I would be more likely to carry out an analysis in a conference/journal paper if... There was a higher page limit.

I had more time.

I had more money.

I had more collaborators.

There would be an existing error taxonomy that I could use.

There were an available pool of annotators or crowd workers

Reviewers paid more attention to error analyses.

There would be dedicated annotation tools for error analysis that I could use.

There would be a crowdsourcing template for carrying out error analyses.

Strongly

disagree

4

4

4

Somewhat

disagree

9

6

8

6

6

2

Neither agree

nor disagree

12

11

10

11

13

10

9

10

Somewhat

agree

12

10

15

16

15

12

Strongly

agree