\nabla_{\text{ad}}$, and we assume that $k(z)$ instantaneously jumps from a low value k_{cz} to a high value k_{rz} at $z = L_s$. Per this assumption, the convective flux is a negative constant for $z \geq L_s$. We integrate from $z = L_s$ with $\overline{w} = w_{\text{cz}}$ to $z = L_s$ with $\overline{w} = 0$, and get

$$-\frac{w_{\text{cz}}^3}{2} = \alpha g F_{\text{conv,p}} \delta_p. \quad (8)$$

By definition, the convective flux in the penetrative layer with $z > L_s$ is related to \mathcal{P} ,

$$F_{\text{conv,p}} = -\frac{F_{\text{conv,cz}}}{\mathcal{P}}, \quad (9)$$

so we retrieve

$$\delta_p = \frac{w_{\text{cz}}^3}{2\alpha g F_{\text{conv,cz}}} \mathcal{P}, \quad (10)$$

and the penetration depth scales with the cube of the velocity and linearly with \mathcal{P} . This prediction does not exactly line up with the simulation results (this overpredicts the magnitude of δ_p). The important thing we need to determine is if it scales with w_{cz} , \mathcal{P} , and $F_{\text{conv,cz}}$ in the appropriate way. So far, it seems to scale linearly with \mathcal{P} at fixed other parameters.

Finally, we note that for an ideal gas with $P = \mathcal{R}\rho T$,

$$\alpha = \frac{\partial \ln \rho}{\partial T} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T} \approx -T^{-1}. \quad (11)$$

If we had assumed that the convective flux had the form $\rho c_p w \delta T$ during Eqn. 5, we would have retrieved

$$\delta_p = \frac{\rho c_p w_{\text{cz}}^3}{2\alpha g F_{\text{conv,cz}}} \mathcal{P} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\delta_p}{H_P} = \frac{\rho w_{\text{cz}}^3}{2F_{\text{conv,cz}}} \mathcal{P}, \quad (12)$$

with $H_P = c_P T/g$ the rough pressure scale height.