

Iteration 1 Evaluation

We started off this project with the idea that we would approach a lot of the tasks as a committee. There were a few different times where one team member wouldn't be able to contribute because they were out of town, sick, etc., and the rest of the group would pick up the slack and get it done; then, that team member would pay it forward on the next task when a different team member was unavailable. It ended up working out very well and built trust among the team. Overall, this iteration was overwhelmingly positive. Most things went well, and we actually had to sit there and think about things that we could add for what went wrong. It obviously won't always be like that, but we're off to a strong start.

What Went Right:

- The team delegated tasks and worked well throughout the forming stage.
- Team members weren't picky when we were picking out technologies to use for the project. We usually came to a consensus, and when we didn't, people were generally interested in learning something else.
- Decisions were made for what frameworks were going to be used for the project.
- Google Docs/Sheets/Etc have proven to be a great collaborative environment for the group to work in to easily share information.

What Went Wrong:

- Tracking of what tasks have been completed can be better for future iterations.
- The team as a group can do better at making sure tasks are started earlier so they can be completed before deadlines.

What Risks Were Missed:

- There were no missed risks with this iteration.