Controlling the rotational constraint in stratified, compressible convection

EVAN H. ANDERS, 1 KATIE MANDUCA, 1 AND BENJAMIN P. BROWN 1

¹ University of Colorado – Boulder

(Received September 7, 2018; Revised September 7, 2018; Accepted September 7, 2018)

Submitted to ApJ

ABSTRACT

Keywords: convection — happy caterpillars

1. INTRODUCTION

Recent work by Featherstone & Hindman (2016) needs to be talked about. Rotating dynamo stuff Busse (2002); Brown et al. (2008, 2010, 2011) Papers on rotating RB convection that might matter: Hathaway & Somerville (1983); Julien et al. (1996); Zhong et al. (2009); Julien et al. (2012); Stellmach et al. (2014). Papers on rotating stratified f-planes that might matter: Brummell et al. (1996, 1998); Calkins et al. (2015). Honestly, most stratified, rotating sims seem to avoid simplicities (like the f-plane) as though they were the plague.

2. EXPERIMENT

We study fully compressible, stratified convection as we previously did in Anders & Brown (2017), with the inclusion of rotation. We study an ideal gas whose equation of state is $P=R\rho T$ and with an adiabatic index $\gamma=5/3$. We nondimensionalize the atmosphere such that R=1 and $P=\rho=T=1$ at the top of the domain. The initial stratification is polytropic, such that

$$\rho_0(z) = (1 + L_z - z)^m,
T_0(z) = (1 + L_z - z),$$
(1)

where m is the polytropic index, z increases upwards in the range $z=[0,L_z]$, and $L_z\equiv e^{n_\rho/m}-1$ is the depth of the atmosphere, where n_ρ specifies the number of density scale heights that the atmosphere spans. We specify the instability of the atmosphere through the superadiabatic excess, $\epsilon=m-m_{ad}$, where $m_{ad}=(\gamma-1)^{-1}$ is the adiabatic polytropic index, and ϵ controls the

Corresponding author: Evan H. Anders evan.anders@colorado.edu

Mach number of the flows (Anders & Brown 2017). The domain is a 3D cartesian box whose horizontal extent is in the range $x, y = [0, AL_z]$, where A is the aspect ratio of the domain. As has been studied previously by e.g., Julien et al. (1996); Brummell et al. (1996), we study a domain in which the gravity and rotational vector are antiparallel, $\mathbf{g} = -g\hat{z}$, and $\mathbf{\Omega} = \Omega \hat{z}$.

We evolve the velocity (u), temperature, and log density according to the Fully Compressible Navier-Stokes equations,

$$\frac{\partial \ln \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = -\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \ln \rho, \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t} + 2\boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla T - \nu \nabla \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \nabla \nu = \\ - \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} - T \nabla \ln \rho + \boldsymbol{g} + \nu \bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \nabla \ln \rho,$$
(3)

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{c_V} \left(\chi \nabla^2 T + \nabla T \cdot \nabla \chi \right) =
- \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T - (\gamma - 1) T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}
+ \frac{1}{c_V} \left(\chi \nabla T \cdot \nabla \ln \rho + \nu \left[\bar{\bar{\sigma}} \cdot \nabla \right] \cdot \mathbf{u} \right),$$
(4)

with the viscous stress tensor given by

$$\sigma_{ij} \equiv \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ij}\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}\right). \tag{5}$$

The kinematic viscosity, ν , thermal diffusivity, χ , and strength of rotation Ω are set at the top of the domain by the Rayleigh number (Ra), Prandtl number (Pr), and Taylor number (Ta),

$$\operatorname{Ra} = \frac{gL_z^3 \Delta S/c_P}{\nu \gamma}, \ \operatorname{Pr} = \frac{\nu}{\gamma}, \ \operatorname{Ta} = \left(\frac{2\Omega L_z^2}{\nu}\right)^2, \quad (6)$$

where $\Delta S = \epsilon n_{\rho}/m$ is the specific entropy difference between z = 0 and $z = L_z$, and the specific heat at constant pressure is c_P .

The We have previously shown that the superadia-baticity of a polytropic atmosphere, ϵ , is the primary control parameter of the Mach number of the evolved atmosphere. Here we study two values of $\epsilon = (10^{-4}, 0.5)$ in order to study low at high Mach number flows. We study the behavior of convection near onset and with a supercriticality parameter up to 10^3 . We examine three different values of the Convective Rossby number (Ro_c = (0.1, 1, 10)) in order to understand the behavior of atmospheres in which convective driving dominates over rotation, in which those forces are equal, and in which the rotation dominates over convective driving.

We measure the resulting Rossby number, Nusselt number, and Reynolds number of all flows in order to understand the various regimes of convection which are open to us.

Figure 1. (a) The critical Rayleigh number, as a function of the Taylor number, is plotted as a solid black line. Paths of constant Convective Rossby Number (red dashed line), constant supercriticality (orange dashed line), and COPRIME (blue solid line) are shown through parameter space. (b) Evolved Rossby number is plotted vs. Taylor number along multiple constant COPRIME paths, such as the solid blue line in (a). After a sharp increase at low Ta, the evolved Rossby number flattens out and stays nearly constant across orders of magnitude of Ta.

3. RESULTS & DISCUSSION

This is where figures go and other important things that we like to talk about. **Figure 2.** (a) Evolved Nusselt number vs. Rayleigh number / Ra_crit along constant COPRIME paths. A classic scaling law of $Nu \propto Ra^{2/7}$ is observed. (b) Evolved Reynolds number vs. Rayleigh number / Ra_crit along constant COPRIME paths. A classic scaling law of $Re \propto Ra^{1/2}$ is observed. These laws are reminiscent of standard scaling laws of Re and Nu in non-rotational convection (SOURCES SOURCES SOURCES). This suggests that at fixed Rossby number on a constant COPRIME path (Fig. 1), varying the Rayleigh number affects the evolved dynamics in a manner similar to a nonrotating fluid.

Figure 3. Here's some pretty plots, we'll figure out what we plot later.

3.1. acknowledgements

EHA acknowledges the support of the University of Colorado's George Ellery Hale Graduate Student Fellowship. This work was additionally supported by NASA **Figure 4.** (a) Horizontally-averaged profiles of the Rossby number are shown vs. z for a constant COPRIME = X. (b) Horizontally-averaged profiles of the entropy gradient are shown vs. z for a constant COPRIME = X. (c) Vorticity boundary layer thickness normalized by entropy boundary layer thickness as a function of Ta/Ta_crit for multiple COPRIME paths. When this measure is $\gg 1$, we expect the flows to be buoyancy dominated, when it is $\ll 1$, we expect the flows to be rotationally dominated, and when it is ~ 1 , we anticipate that both effects are very important.

LWS grant number NNX16AC92G. Computations were conducted with support by the NASA High End Computing (HEC) Program through the NASA Advanced Supercomputing (NAS) Division at Ames Research Center on Pleiades with allocations GID s1647. We thank Jeff Oishi for many useful discussions.

REFERENCES

Anders, E. H., & Brown, B. P. 2017, Physical Review Fluids, 2, 083501

Brown, B. P., Browning, M. K., Brun, A. S., Miesch, M. S., & Toomre, J. 2008, ApJ, 689, 1354

—. 2010, ApJ, 711, 424

Brown, B. P., Miesch, M. S., Browning, M. K., Brun, A. S., & Toomre, J. 2011, ApJ, 731, 69

Brummell, N. H., Hurlburt, N. E., & Toomre, J. 1996, ApJ, 473, 494

—. 1998, ApJ, 493, 955

Busse, F. H. 2002, Physics of Fluids, 14, 1301

Calkins, M. A., Julien, K., & Marti, P. 2015, Geophysical and Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics, 109, 422

Featherstone, N. A., & Hindman, B. W. 2016, ApJ, 830, L15

Hathaway, D. H., & Somerville, R. C. J. 1983, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 126, 75

Julien, K., Knobloch, E., Rubio, A. M., & Vasil, G. M. 2012, Physical Review Letters, 109, 254503

Julien, K., Legg, S., McWilliams, J., & Werne, J. 1996, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 322, 243

Stellmach, S., Lischper, M., Julien, K., et al. 2014, PhRvL, 113, 254501 SMALL NAME 3

Zhong, J.-Q., Stevens, R. J. A. M., Clercx, H. J. H., et al. 2009, Physical Review Letters, 102, 044502