A MONTE CARLO METHOD FOR FACTORIZATION

J. M. POLLARD

Abstract.

We describe briefly a novel factorization method involving probabilistic ideas.

1. Introduction.

We point out a simple method by which, apparently, a prime factor p of a number can usually be found in $O(p^{\frac{1}{2}})$ arithmetical operations, as opposed to the O(p) operations required by "trial division" (e.g. [1]). The theoretical possibility of doing this was shown previously [2] in a much more complicated manner (which, however, enabled us to reach a certain precise conclusion). Our method was suggested by the ideas of [3], pp. 7–8, 25, but also has connections with [2].

Consider a sequence such as $x_0 = 2$,

(1)
$$x_{i+1} \equiv x_i^2 - 1 \pmod{n},$$

where n is the number we are attempting to factorize. Other polynomials of degree ≥ 2 and other starting values can be used. We generate in turn the triples

(2)
$$(x_i, x_{2i}, Q_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

(3)
$$Q_i \equiv \prod_{i=1}^i (x_{2i} - x_i), \pmod{n}.$$

Each triple is obtained from its predecessor by three applications of (1) and one multiplication in (3); thus the work involved is substantially that for four multiplications (mod n). We use only four multi-length variables, those for x_i , x_{2i} , Q_i and n. Whenever i is a multiple of some number m (say, m=100), we compute the greatest common divisor

$$d_i = \gcd(Q_i, n) ,$$

by one of the well-known methods [3].

If $1 < d_i < n$ then we have obtained a partial factorization of n as

Received June 16, 1975.

 $n=d_i \times (n/d_i)$. Here d_i may be composite and, if so, must be factorized by some other means (in particular, the product of the smallest factors of n will be found at the first calculation of (4), if they have not already been removed). Then we continue with modulus $n'=n/d_i$ if this number is composite and not divisible by the prime factors already found. We stop on reaching some preset maximum number of steps S, a multiple of m (say, $S=10^4$); if the final pair (x_i,x_{2i}) is saved, we have the possibility of continuing the computation at a later date.

2. Theory.

To obtain the "theory" of this method, consider (1) with n replaced by p, a prime. The sequence is ultimately periodic, that is, there are integers $c \ge 1$ and $t \ge 0$ such that $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{c+t-1}$ are all distinct (mod p), but that $x_{c+t} \equiv x_t \pmod{p}$ for $i \ge t$ (my previous name for the method was the " ϱ -method"; here the ϱ must be drawn starting at the bottom). Define also r as the least positive integer with $x_r \equiv x_{2r} \pmod{p}$, that is,

$$t \le r < t+c, r \equiv 0 \pmod{c}, \quad \text{if } t > 0,$$

 $r = c, \quad \text{if } t = 0.$

The function r=r(p) determines how soon our algorithm will find the prime factor p of n: for after r(p) steps we shall have $Q_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and the factor p will then be found at the next calculation of (4) (perhaps the product of several prime factors, with nearly the same values of r(p), will be found instead).

Knowing of no other way to proceed, I make the assumption that (1) (to modulus p) constitutes a "random mapping" of the residues (mod p) in the sense of [3], p. 8. Then c(p) and t(p) are random variables with expectations close to

and the expectation of c(p)+t(p) is close to twice this value. The expectation of r(p) (not in [3]) can be shown to be close to

(6)
$$\pi^{5/2} \sqrt{p/(12/2)} = 1.0308 \sqrt{p} ,$$

(the error terms in (5) and (6) are O(1) as $p \to \infty$).

Thus we expect the mean values of $c(p)/\sqrt{p}$, $t(p)/\sqrt{p}$ and $r(p)/\sqrt{p}$ to be close to the constants in (5), (5) and (6) respectively. For the 100 largest primes below 10⁶ these values were found to be 0.6127, 0.6821 and 1.0780.

We are interested also in the distribution of the values of $r(p)/\sqrt{p}$; in this direction I estimate that $r(p) < \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{p}$ with probability 0.183, and