Internally heated, stratified, compressible convection

Evan H. Anders and Benjamin P. Brown

Dept. Astrophysical & Planetary Sciences, University of Colorado – Boulder, Boulder, CO 80309, USA and Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, Boulder, CO 80303, USA

An abstract will go here eventually

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Importance of internal heating in natural convective systems
- 2. Shape of internal heating in something like Sun
- 3. Studies in Rayleigh-Benard
- 4. Goals of this paper:
 - (a) Show how to set up internally heated polytropes, with stable layers (or without)
 - (b) Show how the resultant stratification depends on combo of lower flux + internal heating profile choices
 - (c) Show how stable layer affects surface power spectrum

II. EXPERIMENT

A. General system info and temperature profile

We choose a parameter, ϵ , which sets the initial scale of gravity,

$$q \equiv m_{ad} + 1 - \epsilon,\tag{1}$$

where $m_{ad} \equiv (\gamma - 1)^{-1}$, and $\gamma = c_P/c_V = 5/3$. The atmosphere has a constant internal heating term, such that the energy equation looks like

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\left[\rho \frac{|\boldsymbol{u}|^2}{2} + c_v T + \phi \right] \right) + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{F}_{\text{conv}} + \boldsymbol{F}_{\text{cond}}) = \kappa H, \tag{2}$$

where H is an internal heating term. In the initial, static, conductive state, the conductive flux must balance the internal heating term,

$$\nabla \cdot (-\kappa \nabla T_c) = \kappa H,\tag{3}$$

A useful form of the initial temperature gradient in such a system is

$$\nabla T_c = -1 + H(L_z - z) \,. \tag{4}$$

This initial conductive solution is *not* the adiabatic solution to this atmosphere,

$$\nabla T_{ad} = -\frac{g}{c_P} = -1 + \frac{\epsilon}{c_P}.\tag{5}$$

If we integrate the conductive solution, our initial temperature profile is

$$T_c(z) = (HL_z - 1)z - \frac{H}{2}z^2 + C$$

and if we want $T_c(L_z) = T_t$,

$$T_c(z) = -\frac{H}{2}z^2 - (1 - HL_z)z + \left(T_t - \frac{H}{2}L_z^2 + L_z\right).$$
(6)

So our initial temperature gradient is now quadratic.

The initial *superadiabatic temperature gradient* is an important quantity, as it determines whether or not the atmosphere is stable to convection. This gradient in these systems is

$$\nabla T_c - \nabla T_{ad} = H(L_z - z) - \frac{\epsilon}{c_P}.$$
 (7)

When this quantity is zero, the atmosphere is carrying the maximum amount of flux that conductivity can carry. When this value is less than zero, the atmosphere requires convection to carry the flux. Solving for a generalized zero-point, we find that

$$z_{\rm cross} \equiv L_z - \frac{\epsilon}{Hc_P}.\tag{8}$$

In order for the atmosphere to have any region that is unstable to convection, we require that $0 < \epsilon/(Hc_P) < L_z$. In the case that $\epsilon/(Hc_P) < L_z$, the whole atmosphere is unstable to convection. Further, the anticipated length scale of the convecting region of the atmosphere is the full atmosphere above z_{cross} , or

$$d_{\text{conv}} = L_z - z_{\text{cross}} = \frac{\epsilon}{Hc_B}.$$
 (9)

It's maybe not super important, but the temperature at the base of the convection zone is

$$T_c(z_{\text{cross}}) = -\frac{H}{2}z_{\text{cross}}^2 - (1 - HL_z)z_{\text{cross}} + \left(T_t - \frac{H}{2}L_z^2 + L_z\right) = T_t + d_{\text{conv}} - \frac{H}{2}d_{\text{conv}}^2$$

The temperature gradient there is

$$\nabla T_c(z_{\text{cross}}) = -\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{c_P}\right) = \nabla T_{\text{ad}}$$

I think there are two natural control parameters of this system:

- 1. $f \equiv z_{\text{cross}}/L_z$, the fraction of the atmosphere that is stable (f = 0 means the full atmosphere convects, f = 0.5 means that the upper half convects, etc). This *should* be the parameter that determines the depth of the stable layer.
- 2. ϵ , the deviation of the initial temperature gradient (at the top of the atmosphere) from the adiabatic temperature gradient. This *should* be the parameter that determines the depth of the stable layer.

By specifying these two parameters, we retrieve the magnitude of the internal heating, $f = 1 - \epsilon/(Hc_PL_z)$

$$H = \frac{\epsilon}{L_z c_P (1 - f)} = \frac{\epsilon}{d_{\text{conv}} c_P}.$$
(10)

B. Initial density stratification

The initial density profile is set by hydrostatic equilibrium,

$$\nabla \ln \rho_c = -\frac{g + \nabla T_c}{T_c} = -\nabla \ln T_c - \frac{g}{T_c}.$$
 (11)

If we integrate over this function, the first term is super easy, and we end up having

$$\ln \rho_c = -\ln T_c - \int \frac{g}{T_c} dz + \xi, \tag{12}$$

where ξ is a constant. The next integral is harder. In general, this integral has the form

$$\int \frac{1}{Az^2 + Bz + C} dz = \frac{2}{\sqrt{4AC - B^2}} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2Az + B}{\sqrt{4AC - B}} \right). \tag{13}$$

For our specific implementation, we get our constants from the temperature profile,

$$A = -H/2$$
 $B = (HL_z - 1)$ $C = \left(T_t - \frac{H}{2}L_z^2 + L_z\right)$

and note that $2Az + B = -Hz + (HL_z - 1) = \nabla T_c$. Note also that $4AC - B^2 = -2H(T_t - (H/2)L_z^2 + L_z) - (H^2L_z^2 - 2HL_z + 1) = -2HT_t - 1$, so $\sqrt{4AC - B^2} = i\xi$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and $\xi = \sqrt{1 + 2HT_t}$. With these definitions in hand, we find that

$$\ln \rho_c = -\ln T_c + \frac{2gi}{\xi} \tan^{-1} \left(-i \frac{\nabla T_c}{\xi} \right) \tag{14}$$

Now it's important to know that there's a neat identity: $\tan^{-1}(-iA) = -i \tanh^{-1}(A)$, and plugging this in we get

$$\ln \rho_c = -\ln T_c + \frac{2g}{\xi} \tanh^{-1} \left(\frac{\nabla T_c}{\xi} \right). \tag{15}$$

At this point, there's another useful identity: $\tanh^{-1}(A) = (1/2)\ln([1+A]/[1-A])$, so the density profile is

$$\ln \rho_c = -\ln T_c + \frac{g}{\xi} \ln \left(\frac{\xi + \nabla T_c}{\xi - \nabla T_c} \right) + \Xi. \tag{16}$$

In general, this profile is valid for any value of ρ_t , such that

$$\Xi = \ln \rho_t + \ln T_t - \frac{g}{\xi} \ln \left(\frac{\xi - 1}{\xi + 1} \right), \tag{17}$$

where $\nabla T_c(L_z) = -1$ has already been previously specified. This means that the general, full form of the log density is

$$\ln\left(\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_t}\right) = -\ln\left(\frac{T_c}{T_t}\right) + \frac{g}{\xi}\ln\left(\frac{\xi + \nabla T_c}{\xi - \nabla T_c} \cdot \frac{\xi + 1}{\xi - 1}\right). \tag{18}$$

1. Specifying the depth of the atmosphere

I still want n_{ρ} to be the parameter that specifies the depth of the parameter, and I specifically want it to specify the number of density scale heights in the part of the atmosphere that carries a superadiabatic flux.

To get started, I need to consider the value of $\ln \rho_c$ at the bottom of the atmosphere,

$$\ln \rho_c(z=0) = n_\rho = -\ln \left(\frac{T_c(z=0)}{T_t} \right) + \frac{g}{\xi} \ln \left(\frac{(\xi + \nabla T_c(z=0))(\xi + 1)}{(\xi - \nabla T_c(z=0))(\xi - 1)} \right)$$

And we have a problem here, right? The stuff on the RHS is a complex function of ϵ and L_z , and we're trying to specify L_z using n_ρ , not the other way around. I'm going to consistently move all of the terms from the RHS to the LHS, then non-dimensionalize and assume $T_t = \rho_t = 1$, making the function

$$f(L_z) = n_\rho + \ln\left(T_t - \frac{H}{2}L_z^2 + L_z\right) - \frac{g}{\xi}\ln\left(\frac{\xi^2 - 1 + HL_z(\xi + 1)}{\xi^2 - 1 - HL_z(\xi - 1)}\right). \tag{19}$$

When this function is zero, L_z appropriately captures the right number of density scale heights.

Since we have set up all of our non-dimensionalizations at the top of the atmosphere, and we are building atmospheres which have CZs above RZs, we can actually really simply specify the density stratification of the CZ. The CZ is an atmosphere with f=0 whose z=0 starts at z_{cross} . If we set $n_{\rho}=n_{\rho,cz}$ and set f=0, and then find the appropriate L_z from $f(L_z)$, that will give us L_{z-cz} . And since that is a depth from the top of the atmosphere, we can use that depth to determine how big the rest of the atmosphere should be for our "true" value of f. That is,

$$L_z = \frac{L_{z-cz}}{1-f}. (20)$$

This way, by adding the RZ, we're actually extending the atmosphere captured down into the RZ, rather than gobbling up part of the CZ with the RZ. In order to find L_{z-cz} , a numerical root-finding algorithm is the logical choice.

C. Entropy profile

Ok, the specific entropy profile is defined according to the standard entropy equation

$$\frac{\nabla S}{c_P} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \nabla \ln P - \nabla \ln \rho = \frac{1}{\gamma} \nabla \ln T - \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \nabla \ln \rho \tag{21}$$

This means that, in the conductive state, where $\nabla \ln \rho_c = -g/T_c - \nabla \ln T_c$,

$$\frac{\nabla S_c}{c_P} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \nabla \ln T_c - \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \left(-\frac{g}{T_c} - \nabla \ln T_c \right) = \nabla \ln T_c + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \frac{g}{T_c}$$

Which means that the entropy gradient here, and the integration of it, is strikingly similar to what we had to do to integrate the log density profile. Actually it's basically exactly the same, but with some extra constants in front and also with a negative sign flip. So we have

$$\frac{S_c}{c_P} = \ln T_c - \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \frac{g}{\xi} \ln \left(\frac{\xi + \nabla T_c}{\xi - \nabla T_c} \right) + Y$$

At this point we're free to choose any value of S_c that we want for the gauge. And really, it's arbitrary. So I'm going to set the entropy at the top of the atmosphere to be zero to just get an analytical nice expression, and I find

$$Y = \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \frac{g}{\xi} \ln \left(\frac{\xi - 1}{\xi + 1} \right) - \ln T_t,$$

which means the entropy profile is

$$\left| \frac{S_c}{c_P} = \ln \left(\frac{T_c}{T_t} \right) - \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \frac{g}{\xi} \ln \left(\frac{(\xi + \nabla T_c)(\xi + 1)}{(\xi - \nabla T_c)(\xi - 1)} \right) \right|. \tag{22}$$

And, since we have non-dimensionalized this system such that S = 0 at the top of the atmosphere, then the initial CONVECTIVE ZONE entropy jump across the conductive atmosphere is, assuming $T_t = 1$,

$$\frac{\Delta S_c}{c_P} = \ln\left(1 + d_{\text{conv}} - \frac{H}{2}d_{\text{conv}}^2\right) + \frac{\nabla T_{ad}}{\xi}\ln\left(\frac{(1 + \nabla T_{ad}/\xi)(1 + \xi)}{(1 - \nabla T_{ad}/\xi)(\xi - 1)}\right)$$
(23)

which is still frankly pretty horrifying. I think at this point I need to remember that

$$\xi = \sqrt{1 + 2H} \approx 1 + H$$
.

where the last term is a linear Taylor expansion around 2H = 0. So this is a good approximation for small H, which is honestly generally a good approximation $(H = \epsilon/(d_{\text{conv}}c_P))$. So we have actually (before I went and complicated things in the log),

$$\frac{\Delta S_c}{c_P} = \ln\left(1 + d_{\text{conv}} - \frac{H}{2}d_{\text{conv}^2}\right) + \frac{\nabla T_{ad}}{1 + H}\ln\left(\frac{(1 + H + \nabla T_{ad})(2 + H)}{(1 + H - \nabla T_{ad})H}\right)$$

and $\nabla T_{ad} = -(1 - \epsilon/c_P) = -(1 - Hd_{\text{conv}})$, so

$$\frac{\Delta S_c}{c_P} = \ln\left(1 + d_{\text{conv}} - \frac{H}{2}d_{\text{conv}^2}\right) + \frac{-(1 - Hd_{\text{conv}})}{1 + H}\ln\left(\frac{(1 + d_{\text{conv}})(2 + H)}{(2 + H[1 - d_{\text{conv}}])}\right)$$

OK, now we take advantage of the assumption we already made, $H \ll 1$, so we get

$$\frac{\Delta S_c}{c_P} = \ln\left(1 + d_{\text{conv}} - \frac{H}{2}d_{\text{conv}^2}\right) - \left(1 - Hd_{\text{conv}}\right)\ln\left(\frac{(1 + d_{\text{conv}})2}{(2 - Hd_{\text{conv}})}\right)$$

and since ln(A/B) = ln(A) - ln(B),

$$\frac{\Delta S_c}{c_P} = \ln\left(1 + d_{\text{conv}} - \frac{H}{2}d_{\text{conv}^2}\right) - (1 - Hd_{\text{conv}})\left[\ln(1 + d_{\text{conv}}) - \ln(1 - Hd_{\text{conv}}/2)\right]$$

and now we can start to group terms a bit differently,

$$\frac{\Delta S_c}{c_P} = \ln\left(\frac{1 + d_{\text{conv}} - Hd_{\text{conv}}^2/2}{1 + d_{\text{conv}}}\right) + Hd_{\text{conv}}\ln(1 + d_{\text{conv}}) + (1 - Hd_{\text{conv}})\ln(1 - Hd_{\text{conv}}/2)$$

Yikes. At this point, we have to make one more assumption, which is a little less always true, but it still fits into the assumption for the linearized EOS. That assumption is that $d_{\text{conv}}H \ll 1$, such that we can use the $\ln(1+x) \approx x$ for small x assumption. Then, we get

$$\frac{\Delta S}{c_P} \approx -\frac{Hd_{\text{conv}}^2}{2(1+d_{\text{conv}})} + Hd_{\text{conv}}^2 + (1-Hd_{\text{conv}})\left(-\frac{Hd_{\text{conv}}}{2}\right)$$

or,

$$\frac{\Delta S}{c_P} \approx -\frac{Hd_{\rm conv}^2}{2(1+d_{\rm conv})} + Hd_{\rm conv}^2 - \frac{1}{2}Hd_{\rm conv} + \frac{H^2d_{\rm conv}^2}{2}$$

or,

$$\frac{\Delta S}{c_P} \approx \frac{-Hd_{\rm conv}^2 - Hd_{\rm conv} + (H+H^2)d_{\rm conv}^2 + (2H+H^2)d_{\rm conv}^3}{2(1+d_{\rm conv})} = Hd_{\rm conv} \frac{-1 + Hd_{\rm conv} + (2+H)d_{\rm conv}^2}{2(1+d_{\rm conv})} \sim Hd_{\rm conv}^2.$$

And, for the record, that's about the order of magnitude I was anticipating it to be, and this is about the same order of magnitude as we expect the perturbations in T and ρ to be. Sweet!

D. Thermal Equilibrium

The next thing to ask is: is our conductive profile in thermal equilibrium? If we have an energy equation of the form:

$$-\kappa \nabla^2 T_c = \kappa H,\tag{24}$$

which is to say, great! We have $\nabla^2 T_c = -H$ in our setup. To keep our atmosphere simple to understand later down the line, we need to note that:

- 1. κ should be constant with the depth of the atmosphere, which means that $\chi = \kappa/\rho$ should be set based on our new initial conditions, which are not the same as what we had in a polytrope, but it is similar.
- 2. κ should be constant in time for easy analyses, which means that χ is free to evolve as the density profile changes.