

At its cores, my teaching philosophy is rooted in a growth mindset (cite) and an acknowledgment of the fact that human intelligence is malleable. I believe that courses should be designed so that through hard work, all students who put in effort can grow, learn, and be successful in the classroom. My mindset also applies to myself: I have a lot to learn about teaching and how to be a better teacher. I have only scratched the surface in learning about evidence-based STEM pedagogy, but I am excited to improve my teaching both through practice and through broader knowledge of the STEM education field. When I entered graduate school, I thought that good teachers were “born not made.” I have come to realize quite the opposite is true.

During my graduate school orientation, first year graduate students were taught a few best pedagogical practices. These included simple facilitation moves like being silent and giving students space and time to respond to questions. We also learned about how to incorporate active learning techniques like multiple choice questions and clickers, and were specifically taught to give students the space to be wrong (without penalization), and then to give them the space to discuss their choices and argue with other students, and convince others (or be convinced) that they were wrong. I was fortunate enough to be a “lab TA,” where I got to teach my own groups of 20 students about once a week, and I got to try out these techniques a few times during my semesters as a TA. I learned both how difficult it can be to properly implement these techniques, but also how rewarding and effective informed pedagogical choices can be.

In my third and fifth year of graduate school, I attended UCSB ISEE’s Professional Development Program (PDP). This program was my first exposure to backwards design (cite) and assessment-driven course design, as well as the concept of designing activities which provide students opportunities to participate in genuine scientific inquiry (cite). One of the most fundamental and useful things that I learned during this program was the power of rubrics and how to create and iterate upon them. I was so enamored that I even led the push to implement standardized rubrics in the graduate admissions committee at the University of Colorado, and I’m proud to say that committee now uses a standardized rubric (my advisor, Prof. Benjamin Brown, who was also on that committee, can provide more details)! (DO I NEED THIS?) I was so excited about backwards design and active learning techniques that, when I was given the opportunity to be a co-instructor of record with a fellow graduate student in 2017, my fellow instructor and I decided to redesign the course from the ground up using backwards design principles. I did not appreciate just how much work goes into designing a course, especially designing a course with care and thought. My 5 weeks teaching that course involved some of the highest highs of my professional career, but I learned so much about how to be an effective teacher and how to design a good course. (doesn’t make sense) I also learned when to ask for help, and when to take advantage of course material that colleagues have developed (and to make small improvements, not redesign whole courses).

More recently, I participated in CIRTL’s “Introduction to Evidence-based STEM teaching” MOOC. During this course, I gained a deeper appreciation of why learning goals are important, and learned how to go about building those learning goals through application of Bloom’s Taxonomy (cite) and its “action verbs.” I’ve learned about that active learning can be introduced in the classroom through activities beyond iClickers and personal response systems, and that activities as simple as worksheets, tutorials, or group problem solving can be forms of active learning. I’ve learned about how to use feedback codes on homework and exams to provide substantive feedback for my students without re-writing the same feedback repeatedly (or giving none). I’ve also learned about STEM skills rubrics which have been developed, which I hope to use to build activities that focus on developing students’ ability to participate in skills like persistence, self-compassion, communication, communication, and reflection, and these types of skills can be built through activities such as peer-assisted learning.

I have grown so much as a teacher in the past ten years, and I hope that my classrooms will

continue to evolve as I become a more experienced and knowledgeable teacher in the coming years. In my career I have tried to apply pedagogical principles to all aspects of my work where they are applicable (for example, backwards design has helped me developing clearer research talks and papers). I look forward to learning more, and growing as an educator, in the years that come.