

# Working Title

Evan Anders

*Advisor: Benjamin Brown*  
*LASP, University of Colorado at Boulder*

## Abstract

Fill this later

## 1 Intro

The Sun is a magnetic star whose magnetism arises from an organized dynamo. Turbulent plasma motions in the solar convection zone, which constitutes roughly the outer 30% of the solar radius, are the seat of the magnetic dynamo. In the presence of convective motions, solar rotation and magnetism couple to produce global wreaths of magnetism which drive the Sun's 22-year cycle of magnetic activity. This activity manifests itself in the collection of phenomena which is generally referred to as solar activity, including magnetic storms and coronal mass ejections. Such activity propagates towards Earth, threatening to disrupt power grids and aircraft operations and to endanger astronauts and satellites. It is clear that the Sun's magnetism affects our increasingly technological society, and understanding the nature of the dynamo that drives solar magnetism is of paramount importance.

While current models lack the power to predict the stellar magnetic environment over long time scales, understanding of the workings of the solar dynamo have vastly improved over the past decade. Helioseismology, which probes the Sun's radial structure and sub-surface convective flows using acoustic oscillations, has revealed that the solar differential rotation profile extends through the bulk of the convective zone with two distinct shear regions. A near-surface shear layer occupies roughly the outer 5% of the Sun and a secondary shear layer, called the tachocline, resides at the base of the convective zone separating the differentially rotating convective zone from the uniformly rotating radiative zone. Traditionally, it has been believed that the tachocline is the seat of the magnetic dynamo, in that magnetic fields generated in the convective zone are transported inward to this shear region, building magnetic wreaths which buoyantly rise to the surface and manifest as sunspots.

Our current theory of the processes that govern the solar dynamo has been largely informed by large-scale 3-D numerical simulations. Such simulations have proven that global magnetic structures can persist and be generated even in the turbulence of the solar convective zone. However, most of these simulations have not truly been simulations of the Sun but rather of solar-type stars with significantly larger rotation rates and rapid convective motions. It has recently been discovered that these simulations may not accurately reflect the physics that happens in our sun and the convective motions being assumed may be far too large. Through lowering the stellar rotation rate and convective motions used in most current simulations of sun-like stars, now is the time to actually catch a glimpse of the physics at work within the Sun.

Recent simulations have showed disagreement on the necessity of the tachocline in the generation of the solar magnetic dynamo. Stable solar dynamos have been

created in 3-D numerical simulations both with (Ghizaru et al. 2010; Racine et al. 2011) and without (Brown et al. 2011; Nelson et al. 2013) the presence of a tachocline. This begs the fundamental question: is the tachocline a necessary ingredient in the production of the solar toroidal magnetic field? Furthermore, is a tachocline necessary to produce a solar dynamo? Those simulations which produced opposing results were made with different codes using differing models for subgrid processes at various stellar rotation rates. Consequently, it is impossible to meaningfully compare the results of the simulations directly. The data required to determine the necessity and role of the tachocline are missing.

## **2 Proposed Research: Tachocline**

## **3 Proposed Research: Observables**

## **4 Relevance to NASA**

## **5 Outline (to remove later)**

- Talk about how my simulations proposed with enrich that history of simulations (e.g. there’s been the “assumption” almost that the toroidal field is made in the tachocline, and this will help to distinguish whether or not that’s the truth in an actual “laboratory”).
- Talk about the tools that will be used in the simulations. We have ASH. Maybe we’ll use Dedalus?
- Talk about how, once this matter is settled, we’ll bridge the gap towards “observables” by extending simulations to the solar surface at the resolution of supergranulation. After running simulations that encompass “important” characteristics of the surface (on our length scales), we’ll do post-processing in order to convert our simulations into “observables,” and see if such observables look like anything that (X, Y, Z) satellites could detect/have detected. This is a (possible) glimpse at what happens underneath the surface of the sun.
- Mention the NASA strategic/science plans and exactly which points of them we’re fitting into, here.

Sources and stuff below so I can see formatting!

Brown, B. P., et al. 2011, *Astrophys. J.*, 731  
 Charbonneau, P. 2013, *JPCS*, 440  
 —. 2014, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, 52, 251  
 Clune, T., et al. 1999, *Parallel Comput.*, 25, 361  
 Ghizaru, M., Charbonneau, P., & Smolarkiewicz, P. 2010, *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 715  
 Miesch, M. S., & Toomre, J. 2009, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, 41, 317  
 Nelson, N. J., et al. 2013, *Astrophys. J.*, 762  
 Prusa, J., Smolarkiewicz, P., & Wyszogrodzki, A. 2008, *Comput. Fluids*, 37, 1193  
 Racine, E., et al. 2011, *Astrophys. J.*, 735, 46