I would be more likely to carry out an analysis in a conference/journal paper if...

There was a higher page limit.

I had more time.

I had more money.

I had more collaborators.

There would be an existing error taxonomy that I could use.

There were an available pool of annotators or crowd workers

Reviewers paid more attention to error analyses.

There would be dedicated annotation tools for error analysis that I could use.

There would be a crowdsourcing template for carrying out error analyses.

Strongly disagree

Somewhat disagree Neither agree nor disagree

Somewhat agree

12

11

11

13

Strongly agree

12

8

15

16

15

12